#### Edited by

Turki Alekoni, Stevens Institute of Technology, Arup Kumar Ghosh, Jacksonville State University Blair Miller

# **YOUNG PEOPLE AND SOCIAL MEDIA** Contemporary Children's Digital Culture





## Young People and Social Media

### Contemporary Children's Digital Culture

Edited by **Steve Gennaro** York University, Toronto, Canada **Blair Miller** York University, Toronto, Canada

#### **Critical Perspectives on Social Science**



Copyright © 2021 by the Authors.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of Vernon Art and Science Inc.

www.vernonpress.com

In the Americas: Vernon Press 1000 N West Street, Suite 1200 Wilmington, Delaware, 19801 United States *In the rest of the world:* Vernon Press C/Sancti Espiritu 17, Malaga, 29006 Spain

Critical Perspectives on Social Science

Library of Congress Control Number: 2021938614

ISBN: 978-1-64889-172-4

Cover design by Vernon Press using elements designed by brgfx / Freepik.

Product and company names mentioned in this work are the trademarks of their respective owners. While every care has been taken in preparing this work, neither the authors nor Vernon Art and Science Inc. may be held responsible for any loss or damage caused or alleged to be caused directly or indirectly by the information contained in it.

Every effort has been made to trace all copyright holders, but if any have been inadvertently overlooked the publisher will be pleased to include any necessary credits in any subsequent reprint or edition.

### Table of Contents

	List of Figures	vii
	List of Tables	ix
	About the Collection	xi
	About the Editors	xiii
	About the Authors	xv
	Editors' Note	xxiii
	Preface: It Ain't Easy to Theorize or Teach Media	xxv
	Shirley R. Steinberg Werklund School of Education, The University of Calgary	
	Introduction: Contemporary Children's Culture in Digital Space(s)	xxix
	Steve Gennaro, Blair Miller York University, Toronto, Canada	
Chapter 1	Growing Up in a Connected World	1
	Sonia Livingstone, Marium Saeed, Daniel Kardefelt Winther <i>UNICEF Office of Research – Innocenti</i>	
Chapter 2	Understanding the Relationship Between Young People and Social Media: What Role Do Rights Play?	23
	John Tobin University of Melbourne	

Chapter 3	"School Strike 4 Climate": Social Media and the International Youth Protest on Climate Change	41
	Shelley Boulianne, David Ilkiw <i>MacEwan University</i>	
	Mireille Lalancette University of Quebec in Trois-Rivières	
Chapter 4	Resisting Youth: From Occupy through Black Lives Matter to the Trump Resistance	61
	Douglas Kellner <i>UCLA</i>	
	Roslyn M. Satchel Pepperdine University	
Chapter 5	Trauma, Resilience, and #BlackLivesMatter How do Racism and Trauma Intersect in Social Media Conversations?	79
	Laura Nixon, Sarah Han, Pamela Mejia, Lori Dorfman Berkeley Media Studies Group	
Chapter 6	Youth's Relationship With Social Media: Identity Formation Through Self-Expression and Activism	91
	Jennifer Laffier, Molly Gadanidis, Janette Hughes <i>Ontario Tech University</i>	
Chapter 7	Living Their Best Life: Instagram, Social Comparison and Young Women	109
	Bailey Parnell <i>SkillsCamp</i>	
	Natalie Coulter York University	
Chapter 8	The Selfie Generation: Examining the Relation Between Social Media Use and Adolescent Body Image	127
	Ilyssa Salomon Elon University	
	Christia Spears Brown University of Kentucky	

Chapter 9	The <i>Video Kids</i> Are All Right: A Comparative Analysis of Moral Panics Around Youth and Social Gaming	145
	Chris Alton York University	
Chapter 10	Playing with Pets, Playing with Machines, Playing with Futures	161
	Jody Berland York University	
Chapter 11	Digital Media and Kidfluencers in the Twenty- first Century Are Here: What and Who are the World's Children Watching?	181
	Katharine Jones, Irmine Kabimbi Ngoy Auckland University of Technology	
Chapter 12	Connected or Disconnected?: Parent- Adolescent Relationships and Interactive Technology	201
	J. Mitchell Vaterlaus Montana State University	
Chapter 13	Young People and Their Engagement With Health-Related Social Media: New Perspectives	209
	Victoria A. Goodyear, Kathleen M. Armour <i>University of Birmingham</i>	
	Hannah Wood The Active Wellbeing Society	
Chapter 14	Smartphones, Social Media Use, and Youth Mental Health	231
	Elia Abi-Jaoude, Karline Treurnicht Naylor, Antonio Pignatiello <i>University of Toronto</i>	
Chapter 15	Examining Parent Versus Child Reviews of Parental Control Apps on Google Play	241
	Turki Alelyani	

Stevens Institute of Technology

	Arup Kumar Ghosh Jacksonville State University	
	Larry Moralez University of Central Florida	
	Shion Guha Marquette University	
	Pamela Wisniewski University of Central Florida	
Chapter 16	Young People's Understandings of Social Media Data	261
	Luci Pangrazio Deakin University	
	Neil Selwyn Monash University	
Chapter 17	Disruptive Play or Platform Colonialism? The Contradictory Dynamics of Google Expeditions and Educational Virtual Reality	277
	Zoetanya Sujon London College of Communication, University of the Arts London	
Chapter 18	"Good Social Media"?: Underrepresented Youth Perspectives on the Ethical and Equitable Design of Social Media Platforms	297
	Melissa Brough, Amanda Ikin California State University	
	Ioana Literat Columbia University	
	Notes	315
	Index	391

### List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Children who use a mobile phone or desktop computer	
to access the internet, at least weekly	5
Figure 1.2: Children who play games online at least weekly, by gender	7
Figure 1.3: Children who do three or more different social activities	
online at least weekly, by age	8
Figure 1.4: Children who say they may be unable to verify the truth	
of online information	11
Figure 1.5: Ladder of online participation	12
Figure 1.6: Relationship between engaging in online activities	
and experiencing online risks	13
Figure 1.7: Percentage of children who have been exposed	
to various online risks	14
Figure 1.8: Children who use the internet at school or college	
at least weekly, by age	17
Figure 2.1: The Three Ps	35
Figure 5.1: Percent of original Tweets about childhood trauma	
and race posted July 2014- December 2016	
by the number of Retweets (n=592)	83
Figure 5.2: Percent of original Tweets about Black Lives Matter	
and trauma posted July 2014-December 2016	
by the number of Retweets (n=409)	85
Figure 6.1: @yerongss Twitter post of George Floyd	103
Figure 8.1: Moderated mediation model	135
Figure 13.1: The operation of pedagogy in a social media context	228
Figure 16.1: Sample sentiment analysis from PDQ dashboard link	265
Figure 17.1: Research design depicting research stages	285
Figure 17.2: Survey responses to the question "please rate your	
overall perception of Google Expeditions"	289

Larry Moralez, University of Central Florida, Shion Guha, Marquette University, Pamela Wisniewski, University of Central Florida

### List of Tables

Table 2.1: Summary of the key features of the Draft General	
Comment on Children's Rights in the relation	
to the digital environment	27
Table 2.2: Welfare and rights-based approaches	30
Table 2.3: Research on and Research with, by, and for children	37
Table 3.1: Frequency and percent of spatial marking tweets	50
Table 3.2: Frequency and percent of tweet function categories	51
Table 3.3: Percent totals of function categories	
for #SchoolStrike4Climate, #ggi, and #IdleNoMore	57
Table 8.1: Correlations between variables	136
Table 8.2: Descriptive breakdown of gender differences	
in self-objectification behaviors	137
Table 13.1: Data collection methods	
Table 13.2: An illustration of the process of coding in phase 1	
of analysis	217
Table 13.3: An illustration of the process of coding in phase 2	
of analysis	217
Table 15.1: Summary of app names and number of reviews used	
in the analysis	245
Table 15.2: Performance accuracy of N-grams and topic modeling	248
Table 15.3: Comparison of confusion matrix results	248
Table 15.4: Parent and teen topics under high and low app rating	252
Table 15.5: Topics on medium rating apps reviews	253
Table 15.6: Topics on high rating apps reviews	254
Table 15.7: Topics on low rating apps reviews	254

### About the Collection

This edited collection explores Children, Youth, and Digital Culture — in particular the practices, relationships, consequences, benefits, and outcomes of the experiences of young people with, on, and through social media — by bringing together a vast array of different ideas about childhood, youth, and young people's lives. The ideas here are drawn from scholars working in a variety of different and often seemingly disparate disciplines, and more than just describing the social construction of childhood or the everyday actions in children's lives, this collection seeks to encapsulate not only how young people exist on social media but also how their physical lives are impacted by their digital presence.

One of the goals for exploring youth interaction with social media is to unpack the structuring of digital technologies in terms of how young people access the technology to use it as a means of communication, a platform for identification, and a tool for participation in their larger social world. During longstanding and continued experience in the broad field of youth and digital culture, we have come to realize that not only is the subject matter increasing in importance at an immeasurable rate, but the amount of textbooks and/or edited collections has lagged behind considerably. There is a lack of sources that fully encapsulate the cannon of texts for the discipline, or the rich diversity and complexity of overlapping disciplines that create the fertile ground for studying young people's lives and culture. Our hope is that this text will occupy some of that void and act as a catalyst for future interdisciplinary collections and research.

The intended audience for this collection is undergraduate students studying Child and Youth Studies. However, given the interdisciplinary nature of the collection, this text would lend itself to proficiency in a variety of disciplines and courses in anthropology, psychology, sociology, communication studies, cultural studies, media studies, medicine, education, human rights, biology, literature, film studies, geography, and more. It will also distinguish itself within a constantly evolving media landscape by drawing on the most current and upto-date research and theories across the landscapes of more than a dozen different academic fields.

### About the Editors

**Dr. Steve Gennaro** has a Ph.D. from McGill University that explores intersections of media, technology, psychology, and youth identity. He completed a Postdoc in Philosophy of Education at UCLA with Douglas Kellner. He is one of the founding members of the Children, Childhood, and Youth Studies Program at York University and is the author of *Selling Youth*, and co-author, with Blair Miller, of *The Googleburg Galaxy* (forthcoming Lexington 2022). Dr. Gennaro regularly publishes in areas related to the philosophy of technology, education, critical theory, and media studies of youth, identity, and politics.

**Blair Miller** is a published author and poet. He has a Bachelors in Philosophy and a Master's in Film Studies, and his scholarship and publications continue to explore the connections between the self and media technologies. Blair teaches at York University in the Department of Humanities and the Department of Film Studies, where he has taught *Stories in Diverse Media, Popular Technology and Cultural Practice*, and *Information and Technology* among others for the last decade.

### About the Authors

**The Office of Research – Innocenti** (Sonia Livingstone, Marium Saeed, and Daniel Kardefelt Winther) is UNICEF's dedicated research centre. It undertakes research on emerging or current issues to inform the strategic direction, policies and programmes of UNICEF and its partners, shape global debates on child rights and development, and inform the global research and policy agenda for all children, and particularly for the most vulnerable.

Office of Research – Innocenti publications are contributions to a global debate on children and may not necessarily reflect UNICEF policies or approaches.

**John Tobin** is the Francine V McNiff Chair in International Human Rights Law and Professor at Melbourne Law School at the University of Melbourne, where he researches and teaches in the area of human rights with a special interest in children's rights. His book, *The Right to Health in International Law*, was published by Oxford University Press in January 2012. John has provided human rights training and advice as a consultant and on a pro bono basis on numerous occasions to organisations such as UNICEF, Law Reform Commissions, the Law Institute of Victoria, Judicial College of Victoria, the Victorian Equal Opportunity Commission, NGOs, statutory bodies, Government Departments and community groups. He is the editor of *The U.N. Convention on the Rights of the Child: A Commentary* (OUP 2019).

**Dr. Shelley Boulianne** is an Associate Professor in Sociology at MacEwan University. Dr. Boulianne studies citizens' engagement in civic and political life. She has published several meta-analysis projects and systematic reviews about the role of digital media in political participation. Her research has since expanded to understand a variety of factors that influence patterns of civic and political participation. She is interested in citizens' participation in boycotts, petitions, marches and demonstrations, public consultation exercises, and political talk.

**Mireille Lalancette** is a full professor in social communication at the University of Quebec in Trois-Rivières. Lalancette's interests include political communication, social media, media representations and discourse with a particular emphasis on argumentation.

**David Ilkiw** is an undergraduate student in the Department of Sociology at MacEwan University.

**Douglas Kellner** is George Kneller Chair in the Philosophy of Education at UCLA and is the author of many books on social theory, politics, history, and culture. Recent books include *Cinema Wars: Hollywood Film and Politics in the Bush/Cheney Era* (2010); *Media Spectacle and Insurrection* (2011), *From the Arab Uprisings to Occupy Everywhere* (2013), *American Nightmare: Donald Trump, Media Spectacle, and Authoritarian Populism* (2016); *The American Horror Show: Election 2016 and the Ascendency of Donald J. Trump* (2017); and 25th Anniversary revised edition of Media Culture (2020).

**Roslyn M. Satchel** is an award-winning media and culture scholar-activist who hails from West Palm Beach, Florida originally. She serves as a professor at Pepperdine University, where she's also faculty advisor to Tau Lambda Chapter. Dr. Satchel's recent book, *What Movies Teach about Race: Exceptionalism, Erasure and Entitlement*, brings her media, legal, and religious background together to examine cultural representations in the most influential films of all time. Dr. Satchel earned a Ph.D. in Media & Public Affairs at LSU, J.D. and MDiv degrees at Emory, and a B.A. in Communication at Howard.

**Berkeley Media Studies Group** (Laura Nixon, Sarah Han, Pamela Mejia, and Lori Dorfman) is a nonprofit organization dedicated to expanding advocates' ability to improve the systems and structures that determine health. BMSG is a project of the Public Health Institute. BMSG is based in California but works across the U.S. and internationally.

Berkeley Media Studies Group conducts research to learn how the media characterize health issues. Through media advocacy training and consultation, the staff helps advocates, community organizers, and public health practitioners harness lessons from that research and develop the skills they need to shape journalists' coverage of health issues so that it illuminates the need for policies that improve the places where people live, learn, work and play so everyone, no matter where they live, can grow up healthy. BMSG also works with journalists to help them understand the public health implications of the issues they cover. **Molly Gadanidis** is a Research Assistant in the Faculty of Education at Ontario Tech University and a student in the International Development and Globalization program at the University of Ottawa. She is an avid consumer, producer and critic of social media.

**Janette Hughes** is a Canada Research Chair in Technology and Pedagogy and Professor in the Faculty of Education at Ontario Tech University. She is the recipient of multiple research and teaching awards and research grants in the area of digital literacies. Dr. Hughes is a prolific author and presenter, sharing her work both nationally and internationally in prestigious scholarly and professional journals, keynote talks, and conferences.

**Jennifer Laffier** is an Assistant Professor and licensed therapist with the Faculty of Education at Ontario Tech University. She researches and teaches in the area of mental health and healthy human development. She is also the Director of the Mental Health in the Digital Age Lab, which conducts research on the effects of technology on mental health and how we can use technology in healthy ways for positive development and wellbeing.

**Natalie Coulter** is Associate Professor and Director of the Institute for Digital Literacies (IRDL) at York University, Canada. Her research explores the promotional ecologies of children's media and entertainment. She is co-editor of Youth Mediations and Affective Relations, with Susan Driver (2019, Palgrave Macmillan) and author of Tweening the Girl (2014, Peter Lang). She has been published in the Journal of Consumer Culture, Girlhood Studies and the Journal of Children and Media and is a founding member of the Association for Research on the Cultures of Young People (ARCYP).

**Bailey Parnell** is the Founder & CEO of SkillsCamp, a soft skills training company, and was named one of Canada's Top 100 Most Powerful Women. Bailey did her Masters in Communications and Culture at Ryerson University with research focused on social media's impact on mental health, the results of which have been shared at the World Youth Forum in Egypt, in a TEDx talk with over 2 million views, and created the basis for her signature 5 Steps Towards #SafeSocial. Her work and expertise have been featured in Forbes, CBC, FOX, and more.

**Ilyssa Salomon,** Ph.D., is an assistant professor of psychology at Elon University. Her research focuses on the influence of media, particularly social media, on adolescent development.

**Christia Spears Brown** is an author, researcher, and professor of Developmental Psychology. She earned her Ph.D. in Developmental Psychology at The University of Texas at Austin. She is also the Director of Center for Equality and Social Justice at the University of Kentucky. Her research focuses on how children develop gender and ethnic stereotypes, how children understand gender and ethnic discrimination, and how discrimination and stereotypes affect children and teens' lives.

**Dr. Chris Alton** is a communications scholar who has specialized in video game studies for over ten years. He has examined the player/avatar relationship, the ontology and affect of virtual representations of real-world environments, and gender in horror video games. His work tends to use an intersectional, multidisciplinary approach, bringing in aspects of film studies, video game studies, digital humanities, and gender studies.

**Jody Berland** is Professor in the Department of Humanities, and Graduate Programs in Communication and Culture, Social and Political Thought, Science and Technology Studies, and Music, York University. She is the author of *North of Empire: Essays on the Cultural Technologies of Space* (Duke University Press, 2009) and *Virtual Menageries: Animals as Mediators in Network Cultures* (MIT Press 2019), founding editor of *Topia: Canadian Journal of Cultural Studies*, and co-editor of *Cultures of Militarization* and other books. She is the principal investigator of the SSHRC-funded project "Digital Animalities: Media Representations of Nonhuman Life," a collaborative multiresearcher project on digitality and animality in the age of risk, which supported research for this chapter.

**Kate Jones** is a Senior Lecturer at Auckland University of Technology. Dr. Jones has a strong brand management background in the consumer food and wine industries gained in New Zealand and Australia. These experiences have lead to Kate's interest in the impact of social media use upon consumer brand choices, with a special focus on how children make these choices. Kate's business psychology background adds key skills to investigating this interesting area of consumer's lives. Kate balances her Ph.D. in marketing with a Master's degree in business psychology and a Bachelor of Arts degree. Kate specialises in qualitative research approaches and is publishing in the area of children and their use of social media. Kate brings a strong business background to her research profile and experience working with government and corporate clients.

**Irmine Kabimbi Ngoy** completed her Bachelor of Business (Honours) in Marketing at Auckland University of Technology. Kabimbi Ngoy is planning on doing a Ph.D. to continue researching in the area of marketing.

**J. Mitchell Vaterlaus,** Ph.D., LMFT, is an Associate Professor of Human Development and Family Science at Montana State University. His research focuses on technology use in adolescence and family interactions around technology.

**Dr. Victoria Goodyear** (University of Birmingham) is a Senior Lecturer in Pedagogy of Sport, Physical Activity and Health in the School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham, UK. Dr. Goodyear's research focuses on understanding and enhancing young people's health and wellbeing through research on pedagogy and digital technologies. Her research has been supported by research councils, trusts and industry, and she has published in pedagogy, education and qualitative research journals. Dr. Goodyear has given keynotes and invited talks in numerous countries around the world and communicated her research to policy and the media. She can be found on Twitter: @VGoodyear, and an example of her research can be accessed here: http://opencpd.net/Guidelines.html

**Professor Kathleen Armour** (University of Birmingham) was formerly Head of the School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation and is now Pro-Vice-Chancellor Education. Her research is in education and in career-long professional learning, and she is particularly interested in bridging the gaps between theory/research and practice. Professor Armour has received over £2.5 million of research funding from research councils, charities and industries, and she is Co-I on the Goodyear projects focused on apps and social media. In her most recent books, she has developed a new translational mechanism – 'pedagogical cases' – to support practitioner learning. This mechanism was recently applied to digital technologies to offer fresh insights into young people's learning. Alongside publishing widely in the field, Kathleen was a REF2014 panelist, is a Fellow of the Academy of Social Sciences and is an International Fellow of the National Academy of Kinesiology.

**Hannah Wood** worked as a Research Associate at the University of Birmingham in the School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences. Her research background is diverse, having begun her career working in sport policy before moving into the area of pedagogy, young people, health and digital technologies. She now works for The Active Wellbeing Society as a Senior Researcher.

**Elia Abi-Jaoude** is a psychiatrist, researcher, and clinical educator based at the Hospital for Sick Children in Toronto. He is also an Assistant Professor with the Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto.

**Antonio Pignatiello** is the Associate Psychiatrist-in-Chief at The Hospital for Sick Children (SickKids) and an Assistant Professor with the Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto.

**Karline Treurnicht Naylor** is a fifth-year psychiatry resident at the University of Toronto.

**Turki Alelyani** has a Ph.D. in Software Engineering from Stevens Institute of Technology and researches and publishes in Crowdsourcing, Human-Computer Interaction, A.I., and Healthcare related topics.

**Arup Kumar Ghosh** is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Mathematical, Computing, and Information Sciences at Jacksonville State University. He has a Ph.D. in Computer Science from the University of Central Florida. His research and teaching expertise lie at the intersection of Computer Science, Cybersecurity, Data Science, and Human-Computer Interaction (HCI). He has published several peer-reviewed journal and conference papers, including multiple first-author papers at ACM's premier conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI). His research work placed in UCF's top 10 research findings of 2018 and has been featured by popular news media outlets, including ABC News, NPR, Business Standard, Science Daily, and IEEE Security & Privacy. He has ample teaching experience and taught both introductory and advanced level Computer Science, Information Technology, Cybersecurity, and HCI courses.

**Larry Moralez** previously studied Philosophy and Cognitive Science at University of Central Florida before enrolling in their Ph.D. program in Modeling and Simulation. He is interested in studying human-machine interaction at multiple scales.

**Shion Guha** has a Ph.D. from Cornell University and is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Computer Science at Marquette University. His research interests cut across human-computer interaction, data science, and public policy. We've developed the term Human-Centered Data Science to introduce this intersectional research area that develops human-centered algorithmic methodologies which combine the best advances in AI/ML methods with interpretive inquiry and design practices in order to make human-centered data-driven contributions to particular application areas.

**Dr. Pamela Wisniewski** is an Associate Professor in the Department of Computer Science at the University of Central Florida. She is a Human-Computer Interaction researcher whose work lies at the intersection of Social Computing and Privacy. She is an expert in the interplay between social media, privacy, and online safety for adolescents. She has authored over 75 peer-reviewed publications and has won multiple best papers (top 1%) and best paper honorable mentions (top 5%) at ACM SIGCHI conferences. She has been awarded over \$3 million in external grant funding, and her research has been featured by popular news media outlets, including *ABC News, NPR, Psychology Today*, and *U.S. News and World Report*. She is an inaugural member of the ACM Future Computing Academy and the first computer scientist to ever be selected as a William T. Grant Scholar.

**Luci Pangrazio,** is a senior lecturer in literacy and language at Deakin University, Australia. Her research focuses on datafication, digital and data literacies, personal data and privacy, and young people's digital worlds. She is the author of Young People's Literacies in the Digital Age: Continuities, Conflicts and Contradictions (Routledge, 2019).

**Neil Selwyn** is a Distinguished Research Professor in the Faculty of Education, Monash University, who has worked for the past 25 years researching the integration of digital technology into schools, universities and adult learning. He is recognised as a leading international researcher in the area of digital education - with particular expertise in the 'real-life' constraints and problems faced when technology-based education is implemented. He is currently working on nationally-funded projects examining the roll-out of educational data and learning analytics, A.I. technologies, and the changing nature of teachers' digital work.

**Dr. Zoetanya Sujon** is Programme Director and Senior Lecturer for Communications and Media in the Media School at the London College of Communication, University of the Arts London. Prior to this, she was a senior lecturer and Course Leader for the M.A. in Media and Digital Communications at Regent's University London. Dr. Sujon's key areas of interest include digital and social media, platforms, privacy, datafication, and everyday life. She is currently researching data rights, platformization and data epistemologies. Zoetanya is also the author of *The Social Media Age* (Sage 2021).

**Melissa Brough** is Assistant Professor of Communication & Technology in the Department of Communication Studies at California State University Northridge. Her research focuses on the relationships between digital communication, civic/political engagement and social change. Much of her work considers the role of communication technology in the lives of youth from historically disenfranchised groups. Prior to joining CSUN, she carried out postdoctoral research on parenting, digital media use, and interest-driven learning among youth in low-income families for the Connected Learning Research Network (directed by Mizuko Ito). Her first book, *Youth Participation in Precarious Times: The Power of Polycultural Civics* (2020), is now available from Duke University Press.

**Amanda Ikin** is a recent graduate of the Master of Arts in Communication Studies at California State University, Northridge.

**Ioana Literat** is an Assistant Professor in the Communication, Media and Learning Technologies Design program at Teachers College, Columbia University. Dr. Literat's research examines participatory online cultures, with a particular focus on youth creative and civic participation. She is also the Associate Director of the Media & Social Change Lab (MASCLab) at Teachers College, and serves on the Board of Directors of the National Association of Media Literacy Education (NAMLE).

### Editors' Note

This text brings together more than 30 different authors across over a dozen academic disciplines to provide readers with the most compressive "meta view" of young people's relationships with social media. While exciting, this type of depth and breadth also presents real challenges. In working to remain consistent with the original publications for many of the reprinted articles, the intentions of the multiplicity of authors, and the wide breadth of academic disciplines, scholarly speciality, and medical practices involved in this collection, we have taken some liberty as the editors to waiver from an entirely consistent document with Chicago Style formatting. We have tried, where appropriate, to make adjustments to ensure consistency across the document, however we recognize the importance to discipline-specific work and to the original spirit of the piece for each of the authors, and in some cases, the desires of the original author, publishers, or discipline practice are given priority. This is particularly evident when citing, quoting, and paraphrasing the voices of young people themselves and with social media posts.

### Preface: It Ain't Easy to Theorize or Teach Media

#### Shirley R. Steinberg

#### Werklund School of Education, The University of Calgary

Incanting a lyric from The Eagles of my days, *it ain't easy* to consider the notions of young people, children, social media and digital culture. When I bought my first Apple 2C, a behemoth machine skinned in undefinable gray/green plastic, I had no idea of what future ramifications it contained. Computers of the mid-80s were close to the price of a used VW Bug, and many of us considered them a type of souped-up Selectric, the ultimate word "processing" apparatus. In a word, to the layperson, teachers, parents, kids, it was a new way to *type*, and with sophistication, play *Pong...*two miraculous changes to our lives: all for the betterment of children, youth and adults.

Many of us were introduced to computers through early sci-fi films and books, but *Star Trek, "The Ultimate Computer"* (Season 2, Episode 24 March 8, 1968), brought together computers and humans when the M-5 was introduced to the crew of the *Enterprise* with the intention of the computer to handle all issues, problems and *without any human involvement*. The M-5 was quickly able to handle traditional spaceship needs, and indeed, tasks were done with brevity and accuracy; the crew found that they could not possibly keep up with M-5. The downside of this superb invention was that the M-5 engaged in unexplained and misunderstood acts, which diminish the crew's ability to make decisions and function for the benefit of the inhabitants of the *Enterprise*. The M-5 cut off power (and air) in different areas of the ship and re-directed this power.

Identifying another vehicle, the M-5 attacked and Captain Kirk attempted to take the M-5 off-line; however, the order is moot, and a forcefield surrounded the computer for its protection. More situations develop which threaten the ship and other space crafts, while the creator of the M-5 continues to insist that the computer is created for *our own good*. Not one to spoil an ending, suffice my story to end with an assurance that the *Enterprise* and *Star Trek* continued for another season.

Early science fiction's bread crumbs were followed by technological advances, leading to expectations and continued changes...inspired by science, and most definitely by the unquenchable desire for capital a la the Bill Gates/Steve Jobs express, M-5's interventions on the Enterprise have multiplied and sophisticated. It's not like we weren't informed, warned about the implications of technology; as early as 1964, McLuhan (1964, 2001) noted that new technologies would bring more than the medium was indeed the message (1964). That we could not separate the technical from the interventional, the intellectual, the hermeneutic. That the device/machine/apparatus was intrinsically entwined with what it could accomplish. Neil Postman (1993) went on to caution us that technology would overcome society and culture to be shaped by the technology itself. Both scholars were ridiculed at the absurd assertions that mere machines could overcome humanity...and not much more than two decades ago, students of the media began to understand McLuhan's and Postman's warnings, but we had not yet begun to comprehend that bigger than the technology, the post-modern M-5's were the social and ideological implications of the words and images distributed. Social media had become the technical behemoth. Unlike the M-5, harmful, often irreversible, life-changing decisions and activities created by technology were now made by humans, by children as young as 2 or 3. The ultimate cultural hegemony was born through social media.

Young People and Social Media is a collection of contemporary and forwardthinking essays examining the different dimensions of social media, its multiple meanings and workings and the ways in which children and youth engage in and with it. The editors clearly articulate the importance of understanding the everyday and future ways in which young people engage with, operate within and are influenced by social media. I believe there is no more important personal, academic and pedagogical discussion than to participate in a never-editing examination and critique of social media. The book has been put together for the ultimate consumers, undergraduate teachers, who were/are still involved with social media, subject to it and the essential task for them to engage in a critical pedagogical read, analysis and curriculum which alerts students to the benefits, possibilities, probabilities, dangers and futures of social media. Media literacy is barely taught in many schools; indeed, it has passed us by. Social media is the new generation of media, and educational professions should be demanding appropriate attention to the strongest global influence on children and youth today. Steve Gennaro and Blair Miller have served us well to compile this volume. Read it, share it, and write about it... and begin to think about the next steps. Social media is here, and we can't get over it; we must get a handle on it and our students need the tools to responsibly use it, disseminate it, define it and if needed, decry it.

#### References

- McLuhan, Marshall. (1964, 2001). *Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man.* London: Taylor & Francis Group.
- Postman, Neil. (1993). *Technopoly: The Surrender of Culture to Technology*. New York: Vintage Press.

Larry Moralez, University of Central Florida, Shion Guha, Marquette University, Pamela Wisniewski, University of Central Florida

### Introduction: Contemporary Children's Culture in Digital Space(s)

#### Steve Gennaro, Blair Miller

#### York University, Toronto, Canada

There is a vital passage in Plato's *Republic* that eminent philosopher Bernard Williams summarizes thusly: "It is not a trivial question, Socrates said: what we are talking about is how one should live".<sup>1</sup> Framed this way, morality — how one ought to live — begs attention despite being obvious. Some things that we normalize in our society become overlooked as a result; whereas they were literally world-changing at the time, they become part of our background understanding of how one ought to live. There is nothing wrong with this per se, as long as we remain aware of the idea that from the beginning of (North-)Western society moral virtues that would ideally come instinctually instead require discourse, attention, and responsiveness to change over time — and that these things run the risk of evading those very same processes due to their entrenched nature. As Williams' claim asserts, this endeavor, this discourse itself, is an intrinsically moral one.<sup>2</sup>

The very notion of youth meets these criteria. Childhood itself is a social construct of Romantic and Puritan discourse, spurred forth in large part by the drastic shifts in labour that defined the Industrial Revolution.<sup>3</sup> Prior to this point in history, individuals of most ages were considered and treated in more similar ways, but once established as separate and more vulnerable, children were granted extra protections against physical and psychological threats.<sup>4</sup> Thus, childhood represents a moral decision in that the very concept and its characteristics exist as an ethical response to fluid existential standards. Under Williams' terms, youth benefits from - if not begs for - the aforementioned attention and discourse, and it does so with moral weight. As such, inquiry is ongoing; childhood and our relationship to it is something that gets updated in order to better position youth within safe and nurturing limits, and the perpetual moral urgency involved here details a learning curve whose slope humbles us in self-reflexivity. Witness how obvious it should have been to distinguish children from adults under the pall of coal fumes, or how the UN Convention on Rights of the Child is 30 years young.

For an indication that such moral decisions are indeed still immediate when concerning youth look no further than the tech industry's leading minds — not at their public stances toward the use of technology, but rather the stance they take when it concerns their own families. During research for *Irresistible*, his incisive look into technology and addiction, Adam Alter came across a 2014 article by *New York Times* journalist Nick Bilton, who discovered that at least several leaders in Silicon Valley — Apple's Steve Jobs and Twitter co-founder Evan Williams among them — exacted restrictions upon their own children in terms of which sorts of technology they are allowed to use, and how often. Alter draws a telling, if harsh analogy: "It seemed as if the people producing tech products were following the cardinal rule of drug dealing: never get high on your own supply".<sup>5</sup>

This realization is helpful in asserting two claims: that those who know the most about the technology they have ushered into ubiquitous roles in our lives know that children should not be interacting with it unfettered, and that morality is constitutive of relationships between youth and technology. In other words, the closest experts believe in mediation between youth and technology, and that this - from the ground up - is imbued with moral reasons and ethical manifestations of them in the form of rules, restrictions, monitoring: discourse. Although the maxim "it takes a village to raise a child" can inhabit the ranks of cliché, this discourse is clearly misrepresented when limited to direct parent-child and/or child-tech dynamics because to accurately encompass the scope of youth interactions with technology would require recognition of myriad other spheres overlapping to form an intersectional whole. It isn't just technology - especially social media - that is ubiquitous among youth, but also the duty to call for and act out discourse about both, and from as many fields as possible. Young People and Social Media represents an attempt to answer that call to duty, which inherently outlines moral standpoints that are sensitive to the ubiquity of social media among youth as well as notions of play and inclusivity for young people within participatory family (and, to a broader extent, social) frameworks.

This edited collection explores children, youth, and digital culture — in particular the practices, relationships, consequences, benefits, and outcomes of the experiences of young people with, on, and through social media — by bringing together a vast array of different ideas about childhood, youth, and young people's lives. The ideas here are drawn from scholars working in a variety of different and often seemingly disparate disciplines, and more than just describing the social construction of childhood or the everyday actions in children's lives, this collection seeks to encapsulate not only how young people exist on social media but also how their physical lives are impacted by their digital presence. Adaptable as humans are, that can often be the

problem: nascent technologies require more discourse than the time popular culture affords them. To be sure, social media has entrenched itself into everyday life much faster than even sufficient conscientious analysis could have foreseen. This might be especially true among youth.

#### Proliferation, play, patronage

The role played by digital media in the lives of children constantly presses up against our sensibilities. The notion that moral standpoints are indeed not trivial gathers more force as the occasions that call for said standpoints become more ubiquitous. On top of its injection into the everyday, discourse about youth and digital media also matters deeply when it comes to typical aspects of children at play, and how youth is mediated by adulthood — each interaction a child has with digital media elicits ethical standards of behaviour, both of which carry moral consequences that feed back into technologies themselves, and so on. To engage in discourse about youth and digital media means at first to accept and integrate these truths, but not blindly, or without the sort of conscientious landscape that can be surveyed by a locus of perspectives such as the one provided by the pages that follow.

Since the impact of technology upon children remains so complicated to grasp, assessing the extent to which digital - and specifically social media plays a role in the lives of youth is still a prerequisite for our discourse. While that discourse might apply aptly to technology in general, in terms of moral awareness around youth one statistical access point is the use of mobile devices. Smartphones in particular are the most ubiquitous. The use of these devices by children is resoundingly taken up by time on social media, and in arguably a more private manner than a tablet or family computer. In the United States of America, a prime sample ground for unfettered social media use, teen access to a smartphone has risen dramatically in recent years: from 73% of teens surveyed in 2014-2015 to 95% just three years later. The same study states "smartphone ownership is nearly universal among teens of different gender, races and ethnicities and socioeconomic backgrounds".6 However, coinciding with that increase of access has been a near-doubling of time spent online. Over the same time span, 45% of teens claim to use the internet "almost constantly" - up from 24%. Add to this that another 44% report going online several times per day, which means that in 2018 approximately nine out of every 10 American teenagers went online at least that often.7 Guided by social custom within young demographics, teens likely drive usage behaviour in a trickle-up and trickle-down manner to other age groups as well.

Regardless, this dramatic surge in internet usage begs the question, what are teens now *doing* with their time online? Here in Canada, where both us editors

reside, four out of five Canadians say that they keep up to date with the news through social media sites "like Facebook, LinkedIn and Twitter"8 - and that does not account for the time users spend on social media for other, dare we say, more functionally-specific reasons, such as posting content, direct messaging, and video links. Furthermore, as many of the chapters in this collection indicate, social media is serving an increasing number of functions for users as its existence proliferates into areas such as health, exercise monitoring, and gaming. One effect has been the hybridizing of communicative media technologies such as chat functions in video games with the greater realm of social media in ways that have increased and intensified due to necessity during the current COVID-19 pandemic, and young people are no exception.9 In fact, youth rule the day when it comes to social media use. As recently as 2017, a UNICEF report concludes that across many socioeconomic and geographical spheres young people use social media at a higher rate than any other age group.<sup>10</sup> Still, precise data in these areas remains elusive, as another UNICEF report from the year prior explains — while also confidently asserting its titular claim that one third of all online users worldwide are children.<sup>11</sup> It follows, then, that in terms of both online presence and social media proclivity, the only "sure things" about youth and social media is that most of them use it often - extensively so - and that it is difficult to appraise the nuances of this phenomenon with quantifiable precision.

As is the case when reaching the stage of learning something as layered and complex as a new language, what this statistical knowledge does is help us better grasp how much we do *not* know. There are daunting numbers; it is not just the sheer volume that is so dizzying, but its multifaceted nature. It is difficult to properly contextualize something so everywhere, something that also grows and changes exponentially, seemingly by nature. (These statistical challenges do not even account for the increasingly proprietary nature of user statistics online, ultimately limiting authentic access.) *Young People and Social Media* approaches this task as a challenge to be met diligently. Arguably the most demanding contested space for our species and planet is our collective future(s) – and as the retread maxim states, that space *is* our children. Honouring this obligation is the general goal of this collection. Whether said goal is satisfied or not is, like the burgeoning future of youth, open-ended.

As though it possesses a sort of self-awareness, the notion of contestation refuses to be overlooked in these discourses. Open-ended spaces, childhood, the future, are necessarily under negotiation, and the young individual brings these forces together through acts of play. Even the way online play is "born", so to speak, is often done by (re-)negotiating access to online space in a subversive manner — one which exposes some key gaps in youth online

access. Specifically, one of our own children has at least one online profile that claims his/her birth year to be longer ago than our own, merely to gain access to online content that requires users be at least 13 years of age. This hinderance to concrete data for tracking youth activity online delineates the space as subversive from the outset; that space's inability to maintain its own user rules also shapes it as contested. More generally, contested spaces need not be considered primarily on negative terms. Those spaces are contested by nature, but not necessarily competitive - contestation and/or negotiation can occur without healthy or unhealthy rivalries. In this sense, contested spaces for youth can verge more on the playful side than that of a contest, illuminating their characteristics as matching those of social media spaces as children are wont to use them. Drawing a more direct line that extrapolates from these claims, contested spaces are made for play. Now, consider some characteristics of youth: finer motor skills, mental flexibility and ability to absorb and integrate than their adult counterparts, especially in the case of new languages and literacies. Like contested spaces, youth is made for play; children are practically built for it. The overlap here is considerable.

Circling back to Williams' claim at the outset, youth play and its exigent circumstances are not trivial matters. The patterns of subversion from the outset position acts of play as modifiers in children's lives. Put more concretely, children use play as one constitutive way to make sense of their lives. Through sequences of imagination that allow internalized present moments and surroundings to elicit "virtual" or imaginary futures, children decode the actual physical world around them. Considered this way, play holds a somewhat privileged status in youth as playing a role in socialization, identity formation, and development. In other words, play paints children's pathways to their futures by making sense of the present moment in more dynamic (or at least alternative) ways than at-hand empirical sensory recognition. To play is to engage with futures. This carries moral weight to it — what is formative is what either enables or prevents moral cognisance to varying degrees.

But as with most things passed through the prism of globalization, play can also be refracted, separated, warped, distorted. The lion's share of online user activity across all ages amounts to "involuntary"<sup>12</sup> participation in informal market research conducted by the controllers of each site or platform on behalf of themselves and/or paying clientele. For youth, that results in the expansion of online play into work — commodified child labour in digital space. To make matters more complicated, this constant transactional online presence can even inform research on the subject matter. This commodification further positions discourse about youth online as a morally weighty matter, as does the very analysis of youth undertaken in these pages,

since instrumentalizing — even exploitation — is always nearby. Thus, a harrowing context is revealed for young online users. They are unwittingly involved in a tête-à-tête match, whereby lab coats, algorithms and ad execs make up the other half of a game designed to perfectly play with the young subject(s) in a way that in and of itself suggests, directs, and even implants codes for moral behaviour — arguably at a point in time during youth development that precedes internal wherewithal.

Such realities are daunting, to say the least. Under these circumstances, where is the room for agency for the child? Where, for that matter, is the room for agency for anyone? When it comes to discourse about youth and social media, alarmist responses and/or positions feel constantly within arm's reach. An alarmist standpoint inhabits much of the same conceptual territory as top-down hierarchal approaches to age - especially within parental and educational frameworks. It is easier to be alarmist when understanding something from the distance maintained by parent/educator-child relationships; no matter how "close" and "in sync" either of the former figures may feel to a child (or vice versa), the hierarchal nature of the relationships resists shared understanding on equal footing, and that lack can easily trigger concern, even alarm. As with most things, this is about power, and as with the power of the gaze, the power of observation, and physical power - all of which are implicit in academic discourses about childhood - cogent analysis is about recognizing and dealing with the inherent power imbalances for both youth and adult, as opposed to trying to do away with, ignore, or sound alarm over them in a way that would be deflationary for the field itself. Seen this way, we have serious doubts that true responsible discourse on the matter of youth and social media can even be alarmist at all. This supposition thwarts the primacy of top-down hierarchal approaches to youth studies.

Consider: When the dynamics and content of digital media is as fluid as it is now, what exactly are we, as adults, protecting youth from? Do we need equally fluid responses to that? Can intersectionality be a partial response to this challenge? Anyone who has spent time raising or studying youth knows the folly in pigeonholing them. Young minds, bodies, behavioural sets, relationships, existences, even, are nascent almost by definition. Of all the gatekeepers online, the utmost ones for most children remains their parent(s), or whichever authority figures stand in as such. This position of power is altogether unavoidable, but it is a complicated one. In terms of childhood experience, parents exercise control of, and police the right to, a child's privileges, and this necessarily includes online space. In this sense, the position of power involved in family hierarchies is a relationship partly defined by patronage. But in order to both more accurately understand children, and to allow them the freedom to be seen under those same circumstances, adults must aim for discourse about childhood that avoids the other sense of the idea to patronize children — wherever feasible they must not prejudice analysis from a primarily top-down perspective. The moral implications of this stance should be obvious: We owe it to ourselves and children to conceive of and interact with them in ways that recognize, and ideally account for, the realities and problematic nature of top-down relationships — in both discourse about youth, and in parenting itself.

#### Moving subjects, moving positions

Our collective academic discourses on youth are as inseparable from hierarchal age bias as the observer's gaze is from empirical research. As such, we do not shy away from the relative lack of peer-to-peer youth analysis here. Although online interaction among youth cohorts is likely the most prevalent type of demographic relationship on social media, part of acknowledgment of the complications caused by top-down research involves leaving aspects of that voice to children themselves. This is not to discount the value of such peer-to-peer discourse within the field(s) of Child and Youth Studies. Rather, studies that aim for a more horizontal ilk in terms of content and/or hierarchy of research deserve another space; the limitations placed on fitting such a study into the thematic approaches that define the content of this collection would not do either spheres justice. We are not even sure if it is hyperbole to depict youth space as something like searching for balance amidst a storm of peer pressures, privacy, nurturing, hierarchal, and even honesty/performative issues. After all, we have all of us literally been there — and for many of us, that was before social media.

There will be references to gaps in the pages and chapters to follow. One of the challenges — and appeal — of Child and Youth Studies is that youth are moving subjects considered from moving positions; *Young People and Social Media* is a sequence of chapters on this demanding field that hit marks within the current moments they capture. Each entry has been included in part for its recentness, with the implicit understanding that socio-technological change is constant and certainly feels exponential, both subjectively and objectively. The contributors in this collection engage in rigorous discourse of varying scopes and subjects, resulting in 18 different approaches engaging with core data that, though apt, are never comprehensive, and never absolute. Even in their success in filling academic gaps, they also leave and/or expose others due to the aforementioned dynamics of Child and Youth Studies.

A Canadian television station recently re-aired an episode of *Law & Order* in which a teen suspect is involved in criminal acts online. The coda of dialogue for the show applies here: "It's always ten p.m. somewhere, do you know where your children are?"<sup>13</sup> The significance of this line can extend beyond

mere supervisory purposes: the internet never sleeps. Online space and activity are literally a constant flow. Child and Youth Studies should endeavor to parallel, even match, this flow — not just in terms of presence, but also in terms of content, information quota, and research systems — a dialogical infrastructure, if you will, a back-and-forth that bridges those didactic systems of inquiry with the global online current of media technologies (that last term being a more encompassing one than allowable when limiting it to social and news media). Therefore, this collection and the greater field(s) it is a part of comprise an academic ecology that in its way can help to fill academic gaps with future discourse. Again, like online flow itself, these discourses are always ongoing and contested like the spaces they examine (and thusly create). *Young People and Social Media* is a signpost along a road without end that is rife with unexpected bumps and turns. Kids and the internet are as surprising as they are predictable.

To wit: there are historical landmarks that have played out during the process of bringing this collection to print - ones that have already begun to change our real and virtual landscapes, producing results that might make the staunchest of cynics balk. All of the essays in this collection were submitted for publication prior to the American presidential election in 2020. Many of them were also largely written and/or researched with a passing opportunity at best to analyze the COVID-19 pandemic and its impacts. This timing also means that the watershed insurrectionist movement in the U.S. on January 6, 2021, rests outside of this book's scope of analysis. While racial unrest around the world has intensified over that same timespan, there are some chapters here that address BLM and the like, albeit prior to this recent and welcome surge of popularity. It would be optimistic at best to wish that these aforementioned historical factors merely accelerate or emphasize so many of the power imbalances that are already so urgent. Global and domestic factors such as the rise of conservative populist politics, the daunting perils nature poses for us - seemingly in response to our own technological advancements - and racial and economic divides growing wider and wider are also transmogrifying right before our eyes in ways that are both more visceral due to, and made more accessible by, social media technologies. While on the matter, perhaps if the desolate time of the Trump presidency and its acceleration of the expansion of fringe politics largely through social media has shown us anything, it is that adults are not exactly collectively responsible experts on social media either. Top-down approaches to youth studies overlook this at their own risk.

These recent events and the social unrest caused by them are part of a wave presaged almost in real time by a small nascent countermovement that seems to have started among some once-high-ranking corporate social media intelligentsia "gone rogue", perhaps most prominently manifest so far in the Netflix documentary film *The Social Dilemma*, and also proliferating across talk/radio/podcast circuits. While much of the youth-specific messaging in the film is unfortunately wrapped in an alarmist tone, this movement itself — especially coming in part from individuals who have participated directly in the creation, maintenance and manipulation of social media, and specifically algorithms that are, even with explanation, an opaque barrier to understanding user exploitation and dependency upon social media — stands within the same counterbalancing continuum that this collection addresses. The absence of these events and their reactions in these pages is proof of the call to precise, yet continuous discourse.

One person in particular stands out in The Social Dilemma: Cathy O'Neill, mathematician, and author of Weapons of Math Destruction. Her presence in the film is striking, not just for her unconventional hair style at the time, but because she is the lone female face and voice among the throng of social media experts allowed to speak in the documentary. While this might serve as no surprise, it touches on an immediate and unavoidable issue with Young People and Social Media - that of its editors both being middle-class CIS white men. For us, the time has long passed when blind objectivity in academic work is something feasible, let alone to aim for. We cannot change who we are in these senses; what we can do is our best to account for the immeasurable gaps in identity, experience - everything! - with the chapters to follow, and the contributors' identities that often stand in healthy contradistinction to our own. Perhaps the best, or at least most direct, rationale we can offer is that - as with matters of racial, gender, and other inequities that threaten healthy participation in citizenship and media interaction - it behooves those who possess the greater share of access to power to seek opportunities to ally. As Heather McGhee asserts in The Sum of Us — What Racism Costs Everyone and How We Can Prosper Together, these critical rifts across unequal standing around the world amount to deeply involving discord within all of us.14 This observation is at once a response to invalidations of affluent white CIS male perspectives, and acknowledgement of the disproportionate power that our deeply flawed group possesses. As with age bias mentioned above, we do not shy away from these crucial gaps in any way that should resist inclusive discourse, and we have tried to account for our obvious lack in these foundational areas of youth studies with the contents of this collection - both in the discourses themselves, and those enacting/writing them.

In the film *Contact* based on the Carl Sagan novel of the same title, NASA specialists give impromptu astronaut Ellie Arroway a poisonous pill to take as a last resort with her on her journey through an interdimensional space portal

gifted to humanity by an extraterrestrial race, "mostly for the reasons we can't think of".<sup>15</sup> To be sure, the moving subjects of inquiry being viewed in this collection, from moving positions, are often elusive as well - they hide, differentiate, change, and even deceive. This set of studies is not just for what it anticipates, but also for so many other factors and outcomes that may not seem readily available. Single instances of discourse about young people and social media, even when successful in their goals, are insufficient unless contextualized within an ongoing and responsive academic continuum. In this vein, the very designation "social media" is becoming so hybridized that thinking of it in fixed terms is proving to be inaccurate the more time plays out, and the more media technologies develop along with those producing and controlling content. The analyses in this collection thusly understand that the term "social media" takes different forms depending on explained contexts within the greater digital environment. As users - especially adult ones - we have a responsibility in principle to understand social media within this greater socio-technological environment. This responsibility is met in part on moral terms, by dealing with who we are, and the conceptual frameworks for the task at hand.

# Voices and rights

The nuances and aforementioned inevitability of top-down hierarchal relationships that in large part define academic discourse about youth and social media are worth revisiting here because accounting for them proves to play a formative role in contemporary children's culture itself. Though often marginalized by existing adult culture, children's culture is situated firmly within mainstream society, in large part because, as mentioned above, the very concept of childhood originated in contrast to adulthood; where children exist through our shared histories, so do adults, whether the ontology and language to categorize them existed at the time or not. As such, just like observations of any culture, understanding that of children is derived from the stories, rituals, and practices inhabited by youth. However, in the case of children, the amalgamation of these factors is determined largely by parallel sets of adult ideas, fears, ambitions, and rules about children, as well as adult versions of the same for youth themselves present in dominant social structures. These intrinsic intersectionalities between childhood and adulthood make the exploration of stories that young people engage with crucial in order to give us a sense of child literacy as it pertains to their own culture and media interactions. One point of access here is the intermingled voices of youth and adults on the matter, specifically what one of us has elsewhere referred to as the three voices of contemporary children's culture.<sup>16</sup>

- 1. **Institutional voices about children (IVAC)** describe who children are at any given social moment. Primarily occupying institutional spaces of government, education, health care and other similar social organizations, these voices order and classify. These institutions detail social roles and/or functions for children, including rules for which space(s) children can or cannot occupy as well as rules governing their participation and behaviour in said spaces. Children's voices are largely absent from IVACs.
- 2. **Institutional voices for children (IVFC)** take those institutional ideas about children in IVACs and communicate them to children, making them didactic, and typically part of popular culture. Whatever form of media IVFCs take, they are the means through which IVACs are disseminated to children. IVFCs explain these aspects of the world and a child's role in it to children, but they do not define a child's life, nor do they regulate it. In fact, IVFCs allow room for contested children's voices as well, answering the demands of (pseudo) autonomy within the experience of youth development.
- 3. Children's own voices (COV) are play-based in the same ways explained above. They are largely media-dependent the result of children's participation in the social world as they take up the ideas of IVACs via engagement with IVFCs. Seen through these lenses, play is youth engagement with popular media in order to name and make sense of their world through their own rituals, practices and action. In doing so, children "speak back" to the dominant voices.<sup>17</sup> Recall: play is subversive in nature; those acts allow children to speak for or against the ideologies that make up their shared reality.

These three voices overlap conceptually, making a Venn diagram whereby contemporary children's culture rests in the middle as both the intersection with and interaction between the social construction of childhood and real, lived youth experiences.

It is not just that the average child is situated within a media-dominant culture. This nexus of voices that makes up contemporary children's culture is wired by media, and as we have already explained, this is maintained by children primarily through social media. Through social media — and in real time — children express and negotiate their identities, societal roles, and the power (or lack) of access to social and cultural capital. This means that in

order to study contemporary children's culture one must aim to understand the relationship between young people and social media. Studying social media in the present moment illuminates both the limiting aspects of media for contemporary children's culture in the sense that it can oppress, mute, and exploit children's voices, as well as the positive, ground-breaking possibilities for media as a contested space within which activism and agency are still possible. This ascribes to social media a liberating potential for children and even democracy as a whole that can feel counterintuitive today.

All of this being said, the resulting discourses and/or possibilities that come from these standpoints still require structure and framing. The United Nations, UNICEF, and their rights-based approach provides us with the semantics for what is a child, youth and young people. But first, as a relatively new discipline, Child and Youth Studies nonetheless has its roots in much older and deeper histories — much like globalization. To further complicate matters, COVs are typically absent from international histories, so comprehensive research on global lives of children must reach beyond typical post-colonial thought. Indigenous Maori theorist Linda Tuhiwai Smith correctly claims that "imperialism frames the indigenous experience"<sup>18</sup>; we argue that globalization frames the childhood experience. Seen this way, a rights-based approach to Child and Youth Studies is an interdisciplinary approach to the study of children's lives, working through the three voices of contemporary children's culture.

A rights-based approach is a procedural framework that seeks to place the child and the rights of the child as defined by the 1989 United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCRC) as central to all interactions with young people. Article Three of the UNCRC — widely referred to as "the best interests principle" asserts that adults should prioritize said interests of young people whenever making or considering choices that will affect them.<sup>19</sup> A rights-based approach extends this principle with specificity to ensure that as adult allies, we act with an anti-oppressive anti-racist, child-centered, and intersectional framework when working with young people. Tuhiwai Smith offers a set of questions that she suggests be intrinsic to any research project with indigenous peoples, and we see them applying with equal but not identical necessity in order for Child and Youth Studies to be rights-based:<sup>20</sup>

- Whose research is it?
- Who owns it?
- Whose interests does it serve?
- Who will benefit from it?

xl

- Who has designed its questions and framed its scope?
- Who will carry it out?
- Who will write it up?
- How will its results be disseminated?

The implied consequences here for the perils of top-down hierarchal study are significant. As Tuhiwai Smith states, again applying the same notion, but to indigenous study:

When indigenous peoples become the researchers and not merely the researched, the activity of research is transformed. Questions are framed differently, priorities are ranked differently, problems are defined differently, people participate on different terms.<sup>21</sup>

Said terms are constantly negotiated within another contested framework, and that is the delineation of youth and childhood themselves.

Here, we return to the utmost global authority on not only children's rights, but also the guidelines for determining what a child is: the UNCRC. That binding international human rights convention defines children as anyone under the age of 18. At first glance, this might seem like an overgeneralization that ignores canonical age subcategories such as adolescent, youth, and teenager. However, like children's stories, rituals, and practices, those age-designated terms all speak for implicit ideologies that are mirror reflections of adult fears and anxieties. Those fears are projected upon the youth in order to safeguard discourses that colonize children and deny them access to types of power.<sup>22</sup> This proves childhood to be a social construct. However, these loaded discourses, classifications and representations have real consequences in society. Adolescence and childhood become categories of distinction within which relationships of power, domination, and inequality are continually contested. As Henry Jenkins suggests,

This marginalization affects not only how we understand the child, its social agency, its cultural contexts, and its relations to powerful institutions, but also how we understand adult politics, adult culture, and adult society, which often circle around the specter of the innocent child.<sup>23</sup>

In other words, terms like adolescent, teenager, Child and Youth Studies all represent power structures that have become so normalized we tend not to see the dangers inherent in them.

xli

Positioned against this conundrum, the age range of a child provided by the UNCRC and UNICEF — the former being the latter's stated basis for all its work<sup>24</sup> — is an attempt to depoliticize the ideologies at work that limit COVs through markers of age among young people. Obvious differences in power, ability, and agency exist between, say, a two-year-old and a 12-year-old. However, in terms of rights, the same ones apply to all people under the age of 18. In many ways, the UNCRC is established with the same problematic IVACs that make possible a social construction of childhood — this might even be unavoidable. But UNICEF does attempt to create some distinction between Child and Youth Studies, with child referring to those under 15 and youth referring to those ages 15-24, while acknowledging that UNCRC extends children's rights to 18 to be in line with what most nation-states deem to be the age of majority, and that the purpose of these rights is to protect and provide for young people until they are old enough to be recognized by the state as primary actors in their own lives.<sup>25</sup>

Although these explanations do not necessarily provide full clarity for agerelated terms used to refer to young people, what they do is establish the whole enterprise as morally relevant: Adults and children position youth (or do not) in ways that they believe they *ought* to be understood and treated. Whether these acts result in morally good or bad behaviour and/or outcomes remains up to specific instances — if it was not, there would be no need for regulatory bodies such as UNICEF. In fact, as time plays out, age markers continue to be examined, re-examined, moved, and transmogrified -contested! — in tandem with societal forces seen and unseen. This is no coincidence; childhood is the result of fluid identities within fluid circumstances. Pseudo-static legislative rights for children do not contradict this fluidity — they allow it the opportunity to properly flourish, as it does for children themselves. For the purposes of this collection, then, age-"specific" terms have not been scrutinized, but rather allowed the contextual uses that fit each study — with the implicit recognition that universal rights undergird each term, in each understandably porous instance of their use.

# Receptive, responsive, and renegotiable

The prioritizing of children's rights as an entry point for Child and Youth Studies is reflected in the order of chapters that follow this introduction. Care and extended research were taken in selecting and collating the work of our esteemed contributors, but this is not to say that the essays in *Young People and Social Media* cannot be emphasized, separated, reordered or recontextualized in other reasonable ways in order to impress upon neophytes and experts alike. Just as the discipline must be receptive, responsive, and renegotiable, so must be its artifacts — it follows to balance

the commendable preciseness and ambition of each study ahead with the possibilities and changes they project into the future. In other words, engage with critical discourse; each piece welcomes it, as does the internal logic of the collection as a whole.

Without practical application, academic theory is just that. Dealing with rights-based global policy initiatives is at once as comprehensive demographically, and as action-oriented, as one can ask. As far as Child and Youth Studies goes, the foundational document remains the UNCRC, and UNICEF is the wing of the United Nations that locates the lives of young people within approaches commiserate with the convention. "Growing Up in a Connected World" is a key UNICEF report, and a worthwhile jumping-off point for discourse. It is co-authored by Sonia Livingstone, the global expert on young people's digital rights, as well as Daniel Kardefelt-Winther, who, more recently at UNICEF's Office of Research - Innocenti has pushed the digital lives of young people to the forefront of all discussion around children's rights. The work in this report stands as a baseline for how young people use and occupy digital spaces globally and offers insight into some procedural gaps in rights provision. Those gaps are met with recommendations for further study, and for more equitable and just distribution of resources for youth in digital spaces. Making more direct and overt contact with the UNCRC, global Human Rights Law expert John Tobin offers readers an orientation course to the convention in "Understanding the Relationship Between Young People and Social Media: What Role Do Rights Play?". By walking us through the UNCRC and how it extends into digital spaces noting unique problems posed for policy makers and youth along the way -Tobin's chapter effectively frames this whole collection within a rights-based approach to studying the lives of young people. These two essays provide a horizon of tensions of power between young people and the legislation that protects them - a horizon that surveys the landscape of the work to follow.

The politics of youth expression are tied to the social movements that accommodate them. Any rights-based approach to studying young people's lives should actively seek to hear COVs themselves. Those voices are often received most poignantly in areas of subversion, protest and agency. For youth today, there is no greater issue than climate change, and thanks in part to youth climate activist Greta Thunberg there is no better current example of how young people can organize, mobilize, and speak back using social media than climate change activism — which reached into the priorities of adult social media algorithms with the peaceful climate school strikes of 2019. "School Strike 4 Climate: Social Media and the International Youth Protest on Climate Change" provides real data exploration of how young people availed themselves of Twitter to take part in the lead-up to the strikes. Examining

what youth said and did online in this context provides access to COVs, and allows one to witness how social media can be used to amplify those voices even when they are legally denied access to those very channels of discussion (recall from above that this is often how children "play" their way into digital spaces). "Resisting Youth: From Occupy through Black Lives Matter to the Trump Resistance" functions somewhat differently than the previous chapter on climate change, in that it is a historical piece instead of one relying upon quantitative data. As such it contextualizes for the reader both the connection between youth and social protest online, and a pointed reminder that the titular countermovement events of 2019 and 2020 did not occur in a vacuum. While other essays in this collection — such as the Berkeley Media Studies one that succeeds this - provide hard data pertaining to how social media devices were used, and what was said during these online protests, it is still valuable to see the longer historical trajectory of an inequitable power relationship between young people and the governing bodies that shape their lives and experiences. As long as there has been youth and media, young people have leveraged the participatory components of the latter to activate VOCs, and, in turn, social protest.

Participation is far from equal across online selves, however. Serving as a strong reminder of these unequal experiences, "Trauma, Resilience, and #BlackLivesMatter: How do Racism and Trauma Intersect in Social Media Conversations?" provides a lens through which we can extrapolate and infer how the experiences of posting content on social media, and how that content is received by the greater audience, are heavily influenced by race. All of these rights-based approaches are reminders that such standpoints must be antiracist, gender-neutral, trauma-informed, and anti-discriminatory based on age.

Individual identity is wrapped up in so many aspects of Child and Youth Studies as well as activism. Identity for youth is about finding one's pace and place in the world. "Youth's Relationship with Social Media: Identity Formation Through Self-Expression and Activism" uses BLM and climate activism to connect the role of identity with that of activism by examining how young people assess their own selves by connecting to groups and participating in larger social activism. One of the most dangerous facets of identity formation at any age is how image-oriented it has become — literally and figuratively. One main hub for negotiating identity in social media on image-mediated terms is Instagram, as explored in "Living Their Best Life: Instagram, Social Comparison and Young Women". This chapter delves into that platform and the ways that users experience positive or negative selfperceptions in tandem with the presentation of their friends' and followees' lives there. This in turn expands into user differentiation between that comparative set and the images of other identities on the social media channels they follow. If this more general take on the throes of youth identity within a space of unrealistic images to aspire to fails to elicit concern, then specifics about body image should. "The Selfie Generation: Examining the Relation Between Social Media Use and Adolescent Body Image" explores the negative impact of social media on body image, and the resulting physical harm that can come from this. Building on the previous articles here, the substantial impact social media is having on self-image and body image becomes virtually undeniable. If we are attempting to understand children better in order to improve their individual and collective standing and selfworth, then addressing these issues is paramount.

Negative body image and resulting forms of self-harm are difficult to separate from the alarmist stances taken by adults that we have examined above. Video games are no stranger to such accusatory receptions either, and despite their constant sense of "brand newness" (or out-of-touchness, depending on one's side of the proverbial fence) their being subject to moral panic over youth discourse has historical roots in its industry that date back beyond contemporary trends — as "The *Video Kids* Are All Right: A Comparative Analysis of Moral Panics Around Youth and Social Gaming Containment and Resistance" demonstrates while debunking some of the longstanding myths about video games and young people.

Domesticated pets are a more benign form of youth interaction — at least until they are considered in the hands of Jody Berland, and on digital terms. "Playing with Pets, Playing with Machines, Playing with Futures" considers child identity formation amidst the forces of community, friends, and play, and how a digital pet — even the cuteness of it — serves as a node in an intersection of relationships, making digital pets important and ubiquitouslyappealing components of childhood imagination that open up not only present experiences for young users, but the future as well. Building on spaces of play, YouTube is a play space for digital youth; it is where young people congregate to learn about the world around them, and then play with the knowledge they gain in order to speak their own imagined selves (back, again) into existence. This massive reach of YouTube has been seized by parents and children alike in the form of youth social media influencers. This recent capitalist phenomenon is examined in "Digital Media and Kidfluencers in the Twenty-First Century are Here: What and Who are the World's Children Watching?", exposing multiple levels of discursive tension between capitalism, exploitation, political economy, and children's rights.

Partly out of necessity, parents play an enabling role for Kidfluencers, bringing attention to parental roles within discourses about young people. Turning to psychology and Bronfenbrenner's Ecological System Theory, "Connected or Disconnected?: Parent-Adolescent Relationships and Interactive Technology" argues that the period of adolescence is a specific space within which the parent-child relationship requires particular attention. By looking firsthand at the nature of communication between parents and adolescents, this chapter shows how technology use can add either more trust or more strain to that part of a family dynamic, and how technological advancements have made this more immediate.

Any responsible discourse in Child and Youth Studies should also be mindful of health. In an implicit way, health is present in every chapter in this collection, but some take on the matter more directly, such as "Young People and Their Engagement With Health-Related Social Media: New Perspectives". This article connects to earlier chapters on happiness, body image, and identity formation among young people via social media. However, instead of psychology or media studies, this study dissects those relationships across health discourses and the posting of health-related content online. It also offers useful insight into the levels of engagement young people have with social media content. Health is never skin-deep, though, and "Smartphones, Social Media Use, and Youth Mental Health" provides a synopsis of several studies over multiple years to gain a sense of the most pressing challenges to the mental health of young people, as brought on my social media. The data suggests a laundry list of problems that can, do and will arise in young people's health online — and offline — as a result. This chapter outlines what those problems are, and how being educated about them is important in order for adults to inform policy makers, educators, and themselves as caregivers in order to assist young people in navigating this terrain. Carried out by a group of professors who also serve as practitioners at SickKids Hospital in Toronto, this represents our most involved perspective from the front lines, as it were.

One tenet of young people's good health is their protection. Due to its potential for anonymity, unsupervised use, and uncensored (read: easily accessible either way) content, the internet is likely the influence in a young person's life that they are the most stringently protected from; among those perils that they are legally granted access to prior to the age of majority, it is likely the last to have that protection fully lifted. This is in large part due to the complicated nature of child censorship, and the like. IVACs are forever trying to catch up with changes in technology, content, and standards for evaluating each. The UNCRC is not immune to this issue. "Examining Parent Versus Child Reviews of Parental Control Apps on Google Play" takes this challenge headon by juxtaposing the tensions between parental guidance online and the freedom of young people to learn and grow independently in online spaces, with the aforementioned "best interests principle" in the UNCRC. Also at issue in this study is trust: this article conducts its findings by examining how young people and parents view parental control apps specifically designed to allow parents the opportunity to surveil their child's online usage. Similar to the offline world, in which it must be specified not to tell strangers where one lives, young people often have yet to develop healthy reticence when it comes to sharing personal information. How well do children understand the ways in which they divulge personal data on social media? "Young People's Understandings of Social Media Data" takes stock of this question, while also examining how access to this information informs the types of choices young people make online and in social media — choices that are bound up in contradictions, binaries, and dualisms.

Among those daunting complexities is the manner in which media technologies can enhance user freedoms, knowledge base, abilities, and experiences while also expanding systemic power such as platform colonialism. This catch-22 has surfaced in United Kingdom classrooms, where though new virtual reality tech offers liberating potential for education, it also enables Google to expand its corporate presence — and all of the nebulous polemics involved. This fusing of social media space with youth, school, and corporate space makes for complex discourse, as evidenced in "Disruptive Play or Platform Colonialism? The Contradictory Dynamics of Google Expeditions and Educational Virtual Reality".

In the end, true acquiescence between competing discourses in youthinhabited social media spaces requires that young people have a say in platform design, as per "Good Social Media?: Underrepresented Youth Perspectives on the Ethical and Equitable Design of Social Media Platforms". Deliberately seeking out the voices of young people, this research project investigates how the design of media apps (application programs) can influence access to the extent that it can limit or prohibit youth behaviour, or conversely provide opportunities for agency and social justice. By taking the perspectives of young people on the design of social media and its structure, this final chapter aims to seek more fair options moving forward. This is the object of discourse: to pave a safer and more inclusive way ahead.

#### Questions to answers

Another goal in exploring youth interaction with social media is to unpack the structuring of digital technologies in terms of how young people use it as a means of communication, a platform for identification, and a tool for participation in their larger social world. During longstanding and continued experience in the broad field of youth and digital culture, we have come to realize that not only is the subject matter increasing in importance at an immeasurable rate, but the number of textbooks and/or edited collections

has lagged behind considerably. There exists a lack of sources that fully encapsulate the cannon of texts for the discipline, or the rich diversity and complexity of overlapping disciplines that create the fertile ground for studying young people's lives and culture. Our hope is that this collection originally intended for, but not limited to, undergraduate students interested in Child and Youth Studies — will occupy some of that void and act as a catalyst for future interdisciplinary collections and research, because as it is with the internet, so it goes with critical discourse about it: it never turns off, never ends. Neither does the moral obligation to engage. The line in Plato's *Republic* following Socrates' call to attention to the self-perpetuating urgency of how one ought to live — how society ought to function:<sup>26</sup>

Proceed with your inquiry.

Steve Gennaro & Blair Miller, February 2021

#### Addendum

On March 24, 2021, The Child Rights Committee at the United Nation's Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights published general comment No. 25 on children's rights in relation to the digital environment. The Committee on the Rights of the Child consists of 18 independent experts who monitor the implementation of the UNCRC by State parties, including the two Optional Protocols that have been adopted since the original drafting of the Convention in 1989. General comment No. 25 was adopted by The Committee on the Rights of the Child at its 86<sup>th</sup> session. The intention of the comment is to provide legal guidance on "how States should protect children's rights with regard to the digital environment".

General comment No. 25 is the result of a significant consultation process, spanning two years, whereby State parties, civil society, NGOs, and more than 700 young people across 27 countries, shared their opinions on the impacts of digital technology on children's rights and suggestions to ensure better protection of these rights in digital environments. It is also a recognition of the significant impact of digital technology and the digital environment on the lived experiences of young people globally. For example, COVID-19 forced the migration of all aspects of young people's lives to the digital. Around the world, outdoor public spaces were closed, schools were shut down, sports teams and clubs cancelled, and the opportunity to gather and congregate in public space was discouraged and even made illegal in some parts! According to a March 2021 UNICEF Innocenti report, COVID-19 displaced over 1.5 billion children in 190 countries, confining them to their homes and moving their activities away from public, physical spaces. Even with the publication of

general comment No. 25, there remains an immediate need for a digital approach to the UNCRC to address the gaps in children's rights in this area from the original 1989 document. Luis Pedernera, Chair of the Committee, noted "Meaningful access to digital technologies can empower children and support them to realize the full range of their civil, political, cultural, economic and social rights. If such technologies are available only for some children and not others, it will lead to greater inequalities and affect their opportunities for the future". Pedernera's statement highlights some of the tensions that immediately arise when acknowledging the benefits and limitations of general comment No. 25.

On one hand, the comment marks a significant breakthrough for State parties, scholars, activists, and NGOs, by acknowledging the importance of "the digital" for global children's rights. Despite UNICEF's 2017 State of the World's Children annual report "Children in a Digital World", a movement towards improving the digital rights of children has not kept pace with the rise in access to technology and the role of technology in young people's lives. Sonia Livingstone at the London School of Economics has argued this point for more than a decade, with her January 2017 blog post "An updated UNCRC for the digital age" and her 2017 report for the Children's Commissioner for England with Lansdown and Third "The Case for a UNCRC General Comment on Children's Rights and Digital Media" being two of the most well-known and important documented pieces in this chain. Acknowledgment of the need for a more concrete engagement by the United Nations and by State parties has long been overdue. This acknowledgement now publicly places the responsibility on governments, institutions, corporations and not on the child and the family by themselves, to ensure that digital spaces remain safe and open for young people.

On the other hand, while the document itself provides guidelines, it does not and has not made any changes to the UNCRC. The original Convention remains grounded firmly in its 1989 form despite numerous calls for adaptation and change. General comment No. 25 offers great insight into the need for change, 125 statements towards what that change should look like and how it can be implemented; it does not ensure that change will come.

Only weeks after the completion of this book, The Committee on the Rights of the Child published General comment No. 25. It is a reminder of how important the digital is in the very fabric of children's culture and the lives of young people. If anything, it makes the contents of this book even more important than before. Turki Alelyani, Stevens Institute of Technology, Arup Kumar Ghosh, Jacksonville State University

# Chapter 15

# Examining Parent Versus Child Reviews of Parental Control Apps on Google Play

Turki Alelyani

Stevens Institute of Technology

Arup Kumar Ghosh

Jacksonville State University

Larry Moralez

University of Central Florida

Shion Guha

Marquette University

Pamela Wisniewski

University of Central Florida

**Abstract:** Mobile devices have become a ubiquitous means for teens and younger children to access the internet and social media. Such pervasive access affords many benefits but also exposes children to potential online risks, including cyberbullying, exposure to explicit content, and sexual solicitations. Parents who are concerned about their children's online safety may use parental control apps to monitor, manage, and curate their children's online access and mobile activities. This creates tension between the privacy rights and interests of children versus the legal, emotional, and moral imperatives of parents seeking to protect their children from online risks. To better understand the unique perspectives of parents and children, we conducted a computational analysis of 29,272 reviews of 52 different parental control apps from the Google Play store. We found that reviews written by

parents differed statistically from those written by children such that it is possible to computationally automate the process of differentiating between them. Furthermore, latent themes emerged from the reviews that revealed the complexities and tensions in parent-child relationships as mediated by parental control app use. Our findings suggest that developers should strive to include teens into the development process and that creating a means to directly interact with them via on review sites can facilitate this process.<sup>\*</sup>

**Keywords:** Privacy, Parental Control Apps, User Reviews, Computational Analysis, Classification, Parent-Child Relationships, Google Play

\*\*\*

With the proliferation of smartphones among youth,<sup>1</sup> online safety has become a considerable concern within families.<sup>2</sup> This is especially true because mobile smart devices have become the norm for teenagers,<sup>3</sup> providing constant access to the internet that is often not monitored by their parents. However, parents have a legal and emotional duty to ensure safety for their children in online contexts<sup>4</sup>. To do this, a Pew Research study found that parents use a wide array of strategies to monitor their teens' technology use, including 16% of parents who install parental control applications apps on their teens' mobile devices to filter and block inappropriate online activities.<sup>5</sup>

An analysis of 75 Google Play parental control apps suggests that the features of these apps may be too clumsy and privacy-invasive for families that value open communication, trust, and a teen's desire to gain independence from his or her parents.<sup>6</sup> Ghosh et al. confirmed this claim from the perspective of teens and younger children by qualitatively analyzing online reviews posted from the vantage point of child users.<sup>7</sup> However, a key limitation of these studies is that researchers neglected to understand the perspective of multiple stakeholders, namely both children and parents. We build upon this work by conducting the first large-scale, computational analysis of 29,272 reviews for 52 parental control apps to understand the unique perspectives of parents and children.

<sup>\*</sup> Originally published as Alelyani, Ghosh, Moralez, Guha, and Wisniewski. "Examining Parent Versus Child Reviews of Parental Control Apps on Google Play". In *Social Computing and Social Media Communication and Social Communities*, 3–21. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2019. Reprinted by permission of the author.

We conducted a quantitative examination of the online reviews for parental control apps to understand whether parents and teens rate and write about parental control apps differently in their online reviews. We also examine the interpersonal relationships between parents and children through the lens of online privacy and surveillance. We ask:

RQ1: Can we use computational methods to accurately distinguish between online reviews written by parents versus those written by children?

RQ2: Does the content of online reviews differ depending on whether the user is a parent or child? If so how?

To answer these questions, we scraped and analyzed publicly posted online reviews for 52 parental control apps available for download on the Google Play store. In doing so, our paper makes two unique contributions. First, we show that it is possible to build computational models that accurately predict the origin of online reviews (parents or children) using linguistic indicators. We compared and contrasted six common machine learning algorithms to highlight their performance in such classification tasks. Second, we reveal that latent themes expressed within online app reviews reveal more insights than just the strengths and weaknesses of the app. They express a multitude of emotions and a manifestation of the complex tensions that exist in parentteen relationships, specifically those around privacy rights and parental control through surveillance tactics. These findings have important implications for the analysis of online reviews that extend beyond the context of adolescent online safety and serve as an important lens for future social computational research.

# Background

# Teen technology use and parental relationships

Technology use among teens and parental mediation have become an important research topic.<sup>8</sup> The majority of research in this space derives from the social sciences with little contribution from a social computational perspective. For instance, several researchers have conducted interview-based studies to highlight the tensions between parents and children when it comes to rule-setting and ensuring the online safety of youth.<sup>9</sup> Others found that teens desire privacy as they are in the process of individuating and establishing their identities online.<sup>10</sup>

# "Practical Obscurity" versus "Parental Stalking"

According to privacy theories, everyone should have some level of authority to decide how their personal information is disclosed to others.<sup>11</sup> However, teens are often forced to disclose personal information to their parents, as parents want more transparency into their teens' online activities for the purpose of ensuring their online safety.<sup>12</sup> Blackwell et al. studied how "practical obscurity" (i.e., the limited visibility) of mobile devices makes it harder for parents to know their children's online activities and, as a consequence, parents often misjudge the frequency and nature of their teens' technology use.<sup>13</sup> For instance, they underestimate how often their teens use social media apps or even which apps their children use.

To increase access to their teens online mobile activities, parents can install parental control apps on the teens' smartphone that allow them to monitor and restrict various functions, including calls, text messaging, web browsing, and installations.<sup>14</sup> In general, parental control apps are a way for parents to control their children's behavior as a means to protect them, as opposed to helping teens self-regulate and protect themselves.<sup>15</sup> Recent research has shown that teens equate such parental control apps to a form of "parental stalking".<sup>16</sup> Others have argued that these apps engender an incongruency with the core values (e.g., privacy, autonomy) important to different families and may negatively impact parent-teen relationships<sup>17</sup> and shown that the use of currently available apps was associated with children experiencing more (not fewer) online risks.<sup>18</sup> Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) researchers have recommended and conceptualized that more collaborative approaches be used to manage these tensions.<sup>19</sup>

# Online reviews and parental control apps

A qualitative analysis of online reviews for 37 parental control apps examined what children think about the apps' effectiveness and invasiveness.<sup>20</sup> Researchers found that most children felt that the apps were excessively restrictive and privacy-invasive. To our knowledge, online reviews have not been used yet to understand parents' perspectives on these apps or how they differ from the perspectives of the children. To fill this gap, we scraped 29,272 reviews for 52 parental control apps to conduct a social computational analysis that differentiates between parent and child reviews, as well as models the different themes expressed within these reviews.

Analyzing online reviews is a common approach among computational social science researchers<sup>21</sup> and is a newer approach used within intersectional fields, such as HCI Review Recommendation: Personalized Prediction of the Quality of Online Reviews.<sup>22</sup> Online reviews have been

shown to effectively help in making better product<sup>23</sup> and boost profits.<sup>24</sup> For example, Epstein et al. used online app reviews, a survey, and interviews to improve the design of menstrual apps for women.<sup>25</sup> Wang et al. created a framework for product recommendation by leveraging the power of online reviews.<sup>26</sup> In addition, user feedback was also used to understand reasons for disliking apps.<sup>27</sup>

# **Study Design**

App stores such as Google Play let users review their downloaded apps and assign a numerical rating (i.e., one to five stars). Users may highlight specific strengths and weaknesses of the app. Ratings for each app are then aggregated and displayed for the user to view. This data source captures different perspectives regarding aspects such as the app's functionality, benefits, and cost. These reviews can help developers overcome some of their flaws in the development process,<sup>28</sup> as well as helping consumers make important decisions as to what apps will meet their needs as end users.

App Name	Reviews	App Name	Reviews
Bitdefender	95	Dashboard	117
Cerberus	3000	Board	109
Cybersafe	9	iNetClean	16
ESET	84	Parental Control	145
SecureKids	13	Familoop	38
Funamo	555	Launcher	17
Kakatu	114	PhoneWatcher - Mobile Tracker	314
KIDOZ	2113	Qustodio	996
Kids Place	3000	Ranger Pro Safe Browser	42
Kidslox	50	Remote Control	50
Kids Zone	490	ReThink - Stops Cyberbullying	121
MamaBear	465	Safe Browser	634
McAfee	312	Safe Browsing	222
MMGuardian	1060	Screen Time Companion App	2935

Table 15.1: Summary of app names and number of reviews used in the analysis

Mobicip	413	Screen Time	3002
Mobile Fence	2103	SecureTeen	2605
Net Nanny	646	Securkin	9
Norton Family	922	ShieldMyTeen	609
NQ Family Guardian	198	TeenSafe Child	132
Land of Kids	23	Trackidz	52
Xooloo	47	SafeKiddo	57
Web Blocker	98	TangTracker	32
Mobile Security	33	SURFIE	11
shieldMyTeen	609	Safe Kids	3
Parental Control and Locator	3	Privacy Camp	3
Block	6	Family Safety	8

Below we describe our approach to data collection, data cleaning, and analysis. Our methodology consisted of two phases: first, we applied machine learning techniques to identify different features and perspectives mentioned in the user reviews for both teens and parents, as well as the sentiments and opinions associated to these features. Second, we classified these reviews based on the extracted features. Table 15.1 shows all of the app used in the analysis. For each app reviewed contained more than one review, and the total number of reviews is included in the table as well.

# Data collection

We scraped publicly available user reviews on Google Play using the app review downloading tool Heedzy.<sup>29</sup> Each review had the following attributes: 1) app name, 2) date, 3) user name, 4) review, and 5) rating. Ratings were numerical values (represented as a star) given by the user, ranging from 1 =worst to 5 = best. As shown in Table 15.1, a total of 29,272 user reviews for 52 apps were collected for this analysis. No users were involved in this study and IRB approval was not obtained. We excluded user names from the exemplar quotations shared in this paper to maintain anonymity.

# Data preprocessing

NLTK,<sup>30</sup> a third-party library for Python for natural language processing, was used to remove stop-words and frequently used words from each review. A

MALLET list was used to identify stop words.<sup>31</sup> We followed an iterative process to remove frequently used words that would mislead our models by giving additional weight to specific keywords. Many of these words are common in the English language (e.g., "and", "this", "is", "are"). We also removed words that appeared too frequently (e.g., "app", "please", and "fix"). We note that these words suggest that users often post reviews for developers to fix problems within the app, but otherwise, were irrelevant to the topic of this research.

# **RQ1: Classifying app review authors**

We employed a rule-based classification technique to extract rules for both parents' and teens' reviews based on research conducted by Ghosh et al..<sup>32</sup> This helped in mapping the attributes of a review with a parents/teen label. A rule set consists of multiple rules  $R_s = \{R_1, R_2, ..., R_{1n}\}$ . For example, in teen reviews, attributes such as "my parents", "my mom", and "my dad" were identified. For parents, "my teen", "my son", and "my child" were key attributes. We used these rules to establish ground truth for classifying the authors of these reviews.

After classification, we extracted different linguistic features for each group. These features can be represented as collections of words or a set of variables categorizing a specific context.<sup>33</sup> We then added Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency (TF-IDF) vectorization to identify other important features that represent the parent and teen classes. These features served as predictors for the model to classify authors of app reviews.

# **RQ2: Understanding themes in app reviews**

We represented each review as a bag-of-words, using n-grams as features.<sup>34</sup> Ngrams can capture groups of words in each review that may represent some patterns or important features. Relevant examples of useful two-grams include "keep track", "sucks worst", and "parents allow". This enabled us to build a text corpus to test against the full dataset for extracting latent themes. We tested this corpus against six common machine learning algorithms. Tables 15.2- 15.3 show the performance accuracy for both N-grams and Topic Modeling, the mean absolute error, as well as a comparison of the confusion matrices for each of the five classifiers.

Algorithm	Accu	racy	Mean Absolute Error		
Algorithm	NG	ТМ	NG	ТМ	
Logistic Regression	0.73	0.59	0.48	1.08	
K-Nearest Neighbors	0.67	0.57	0.74	1.08	
Classification and Regression Trees	0.64	0.52	0.70	1.51	
Naive Bayes	0.73	0.53	0.51	1.33	
Support Vector Machines	0.53	0.53	1.35	1.32	
Neural Network	0.68	0.63	0.58	0.93	

Table 15.2: Performance accuracy of N-grams and topic modeling

Table 15.3: Comparison of confusion matrix results

Algorithm	Precision		Recall		F1 - Score	
Agorium	NG	ТМ	NG	ТМ	NG	ТМ
Logistic Regression	0.69	0.45	0.73	0.59	0.70	0.48
K-Nearest Neighbors	0.59	0.51	0.67	0.57	0.61	0.53
Classification and Regression Trees	0.61	0.50	0.64	0.52	0.63	0.50
Naive Bayes	0.66	0.28	0.73	0.53	0.68	0.37
Support Vector Machines	0.53	0.51	0.53	0.53	0.37	0.37
Neural Network	0.67	0.49	0.68	0.63	0.67	0.54

Next, we used topic modeling, specifically the latent Dirichl et al. location algorithm (LDA) via MALLET, to extract the hidden semantic structure for both parent and teen reviews.<sup>35</sup> Topics are collections of word tokens which represent the context of the analyzed text. MALLET identifies the most relevant topic for each review by converting the collection of text to features. The LDA algorithm is a generative statistical model often applied to discrete data such as text corpora and is used to categorize texts from a document to a specific category. Textual features are then transformed into numerical representations that can be processed efficiently. HCI research has increasingly begun use of topic models<sup>36</sup> to explore and make sense of large-scale text data in conjunction with qualitative inferences from topic models, particularly from online communities. This allows us to understand what influences how parents and teens administer a given rating. We used a

common convention of selecting the number of topics that represent 80% of the overall variance to set the number of topics for each group.<sup>37</sup> Tables 15.4-15.7 show the extracted topics with respect to the following:

- 1. Parent versus child and high versus low rated reviews (Low: 1-3 ratings; High: 4-5) to understand the key differences in these reviews, Table 15.4.
- 2. Aggregated topics for both parent and child as well as apps rating, Tables 15.5-15.7.

#### Results

#### Distinguishing between parent vs. teen reviews

To address RQ1, we ran three different classifiers on the data set to determine which worked best to classify parent and teen reviews. Table 2 shows the results of Naïve Bayes (NB), Support Vector Machines (SVM), and Neural Network (NN) to predict whether a review was entered by a teen or parent. Based on the extracted N-Grams features, the output depended upon whether or not the model estimated the right class (parent or teen). There were 10 reviews, and each review was associated with the top three topics. The scores represent the weight these topics have within each review, so they can be used later on to build our models. To train our proposed models, we used 80% of the dataset for training and 20% for testing on 29,272 reviews, and we reported the results on 10-fold cross-validation. We analyzed the results from the accuracy measure for each classifier. Naïve Bayes (NB) produced the highest score having correctly classified 75% of the reviews. The Support Vector Machines (SVM), which has been described as an outstanding classifier in the context of text classification, achieved a 72% accuracy measure.<sup>38</sup> Neural Network (NN) produced the worst results with an accuracy measure score of 69%.

The reported findings illustrate that the extracted features by N-Grams technique contributed to identifying parents' reviews from child reviews. From our analyses, parents' reviews were associated with concerns including functionality issues, suggestions for improvement and cost issues. Some of these features include "monitors usage including", "google play doesn't", "support unable", and "app reason rooted".

Child reviews were mostly expressing frustration toward their parents. For instance, some of the extracted features for teens include negative sentiments regarding the parental control apps installed on their devices explicitly mentioned their parent or parents. Examples include "even stupid parents", "people creating disgusting", "hate parents", and "dislike dad put".

The coherence of our analysis shows how well the extracted features by N-Grams can be contributed to improving the performance of the proposed models. In other words, parents' and teens' features may have shared a common theme within each group which led to the increasing of the models' performance accuracy. Additionally, reviews written by either group may reveal that concerns are centered around a specific type of issues. A more thorough research of parental control apps can provide an array of clues to providing future strategies for apps designers.

Our findings show that both models, (NB) and (LR), substantially outperformed the other models. This finding confirmed previous studies' conclusions that NB is an outstanding classifier in text classifications.<sup>39</sup> K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) and Neural Network (NN) scored 63% and 68%, respectively. Support Vector Machines (SVM) and Classification and Regression Trees (CART) produced the lowest performance in accuracy scoring 53% and 64%, respectively.

On the contrary, we observe low accuracy measure on topic modeling results compared to N-Grams results. For instance, LR and NN scored 59% and 63%, the highest performance with higher MAE 1.08 and 0.93, respectively. A discrepancy between the calculated performance for N-Grams (NG) and Topic modeling (TB) can be explained by the text length where classifiers tend to perform better on shorter text. KNN scored 57% on accuracy for both techniques. NN and LR scored the highest a curacy for Topic modeling. KNN produced 57% in accuracy compared to lower accuracy when it is applied on N-Grams. Finally, CART, SVM, and NB produced the worst accuracy with low variance among each other, 52% and 53%. This finding confirms previous research findings that Naïve Bayes is very sensitive to the dataset.<sup>40</sup>

Table 15.3 shows Precision, recall, and F-measure of each proposed classifier. We compared the results when using N-grams and Topic Modeling as different techniques for features extraction. As explained earlier, N-Grams produce short text containing two to three words. In contrast, topic modeling produces different topics where each topic consists of several words. We experienced a high discrepancy between the two results produced by N-gram and topic modeling. In N-Grams, we achieved the highest precision of 69% and highest recall for LR. NN achieved the second-highest precision 67% and 68% in recall. NB performed 66% and 73% in precision and recall. Finally, KNN, CART, and SVM range between 53% and 61% for Precision and between 53% and 57% for recall.

Our N-Grams classifiers performance seems promising given the experienced limitation in the extracted reviews. For instance, teens' reviews tend to be very short compare to parents' reviews which can be hard for classifiers to identify the correct pattern. Additionally, some apps had a larger number of reviews compared to others. Consequently, high variance can be achieved within the dataset which can diminish the classification accuracy. The other category was performed on topic modeling achieved the range between 45% and 59% for precision and recall in the following classifiers: LR, KNN, CART, and SVM. NB reported the lowest precision 28%. Finally, we investigated the misclassification issues in the topic modeling analysis and found that the variability of the used vocabulary by different users can be a significant factor in achieving lower scores in precision and recall. This finding of the low precision and recall in topic modeling is consistent with the previous study.<sup>41</sup>

#### Interpreting parent versus teen themes

To understand the different themes expressed in the reviews by parents and teens (RQ2), we compared the results of N-grams and Topic Modeling as different techniques for features extraction. We then generated topics based on parent versus child and high versus low rated reviews (Low: 1-3 ratings; High: 4-5) to understand the key differences in these reviews.

Latent themes emerged from the data to reveal differences between parent and child reviews. The topics also demonstrate a relationship between apps rating scores and the review themes for both parents and children. High parental ratings accounted for 54% of reviews. Parent reviews tended to range from one complete sentence to more than five sentences. Positive reviews focused on the app's ability to protect the online safety of their child. For instance, one positive review explained, "I can monitor everything my son does". Low parental ratings accounted for 17% of reviews. Negative reviews were associated with concerns such as functionality, installation, licensing, and cost. In one example, the parent wrote, "Keeps crashing after update making my phone unusable because it takes forever to get the program to close and you are locked out of everything".

In contrast, child reviews tended to be short sentence fragments emoting anger and frustration towards their parent. High child ratings represented only 5% of reviews. The few positive reviews from children showed that they appreciate some of the app's features. For instance, one child explained, "I'm nine...with kid search it has kid-friendly things that work for my age! Keep up"! These reviews also suggested that some children understood their parents' concerns regarding their safety and the negative effects of technology overuse. Keywords such as "safe, help, addicted" appeared in several topics. Low child ratings comprised 24% of the reviews and included emotional charged words, such as "Hate it", "F you", "sucks", "stupid", "dumb", and "bad". Topics in this group often reflected a child's frustration regarding privacy violations by their parents and limits on their freedom. These quotes highlight how teens are not satisfied with the apps being installed on their devices. On the other hand, parents expressed satisfaction or positive feedback. For instance, "safe online remote" and "Good app children" may explain a positive experience with an app's features. Table 15.4 contains examples of the extracted topics using LDA for common parent and teen topics from high and low rating reviews.

Table 15.4: Parent and teen topics under high and low app rating

	Parents: High Ratings Child: High Ratings				
1	е е	1	0 0		
1.	Phone, app, games, chores, <b>times, earn,</b> <b>daughters,</b> knowing, downloaded, <b>amount</b>	1.	Works, hate, <b>screen,</b> games, day, year, bad, isn, website, sad		
2.	<b>Time, limit, usage,</b> tasks, limits, helps,	2.	<b>Dad,</b> installed, things, doesn, happy,		
	track, extra, helped, helpful		im, date, <b>helps,</b> lol, block,		
3.	Apps, access, devices, give, year, <b>lock</b> ,	3.	App, recommend, lot, homework, likes,		
	tablets, web, content, ability		mind, step, <b>helped,</b> likes, heck, understand		
4.	<b>Daughter,</b> good, works, found, free, home, find, check, perfect	4.	Tablet, play, <b>limit,</b> make, playing, <b>life</b>		
5.	Son, tablet, app, <b>love, mind,</b> online,		brother, glad, delete, quot		
	settings, manage, <b>peace,</b> keeping	5.	Love, kids, years, kid, device, find, teen		
6.	Phone, child, <b>safe,</b> play, don, things,	6	nice, downloaded, control,		
7.	children, <b>block</b> , phones, <b>worry</b> App, children, internet, <b>recommend</b> , highly,	6.	Phone, kid, stop, thing, found, <b>hope,</b> won, <b>addicted,</b> cousin, face		
٢.	activity, <b>problem</b> , happy, add, service	7.	<b>Good, mom,</b> great, loves, apps, spend		
8.	App, child, <b>great</b> , parents, parent, device,		dang, fix, world, back		
	features, feel, android, protect	8.	Parents, don, put, pretty, settings,		
9.	Control, monitor, easy, great, work, make,	9.	usage, didn, airplane, setting, review <b>Time, safe,</b> made, hour, track, mobile,		
10	installed, parental, feature, <b>love</b> Kids, <b>screen,</b> set, ve, day, school, long,	9.	control, change, manage, tab		
10.	hours, back, put	10.	App, <b>child,</b> work, password, deleted, give,		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		blocked, mad, hey, feature		
	Parents: Low Ratings		Child: Low Ratings		
1.	<b>Parents: Low Ratings</b> Time, son, good, day, <b>useless,</b> hours,	1.	Child: Low Ratings Parents, don, sucks, people, school,		
	Time, son, good, day, <b>useless,</b> hours, <b>password,</b> application, change, show		<b>Parents,</b> don, <b>sucks,</b> people, school, friends, makes, anymore, youtube, talk		
1. 2.	Time, son, good, day, <b>useless</b> , hours, <b>password</b> , application, change, show App, doesn, games, parent, mode, buy,		<b>Parents,</b> don, <b>sucks,</b> people, school, friends, makes, anymore, youtube, talk Life, child, <b>privacy,</b> thing, children,		
2.	Time, son, good, day, <b>useless</b> , hours, <b>password</b> , application, change, show App, doesn, games, parent, mode, buy, <b>allowed</b> , <b>blocking</b> , sites, kid	2.	<b>Parents,</b> don, <b>sucks,</b> people, school, friends, makes, anymore, youtube, talk Life, child, <b>privacy,</b> thing, children, feel, hour, parent, app, text		
	Time, son, good, day, <b>useless</b> , hours, <b>password</b> , application, change, show App, doesn, games, parent, mode, buy,		<b>Parents,</b> don, <b>sucks,</b> people, school, friends, makes, anymore, youtube, talk Life, child, <b>privacy,</b> thing, children,		
2.	Time, son, good, day, <b>useless</b> , hours, <b>password</b> , application, change, show App, doesn, games, parent, mode, buy, <b>allowed</b> , <b>blocking</b> , sites, kid Child, great, <b>blocked</b> , home, place, open, site, videos, button, received Device, <b>uninstall</b> , times, back, google, <b>trial</b> ,	2.	Parents, don, sucks, people, school, friends, makes, anymore, youtube, talk Life, child, privacy, thing, children, feel, hour, parent, app, text Hate, download, stuff, horrible, person, net, wont, great, forever, times Kids, apps, blocked, good, control,		
2. 3. 4.	Time, son, good, day, <b>useless</b> , hours, <b>password</b> , application, change, show App, doesn, games, parent, mode, buy, <b>allowed</b> , <b>blocking</b> , sites, kid Child, great, <b>blocked</b> , home, place, open, site, videos, button, received Device, <b>uninstall</b> , times, back, google, <b>trial</b> , don, message, log, find	2. 3. 4.	Parents, don, sucks, people, school, friends, makes, anymore, youtube, talk Life, child, privacy, thing, children, feel, hour, parent, app, text Hate, download, stuff, horrible, person, net, wont, great, forever, times Kids, apps, blocked, good, control, screen, freedom, block, things, stop		
2. 3.	Time, son, good, day, <b>useless</b> , hours, <b>password</b> , application, change, show App, doesn, games, parent, mode, buy, <b>allowed</b> , <b>blocking</b> , sites, kid Child, great, <b>blocked</b> , home, place, open, site, videos, button, received Device, <b>uninstall</b> , times, back, google, <b>trial</b> , don, message, log, find App, update, working, <b>free</b> , data, year,	2. 3.	Parents, don, sucks, people, school, friends, makes, anymore, youtube, talk Life, child, privacy, thing, children, feel, hour, parent, app, text Hate, download, stuff, horrible, person, net, wont, great, forever, times Kids, apps, blocked, good, control, screen, freedom, block, things, stop Time, dad, stupid, doesn, made,		
2. 3. 4.	Time, son, good, day, <b>useless</b> , hours, <b>password</b> , application, change, show App, doesn, games, parent, mode, buy, <b>allowed</b> , <b>blocking</b> , sites, kid Child, great, <b>blocked</b> , home, place, open, site, videos, button, received Device, <b>uninstall</b> , times, back, google, <b>trial</b> , don, message, log, find App, update, working, <b>free</b> , data, year, features, school, monitoring, <b>stopped</b>	2. 3. 4.	Parents, don, sucks, people, school, friends, makes, anymore, youtube, talk Life, child, <b>privacy</b> , thing, children, feel, hour, parent, app, text Hate, download, stuff, <b>horrible</b> , person, net, wont, great, forever, times Kids, apps, <b>blocked</b> , good, control, screen, <b>freedom</b> , block, things, stop Time, <b>dad</b> , <b>stupid</b> , doesn, made, uninstall, work, worst, easy, game		
<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> </ol>	Time, son, good, day, <b>useless</b> , hours, <b>password</b> , application, change, show App, doesn, games, parent, mode, buy, <b>allowed</b> , <b>blocking</b> , sites, kid Child, great, <b>blocked</b> , home, place, open, site, videos, button, received Device, <b>uninstall</b> , times, back, google, <b>trial</b> , don, message, log, find App, update, working, <b>free</b> , data, year,	<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> </ol>	Parents, don, sucks, people, school, friends, makes, anymore, youtube, talk Life, child, privacy, thing, children, feel, hour, parent, app, text Hate, download, stuff, horrible, person, net, wont, great, forever, times Kids, apps, blocked, good, control, screen, freedom, block, things, stop Time, dad, stupid, doesn, made,		
<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> </ol>	Time, son, good, day, <b>useles</b> , hours, <b>password</b> , application, change, show App, doesn, games, parent, mode, buy, <b>allowed</b> , <b>blocking</b> , sites, kid Child, great, <b>blocked</b> , home, place, open, site, videos, button, received Device, <b>uninstall</b> , times, back, google, <b>trial</b> , don, message, log, find App, update, working, <b>free</b> , data, year, features, school, monitoring, <b>stopped</b> Son, work, location, give, settings, days, norton, <b>pay</b> , android ll Apps, tablet, <b>works, won</b> , screen, control,	<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> </ol>	Parents, don, sucks, people, school, friends, makes, anymore, youtube, talk Life, child, privacy, thing, children, feel, hour, parent, app, text Hate, download, stuff, horrible, person, net, wont, great, forever, times Kids, apps, blocked, good, control, screen, freedom, block, things, stop Time, dad, stupid, doesn, made, uninstall, work, worst, easy, game Phone, put, parents, day, hours, making, gonna, dumb, unlock, set App, quot, trust, play, install, dont,		
<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> <li>6.</li> <li>7.</li> </ol>	Time, son, good, day, <b>useless</b> , hours, <b>password</b> , application, change, show App, doesn, games, parent, mode, buy, <b>allowed</b> , <b>blocking</b> , sites, kid Child, great, <b>blocked</b> , home, place, open, site, videos, button, received Device, <b>uninstall</b> , times, back, google, <b>trial</b> , don, message, log, find App, update, working, <b>free</b> , data, year, features, school, monitoring, <b>stopped</b> Son, work, location, give, settings, days, norton, <b>pay</b> , android ll Apps, tablet, <b>works</b> , <b>won</b> , screen, control, play, account, phones, fix	<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> <li>6.</li> <li>7.</li> </ol>	Parents, don, sucks, people, school, friends, makes, anymore, youtube, talk Life, child, privacy, thing, children, feel, hour, parent, app, text Hate, download, stuff, horrible, person, net, wont, great, forever, times Kids, apps, blocked, good, control, screen, freedom, block, things, stop Time, dad, stupid, doesn, made, uninstall, work, worst, easy, game Phone, put, parents, day, hours, making, gonna, dumb, unlock, set App, quot, trust, play, install, dont, delete, lot, teen, ve		
<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> <li>6.</li> </ol>	Time, son, good, day, <b>useless</b> , hours, <b>password</b> , application, change, show App, doesn, games, parent, mode, buy, <b>allowed</b> , <b>blocking</b> , sites, kid Child, great, <b>blocked</b> , home, place, open, site, videos, button, received Device, <b>uninstall</b> , times, back, google, <b>trial</b> , don, message, log, find App, update, working, <b>free</b> , data, year, features, school, monitoring, <b>stopped</b> Son, work, location, give, settings, days, norton, <b>pay</b> , android ll Apps, tablet, <b>works, won</b> , screen, control, play, account, phones, fix Children, access, installed, kids, <b>block</b> ,	<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> <li>6.</li> </ol>	Parents, don, sucks, people, school, friends, makes, anymore, youtube, talk Life, child, <b>privacy</b> , thing, children, feel, hour, parent, app, text <b>Hate</b> , download, stuff, <b>horrible</b> , person, net, wont, great, forever, times Kids, apps, <b>blocked</b> , good, control, screen, <b>freedom</b> , block, things, stop Time, <b>dad</b> , <b>stupid</b> , doesn, made, uninstall, work, worst, easy, game Phone, put, <b>parents</b> , day, hours, making, gonna, <b>dumb</b> , unlock, set App, quot, trust, play, install, dont, delete, lot, teen, ve Kid, tablet, im, games, won, make, <b>bad</b> ,		
<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> <li>6.</li> <li>7.</li> </ol>	Time, son, good, day, <b>useless</b> , hours, <b>password</b> , application, change, show App, doesn, games, parent, mode, buy, <b>allowed</b> , <b>blocking</b> , sites, kid Child, great, <b>blocked</b> , home, place, open, site, videos, button, received Device, <b>uninstall</b> , times, back, google, <b>trial</b> , don, message, log, find App, update, working, <b>free</b> , data, year, features, school, monitoring, <b>stopped</b> Son, work, location, give, settings, days, norton, <b>pay</b> , android ll Apps, tablet, <b>works</b> , <b>won</b> , screen, control, play, account, phones, fix	<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> <li>6.</li> <li>7.</li> </ol>	Parents, don, sucks, people, school, friends, makes, anymore, youtube, talk Life, child, privacy, thing, children, feel, hour, parent, app, text Hate, download, stuff, horrible, person, net, wont, great, forever, times Kids, apps, blocked, good, control, screen, freedom, block, things, stop Time, dad, stupid, doesn, made, uninstall, work, worst, easy, game Phone, put, parents, day, hours, making, gonna, dumb, unlock, set App, quot, trust, play, install, dont, delete, lot, teen, ve		
<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> <li>6.</li> <li>7.</li> <li>8.</li> <li>9.</li> </ol>	Time, son, good, day, <b>useles</b> , hours, <b>password</b> , application, change, show App, doesn, games, parent, mode, buy, <b>allowed</b> , <b>blocking</b> , sites, kid Child, great, <b>blocked</b> , home, place, open, site, videos, button, received Device, <b>uninstall</b> , times, back, google, <b>trial</b> , don, message, log, find App, update, working, <b>free</b> , data, year, features, school, monitoring, <b>stopped</b> Son, work, location, give, settings, days, norton, <b>pay</b> , android ll Apps, tablet, <b>works, won</b> , screen, control, play, account, phones, fix Children, access, installed, kids, <b>block</b> , worked, monitor, stars, make, <b>problem</b> Phone, put, don, locked, service, call, thing, email, long, issue	<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> <li>6.</li> <li>7.</li> <li>8.</li> <li>9.</li> </ol>	Parents, don, sucks, people, school, friends, makes, anymore, youtube, talk Life, child, <b>privacy</b> , thing, children, feel, hour, parent, app, text Hate, download, stuff, <b>horrible</b> , person, net, wont, great, forever, times Kids, apps, <b>blocked</b> , good, control, screen, <b>freedom</b> , block, things, stop Time, <b>dad</b> , <b>stupid</b> , doesn, made, uninstall, work, worst, easy, game Phone, put, <b>parents</b> , day, hours, making, gonna, <b>dumb</b> , unlock, set App, quot, trust, play, install, dont, delete, lot, teen, ve Kid, tablet, im, games, won, make, <b>bad</b> , downloaded fix, internet <b>Mom</b> , device, settings, <b>blocks</b> , google, mode, safe, watch, teens, volume		
<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> <li>6.</li> <li>7.</li> <li>8.</li> <li>9.</li> </ol>	Time, son, good, day, <b>useles</b> , hours, <b>password</b> , application, change, show App, doesn, games, parent, mode, buy, <b>allowed</b> , <b>blocking</b> , sites, kid Child, great, <b>blocked</b> , home, place, open, site, videos, button, received Device, <b>uninstall</b> , times, back, google, <b>trial</b> , don, message, log, find App, update, working, <b>free</b> , data, year, features, school, monitoring, <b>stopped</b> Son, work, location, give, settings, days, norton, <b>pay</b> , android ll Apps, tablet, <b>works, won</b> , screen, control, play, account, phones, fix Children, access, installed, kids, <b>block</b> , worked, monitor, stars, make, <b>problem</b> Phone, put, don, locked, service, call, thing,	<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> <li>6.</li> <li>7.</li> <li>8.</li> <li>9.</li> </ol>	Parents, don, sucks, people, school, friends, makes, anymore, youtube, talk Life, child, <b>privacy</b> , thing, children, feel, hour, parent, app, text Hate, download, stuff, <b>horrible</b> , person, net, wont, great, forever, times Kids, apps, <b>blocked</b> , good, control, screen, <b>freedom</b> , block, things, stop Time, <b>dad</b> , <b>stupid</b> , doesn, made, uninstall, work, worst, easy, game Phone, put, <b>parents</b> , day, hours, making, gonna, <b>dumb</b> , unlock, set App, quot, trust, play, install, dont, delete, lot, teen, ve Kid, tablet, im, games, won, make, <b>bad</b> , downloaded fix, internet <b>Mom</b> , device, settings, <b>blocks</b> , google,		

Larry Moralez, University of Central Florida, Shion Guha, Marquette University, Pamela Wisniewski, University of Central Florida

# Topic modeling and apps rating

We classified the extracted reviews into three groups according to their rating. Our team interpreted the results qualitatively based on table topic models. The first group of ratings consists of reviews with rating one and two. The second group consists of reviews with rating three and four. The third group consists of reviews with rating five. The groups provided insights into the relationship between apps rating ranges and the extracted topics. Tables 15.5-15.7 outline relevant topics for each category. For instance, Table 15.5 and 15.6, which represent reviews with medium and high ratings, reflect some satisfaction with the apps by both teens and parents, along with suggestions for improvements. These include payment issues, user interface, installations and blocking issues. Table 15.7 shows the first group, which represents the majority of reviews — 8742 — and has the range of occurrences between 436 and 1453. These topics were mainly reflecting users' dissatisfactions with several apps' features including license, upgrading, installations as well as some compatibility issues. For example, some of the extracted topics may reflect functionality issues as in Topic 2, Topic 3, and Topic 4. Other topics may reflect dissatisfaction with the apps due to other reasons mentioned earlier as in Topics 4-10. Additionally, the reported topics show that there is a relationship between apps with low rating scores and the review themes. For instance, Topic 3 may explain some concerns regarding apps setting or security.

ID	Торіс	
1	year free worth make download pay blocked trial	
2	access device android installed lock block put feature monitoring	815
3	kids play games phones things bad hate worry tool	1071
4	love parent son kids great usage limits tablet helps	
5	parents children easy control monitor safe parental internet online web	1589
6	time screen set limit tablets tablet day chores tasks school	
7	control devices recommend highly found amazing reviews lot	1559
8	phone child daughter track mind content thing peace location block	2042
9	great good works features work job happy nice needed	2479
10	kid settings back perfect awesome home life mobile	2315

**Table 15.5:** Topics on medium rating apps reviews

ID	Торіс	Occurrence
1	play kid google found pretty turn block	
2	browser monitor internet mode safe text content sites	
3	device settings password stars working uninstall issue blocking	395
4	kids add option make feature works nice pay problem	
5	screen love son year day home button times	
6	time control set tablet parents limit children parental	
7	good daughter update games location days show awesome	
8	free works web phones find stars website trial	650
9	great work features easy android version track install service	745
10	phone child access kids lock back blocked things usage installed	808

#### Table 15.6: Topics on high rating apps reviews

#### Table 15.7: Topics on low rating apps reviews

ID	Торіс	Occurrence
1	tablet great update son working support year internet	
2	child block access sites lock parent blocks porn	534
3	work uninstall stupid works deactivate chrome useless	654
4	settings screen browser mode android open home website	
5	kids hate parents bad install version control games	
6	good back times uninstalled buy change delete star location	
7	play google download give features people stars sucks	
8	time device password account set waste devices reset found problem	1010
9	phone won kid thing daughter locked longer call	
10	lifetime free license pay money email make trust trial years	1453

#### Discussion

# Parents and teens write reviews in different ways

Our analysis addresses the parent and teen communities' perspectives on parental control app reviews which range from enjoyment and satisfaction to sadness and displeasure. Parents reviews are largely found to be long and complete, varying in the range of one complete sentence to more than five sentences. For instance, one complete sentence may explain an app's feature, "I can monitor everything my son does". Complete reviews of an app with a five-star may highlight elements that the developer has designed well, for instance:

I can now let my son uses my phone without worrying if he is going to get into something he shouldn't! I also love how easy the app was to set up! I cannot recommend this highly enough for anyone that has children or works around children. — Parent, Parental Control by Familoop, 2016

This parent praised the app's ability to alleviate their worries about what their son was looking at on his phone. Furthermore, it indicates that the app was easy to set up. The parent is happy with the effectiveness of the app and its initial usability. Thus, effectiveness and ease of use are elements that will engender a positive experience in parents and should be noted by developers.

Parental reviews with a one-star rating often remark on their dissatisfaction with the app. These types of reviews tend to be longer given that the parent may want to justify their rating, for example:

I have had sooooooo many issues with this application! It has week days/ends mixed up, the timer doesn't work properly with games, it's a day behind in its reporting, etc. Those issues I have come to live with because at least it blocks inappropriate apps. NOPE! The last straw was when I found out today that my son has FULL access to the Internet even though I have it all blocked with this app. I'm talking FULL ACCESS! PORN GALORE! Do NOT trust this application! — Parent, ESET Parental Control, 2016

This example demonstrates the types of frustrations a parent may have using parental control apps. Simple UI elements like the calendar and timers are misfunctioning. This may be indicative of two scenarios. In one, the app developer lacked adequate quality controls and shipped a product that is malfunctioning. In the other, the app's usability may not be intuitive or learnable enough for parents of various technological backgrounds. Distinguishing between parent and teen reviews may help inform developers on how to design effective UI elements for both parent and teen users. New designs can then be user tested by parents and teens separately to ensure that the needs of both user groups are being met. While these reviews suggest that parents are eager to share their positive and negative experiences, they tend to not share their teen's frustration or displeasure. Positive reviews by teens accounted for only 5% of the total reviews, compared to 54% by parents. This suggests that teens are having fewer positive experiences with the parental control apps. Indeed, teen reviews often feature expressions of anger related to restrictive features. Some examples include short descriptions such as "Hate it", "F you", and "Cuz I am child". However, teens also admit that control apps can be helpful, but some features should be improved:

I am a kid. I used to be on my phone all the time but this app got me up and out. Now though, it glitches and says I've been on my phone for 11 hours when I only play on it on the bus, which is an hour max. It also doesn't let me respond to texts when time is used up. I also cannot get on contacts without having my parents unblock it. Still is a great app though. Hope this glitch will be fixed soon. — Teen, Screen Time Companion App, 2015

In addition to expressing their frustrations with the control app's restrictions, reviews by teens were found to be shorter than those of their parents. Despite their shortened length, however, these shortened reviews may reveal additional security concerns not initially considered by the developers. For instance, in the quote below, the teen highlights the possibility of their parent's phones being stolen. Criminals with access to the parent's phone may also have access to critical information regarding their teen. This may motivate the development of additional security measures in the parental version of the app, such as an app-specific password, to ensure their child's safety.

Freaking hate this. It's bullshit. My parents are hacking me. No one get this all. It's more safe without it. Imagine if someone got hold of their phones. It's bullshit. — Teen, Secure Teen Parental Control, 2015

To help developers provide crucial solutions, they should proactively embrace direct interaction with teen users for more enriching feedback. Doing so will provide additional clarification regarding the teen's concerns. What's more, giving teens a voice in the design process will allow for the development of parental control apps that increasingly respect a teen's need for autonomy and privacy while providing security that parents seek. This can benefit the teens' mobile experience while also facilitating more positive relationships between them and their parents. One review revealed that parental control apps can contribute to the increased toxic relationship between teens and their parents, while also exacerbating other social issues: Im 15. my dad got this app just to limit time on my phone. I have no problem with that and i agree that i use my phone too often. but how you can restrict apps is the worst. i could have a really nice conversation with a new person i met at school. not anymore. i have a social problem and texting helps me talk to people. well now im screwed. my friends dont want to text me anymore because they know my dad can see my messages. I am not even gonna start on not having a wifi signal because its such bullshit ... — Teen, Screen Time Companion App, 2015

This review suggests that teens may be understanding of the parent's desire to control their mobile phone usage but disagree to the extent to which their behaviors are restricted. This not only creates tension between the teen and the parent, but also limits the teen's ability to socialize according to current conventions of their age group. The latter may lead to a sense of alienation. Understanding the needs and desires of teen mobile users could potentially avoid this conflict by way of curating restrictions based on the varying interpersonal dynamics of parents and teens.

In general, our results show that teens were open to communicate and share their frustrations where it seems like there is a lack of communication with their parents when it comes to privacy issues. Teens demand privacy and more autonomy as they feel more restricted and disclosed by installing these apps. Future studies are needed to improve the communication between teens and their parents on the matter of privacy and protection. Our findings point toward consideration of teen-centric opinions for designing parental control apps.

#### **Reviews reveal relational tensions**

Topic modeling revealed additional insights into the relationship between the extracted features and app rating. The three groups in topic modeling, Tables 15.5-15.7, show different patterns for low, medium, and high rating apps. For instance, low rating apps tend to be mostly negative and include keywords such as mom, dad, block, hate, privacy, horrible, stupid, and ruin. Many of these keywords represent teens expressing their anger and irritation regarding the apps. Some of these keywords such as "block" or "blocked" occur in low rating reviews by both parents and teens. However, in light of the explicit quotes examined earlier in this paper, it is likely that these words are being used by each group differently. That is, parents are going to use the word blocked in a negative review if the app failed at blocking the teen's mobile usage. Whereas a teen is likely to use it in a negative review when it successfully blocks their access. Topics in high rating apps are similar between

both user groups with keywords such as "help" or "helped" and "safe". While tensions are likely to occur between parents and teens, in many cases, the app was able to help the family solve problems regarding their safety and that these safety concerns were understood by both parties. It is important, then, for developers to search for common needs that overlap between the two user groups to design effective solutions.

These findings have implications beyond classifying parent and teen reviews based on their linguistic factors. In many cases, topic modeling revealed that the underlying themes within the reviews went beyond a description of the app, its features, or its performance. Instead, reviews were often an expression of the relationship between parents and teens as mediated through parental control apps. Thus, the written component of a review appears far more important than a quantitative rating of app usability, and more, a valuable signal of the underlying parent-teen relationship. Future studies should focus on review content as an important indicator of understanding these relationships.

Our work is consistent with previous studies where N-Grams outperformed other techniques due to the length of the extracted text.<sup>42</sup> Topic modeling and N-Grams helped to generate some labels related to different domains including design, privacy, license, and app costs. These types of analyses can be used to inspire designers to embrace new communication strategies so users can be proactive in sharing their experience.

Finally, our study found that both teens and parents are willing to explain the reasoning behind their rating. This can be demonstrated in the three groups as each one may represent different categories. One implication of this finding is that both teens and parents are encouraged to communicate and share their thoughts.

These analyses are an important source of information for apps developers to improve the quality of the developed apps. The applied techniques and generated features assessed the model to improve the performance accuracy for the six machine learning classifiers.

# Limitations and conclusions

Several limitations should be considered while interpreting the reported results. First, our topic modeling Parameter K were set to be 10, based on common convention derived from our observation of each group's size. This result can change in the case of a different parameter. Second, our analysis was based on a small range of apps, 52, with larger variance on the number of reviews for each app. Finally, the extracted reviews for teens were small compare to the parents' reviews, so results could differ in future studies with more teens' review.

Our N-Grams and Topic Modeling analyses revealed new insights into the relationship and tensions. These analyses are an important source of information for analysts and apps developers to improve the quality of the developed apps between parents and children by applying computational methods to parental control app reviews. A key contribution of this work is that we integrated domain knowledge into computational models for empirical validation at a reasonable scale. Yet, these findings have implications beyond classifying parent and child reviews based on their linguistic factors. In many cases, topic modeling revealed that the underlying themes within the reviews went beyond a description of the app and its features or performance, and more towards an expression of the relationship between parents and teens as mediated through parental control apps. Thus, reviews seem to be far more important than a quantitative rating of app usability and more, a valuable signal of the underlying parent-teen relationship. These insights can be used to improve parental control app design, and therefore the user experience of both parents and children.

Turki Alelyani, Stevens Institute of Technology, Arup Kumar Ghosh, Jacksonville State University

# Notes

#### Introduction: Contemporary Children's Culture in Digital Space(s)

#### Steve Gennaro, Blair Miller

<sup>1</sup> Bernard Williams, *Ethics and the Limits of Philosophy* (London, UK: Fontana Press, 1985), 1. The passage Williams refers to from *Republic* is section 352d.

<sup>2</sup> By "moral" here and throughout this introduction we are referring to the sense of what of how one ought to act and live, in accordance with Classical Greek philosophy that positions a virtuous life as one that aspires to satisfy this ought for one's self. This framework survives in the work of key contemporary theorists like Williams, and stands in contrast to a more prescriptive application of morality that aligns with clashing youth experience up against stricter conservative directives which too easily result in negative spaces of moral panic in the face of new media technologies in the hands of children.

<sup>3</sup> For a full discussion on the historical roots of competing discourses of childhood, puritan and romantic, and how they re-emerge and underpin current narratives around moral panics and pedo-philic and pedo-phobic constructions of childhood, see Stephen Gennaro, "Making Kids Sexy: Sexualized Youth, Adult Anxieties, and Abercrombie and Fitch", in *Red Feather Journal* Volume 1, Issue 1 (Spring 2010), https://redfeatherjournal.org/vol-1-iss-1-spr-2010 2012.

<sup>4</sup> Philippe Ariès. *Centuries of Childhood: A Social History of Family Life* (New York: Vintage Books, 1962).

<sup>5</sup> Adam Alter, *Irresistible – The Rise of Addictive Technology and the Business of Keeping Us Hooked* (New York: Penguin Press, 2017), 2.

<sup>6</sup> Monica Anderson and Jingjing Jiang, "Teens, Social Media & Technology 2018", *Pew Research Center* online, May 31, 2018, 7, https://assets.pewresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/14/2018/05/31102617/PI\_2018.05.31\_TeensTech\_FINAL.pdf.

<sup>7</sup> Anderson and Jiang, 8.

<sup>8</sup> "Canada's Internet Factbook 2019", *CIRA* online, accessed February 10, 2021, https:// www.cira.ca/resources/corporate/factbook/canadas-internet-factbook-2019.

<sup>9</sup> Daniel Kardefelt-Winther in collaboration with Jasmina Byrne, "Rethinking screen-time in the time of COVID-19", UNICEF Office of Research - Innocenti online (April 9, 2020), https://www.unicef.org/globalinsight/stories/rethinking-screen-time-time-covid-19.

<sup>10</sup> Amanda Third et al., "Young and Online: Children's Perspectives On Life In the Digital Age", *State of the World's Children: Companion Report*, (Sydney: Western Sydney University & UNICEF, 2017), https://gdc.unicef.org/resource/young-and-online-childrens-perspectives-life-digital-age.

<sup>11</sup> Sonia Livingstone, John Carr, and Jasmina Byrne, "One In Three: Internet Governance and Children's Rights", *Office of Research — Innocenti Discussion Paper 2016-01* (Florence, Italy: UNICEF, 2016).

<sup>12</sup> We say "involuntary" here because of the Pandora's box that is complicity in the context of kaleidoscopic user agreements that act as a proxy for invasion of privacy. This is a whole other, non-youth-specific discourse that deserves its own space.

<sup>13</sup> *Law & Order*, Season 17, Episode 2, "Avatar", directed by Vincent Misiano, aired September 29, 2006, on NBC Universal Television.

<sup>14</sup> Heather McGhee, *The Sum of Us* — *What Racism Costs Everyone and How We Can Prosper Together* (New York: One World, 2021).

<sup>15</sup> *Contact*, directed by Robert Zemeckis (1997; Burbank, CA: Warner Home Video, 1997), DVD.

<sup>16</sup> Stephen Gennaro, "Globalization, History, Theory, and Writing", *Society for the History of Childhood and Youth Newsletter* No. 16 (Winter 2010), accessed November 8, 2016, http://www.history.vt.edu/Jones/SHCY/Newsletter16/Pedagogy- GennaroArticle.html.

<sup>17</sup> For more on how marginalized individuals can speak back to dominant narratives, and in doing so reclaim their subjectivity as active agents in their own lives who both name the word, and in doing so, name the world around them, see Paulo Friere, *Pedagogy of the Oppressed 30<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Edition* (New York: Continuum, 2000).

<sup>18</sup> Linda Tuhiwai Smith, *Decolonizing Methodologies — Research and Indigenous Peoples* (London: Zed Book Ltd, and Dunedin: University of Otago Press, 1999), 19.

<sup>19</sup> Convention on the Rights of the Child resolution 44/25, United Nations, Human Rights Office of the High Commissioner online (1989), accessed February 17, 2021, https://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/crc.aspx.

<sup>20</sup> Tuhiwai Smith, Decolonizing Methodologies, 173.

<sup>21</sup> Tuhiwai Smith, Decolonizing Methodologies, 193.

<sup>22</sup> Stephen Gennaro, "Making Kids Sexy: Sexualized Youth, Adult Anxieties, and Abercrombie and Fitch", in *Red Feather Journal* Volume 1, Issue 1 (Spring 2010), https://redfeatherjournal.org/vol-1-iss-1-spr-2010 2012.

<sup>23</sup> Henry Jenkins, "Introduction: Childhood Innocence and Other Modern Myths", in *The Children's Culture Reader*, H. Jenkins, ed. (New York: New York University Press, 1998), 1-37.

<sup>24</sup> "The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, or UNCRC, is the basis of all of Unicef's work. It is the most complete statement of children's rights ever produced and is the most widely-ratified international human rights treaty in history". "How We Protect Children's Rights With the UN Convention of the Rights of the Child", UNICEF online, accessed February 17, 2021, https://www.unicef.org.uk/what-we-do/un-conven tion-child-rights/.

<sup>25</sup> "Youth", United Nations online, accessed February 13, 2021, https://www.un.org/en/sections/issues-depth/youth-0/.

<sup>26</sup> Plato, *Republic*, in *Plato in Twelve Volumes*, Vols. 5 & 6 translated by Paul Shorey (Cambridge, MA.: Harvard University Press; London: William Heinemann Ltd., 1969), 352d.

#### Growing Up in a Connected World

UNICEF Office of Research – Innocenti (Sonia Livingstone, Marium Saeed, Daniel Kardefelt Winther)

Authors note: This report is a joint product of the Global Kids Online network. We would like to explicitly acknowledge the members of the network who led the national research projects behind this report. In addition, the authors would like to thank all participants of the Global Kids Online network meeting in Florence, Italy in May 2019, who spent a full day reviewing the report findings and providing invaluable feedback and national interpretation of findings. We would also like to extend our thanks to Jasmina Byrne, UNICEF New York Headquarters, who has provided continuous feedback and to Priscilla Idele, Director a.i., UNICEF Office of Research — Innocenti for her detailed feedback and insightful questions. Finally, we would like to thank our colleagues on the Global Kids Online international advisory board and steering group, who made this report possible.

<sup>1</sup> Livingstone, Sonia, et al., "Is There a ladder of Children's Online Participation? Findings from Three Global Kids Online Countries", Innocenti Research Briefs 2019-02, United Nations Children's Fund, Office of Research — Innocenti, Florence, 2019. Available at: <www.unicef-irc.org/publications/1019-ladder-of-childrens-online-partici pation- findings-from-three-gko-countries.html>, accessed 26 September 2019.

## Understanding the Relationship Between Young People and Social Media: What Role do Rights Play?

#### John Tobin

<sup>1</sup> Francine V. McNiff Chair in International Human Rights Law, Melbourne Law School, University of Melbourne.

<sup>2</sup> Jill Lepore, "How We Got to Sesame Street", *The New Yorker*, May 4, 2020, https://www. newyorker.com/magazine/2020/05/11/how-we-got-to-sesame-street.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid.

<sup>4</sup> Ibid.

<sup>5</sup> Ibid.

<sup>6</sup> See generally: Council of Europe, *Guidelines to respect, protect and fulfill the rights of* the child in the digital environment — Recommendation, CM/Rec (2018) 7 of the Committee of Ministers (2018); UNICEF, The State of the World's Children 2017: Children in a Digital World (New York: UNICEF, 2017); Eva Lievens et al., "Children's Rights and Digital Technologies" in International Human Rights of Children, ed. Ursula Kilkelly and Ton Liefaard (New York: Springer, 2019), 487-513; Sonia Livingstone, "Realizing Children's Rights in Relation to the Digital Environment", European Review online advance article (April 23, 2020); Sonia Livingstone, "Claiming Rights and Righting Wrongs: Children's Rights in the Digital Environment" in Exploring Key Issues in Early Childhood and Technology: Evolving Perspectives and Innovative Approaches, ed. Chip Donohue (New York: Routledge, 2019), 42-48; "Special Issue on Children and Digital Rights", Communications Law 23(1) (2018), includes papers on sharenting; privacy and data protection for children; and general legislative protection; Anna Brosch, "Children's Rights in Digital Environment: Cultural and Geographical Contexts across Europe and Africa", New Educational Review 56 (2019): 215-229; Leila Green et al., The Routledge Companion to Digital Media and Children (New York: Routledge, 2020); "Special Issue: Children's and Young People's Rights in the Digital Age", New Media & Society 19, Issue 5 (2019); Sonia Livingstone, John Carr, and Jasmina Byrne, One in Three: Internet Governance and Children's Rights Innocenti Discussion Paper No. 2016-01 (Florence, Italy: UNICEF Office of Research, 2016).

<sup>7</sup> Claire Bessant, "Sharenting: Balancing the Conflicting Rights of Parents and Children", *Communications Law* no. 23 (2018): 7-24; Kamil Kopecky et al., "The Phenomenon of Sharenting and Its Risks in the Online Environment: Experiences from Czech Republic and Spain", *Children and Youth Services Review*, 110 Article 104812 (2020); Emma Nottingham, "Dad! Cut That Part Out! — Children's Rights to Privacy in the Age of 'Generation Tagged': Sharenting, Digital Kidnapping and the Child Micro-Celebrity", in *The Routledge International Handbook of Young Children's Rights*, ed. Jane Murray, Beth Blue Swadener, and Kylie Smith (New York: Routledge, 2019).

<sup>8</sup> Corinne Dettmeijer-Vermeulen, "Legal Challenges and Strategies for Combating Online Sexual Violence against Children: Making Children's Rights Future-Proof", in *The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child: Taking Stock After 25 Years and Looking Ahead*, ed. Ton Liefaard and Julia Sloth-Nielsen (Leiden Boston: Brill Nijhoff, 2017), 47-60; Sonia Livingstone, John Carr, and Jasmina Byrne, "One in Three: Internet Governance and Children's Rights", Innocenti Discussion Paper No 2016-01 (New York: UNICEF Office of Research, 2016); Sonia Livingstone and Brian O'Neill, "Children's Rights Online: Challenges, Dilemmas and Emerging Directions", in *Minding Minors Wandering the Web: Regulating Online Child Safety*, ed. Simone van der Hof, Bib van den Berg, and Bart Schermer (The Hague: Asser Press, 2014), 19-38; Council of Europe, *Strategy on the Rights of the Child (2016-2021)*, 20.

<sup>9</sup> How Does the Time Children Spend Using Digital Technology Impact Their Mental Well-Being, Social Relationships and Physical Activity? UNICEF Office of Research, Florence Innocenti Discussion Paper 2017-02 (Florence, Italy: UNICEF Office of Research, 2017).

<sup>10</sup> Eva Lievens and Simone van der Hof, "The Importance of Privacy by Design and Data Protection Impact Assessments in Strengthening Protection of Children's Personal Data Under the GDPR", *Communications Law* 23(1) (2018): 33-43; Milda Macenaite, "From Universal Towards Child-Specific Protection of the Right to Privacy Online: Dilemmas in the EU General Data Protection Regulation", *New Media & Society* 19 Issue 5: Special Issue: Children's and Young People's Rights in the Digital Age (2019): 765-779; Shannon Sorensen, "Protecting Children's Right to Privacy in the Digital Age: Parents as Trustees of Children's Rights", 36(3) *Children's Legal Rights Journal* (2016): 156-176.

<sup>11</sup> Digital Connectivity during Covid-19: Access to Vital Information for Every Child Innocenti Research Brief 2020-12 (Florence, Italy: UNICEF Office of Research, 2020); *Is There a Ladder of Children's Online Participation*? Innocenti Research Brief 2019-02 (Florence, Italy: UNICEF Office of Research); *Global Kids Online: Comparative Report* November 2019 (Florence, Italy: UNICEF Office of Research, 2019).

<sup>12</sup> UN General Assembly, "Convention on the Rights of the Child", *United Nations Treaty Collection* vol. 1577 (opened for signature, ratification and accession by General Assembly resolution 44/25 of November 20, 1989; entered into force on September 2, 1990).

<sup>13</sup> "Every Treaty in Force is Binding Upon the Parties to it and Must be Performed by Them in Good Faith", in "Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties 1969", *United Nations Treaty Collection* vol. 1155, (opened for signature on May 23, 1969; Entered into force on January 27, 1980), 331, *article 26*.

<sup>14</sup> "Optional Protocol on the Involvement of Children in Armed Conflict", *United Nations Humans Rights Office of the High Commissioner* 2173 UNTS 222 (opened for signature May 25, 2000; entered into force February 12, 2002); "Optional Protocol on the Sale of Children, Child Prostitution and Child Pornography", *United Nations Humans* 

*Rights Office of the High Commissioner* 2171 UNTS 227 (opened for signature May 25, 2000; entered into force on January 18, 2002); "Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on a Communications Procedure", *United Nations Treaty Collection* (opened for signature December 19, 2011; entered into force April 14, 2014).

<sup>15</sup> John Tobin and Elizabeth Handsley, "Article 17: The Mass Media and Children: Diversity of Sources, Quality of Content and Protection Against Harm", in *The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child: A Commentary*, ed. John Tobin (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2019), 600, 602.

16 Ibid.

<sup>17</sup> On this issue see: "States should make appropriate arrangements to ensure that all children have adequate, affordable and secure access to devices, connectivity, services and content which is specifically intended for children. Insofar as this is possible, in dedicated public spaces, States should take measures to render access to the digital environment free of charge", in Council of Europe, *Guidelines to Respect, Protect and Fulfill the Rights of the Child in the Digital Environment* — *Recommendation*, CM/Rec (2018) 7 of the Committee of Ministers (2018), para 11; Oreste Pollicino, "The Right to Internet Access" in *The Cambridge Handbook on New Human Rights*, ed. Andreas von Arnauld et al. (Cambridge, Mass: Cambridge University Press, 2020), 263; UN General Assembly, "Report of the Special Rapporteur on the Promotion and Protection of the Right to Freedom of Opinion and Expression, Frank La Rue", *Human Rights Council* A/HRC/17/27 (May 16, 2017), www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/hrcouncil/docs/17sessi on/A.HRC.17.27\_en.pdf.

<sup>18</sup> See: Eva Lievens et al., "Children's Rights and Digital Technologies" in *International Human Rights of Children*, ed. Ursula Kilkelly and Ton Liefaard (New York: Springer, 2019), 487-513; Amanda Third, Sonia Livingstone, and Gerison Lansdown, "Recognizing Children's Rights in Relation to Digital Technologies: Challenges of Voice and Evidence, Principle and Practice", in *Research Handbook on Human Rights and Digital Technology: Global Politics, Law and International Relations*, ed. Ben Wagner, Matthias C Kettemann, and Kilian Vieth (Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar, 2019), 376.

<sup>19</sup> Committee on the Rights of the Child, *Report of the 2014 Day of General Discussion on "Digital Media and Children's Rights"* (2014), 19, accessed November 9, 2020, https://www.ohchr.org/Documents/HRBodies/CRC/Discussions/2014/DGD\_report.pdf.

<sup>20</sup> Committee on the Rights of the Child, *Draft General Comment No 25 Children's Rights in relation to the Digital Environment* (August 13, 2020), https://tbinternet.ohchr.org/\_lay outs/15/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=CRC%2fC%2fGC%2f25&Lang=en. <sup>21</sup> Ibid., para 7.

<sup>22</sup> The Committee tends to arrange its comments regarding a state's obligations under the various rights in the Convention under clusters which typically include: general principles, evolving capacities, general measures of implementation, civil and political rights, violence against children, family environment and alternative care, children with disabilities, basic health and welfare, education leisure and cultural activities, and special protection measures.

<sup>23</sup> Eva Lievens et al., "Children's Rights and Digital Technologies" in *International Human Rights of Children*, ed. Ursula Kilkelly and Ton Liefaard (New York: Springer, 2019), 487-513; Third, Livingstone, and Lansdown, "Recognizing Children's Rights in Relation to Digital Technologies", 376; Sonia Livingstone, Gerison Lansdown, and

Amanda Third, LSE Consulting, "The Case for a UNCRC General Comment on Children's Rights and Digital Media" (April 2017).

<sup>24</sup> Anita Morris, "Safety and Resiliency at Home: Voices of Children from a Primary Care Population" (Ph.D. thesis, General Practice and Primary Health Care Academic Centre and School of Social Work, University of Melbourne, 2015); Carol Gilligan, *In a Different Voice: Psychological Theory and Women's Development* (Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press, 1993); Virginia Held, *The Ethics of Care: Personal, Political, and Global* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2006).

<sup>25</sup> L. Ayala-Hunes et al., "An Ecological Model of Well-Being in Child Welfare Referred Children", *Social Indicators Research: An International and Interdisciplinary Journal for Quality-of-Life Measurement* vol. 140(2) (2018): 811-836.

<sup>26</sup> Amartya Sen, "Human Rights and Capabilities", *Journal of Human Development* vol. 6(2) (2005): 151–166; Amartya Sen, "Children and Human Rights", *Indian Journal of Human Development* vol. 1(2) (2007): 235.

<sup>27</sup> See: *The Social Dilemma*, directed by Jeff Orlowski (2020; Los Angeles, CA, Netflix, 2020); Joel Bakan, *Childhood Under Siege* (London, UK: Vintage, 2012).

<sup>28</sup> John Tobin and Judith Cashmore, "Thirty Years of the CRC: Child Protection Progress, Challenges and Opportunities", *Child Abuse and Neglect* (July 1, 2020), https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2020.104436; John Tobin, "Understanding Children's Rights: A Vision Beyond Vulnerability", *Nordic Journal of International Law* 84 (2015): 155. <sup>29</sup> Ibid.

<sup>30</sup> Committee on the Rights of the Child, *General Comment no 13 (2011): The right of the child to freedom from all forms of violence* (April 18, 2011), para 3(b). <sup>31</sup> Ibid., para 72(b).

<sup>32</sup> Committee on the Rights of the Child, *General Comment No. 12 (2009): The Right of the Child to be Heard* (July 1, 2009), paras. 19, 34, 49.

<sup>33</sup> See Nigel Thomas, 'Towards a Theory of Children's Participation", *International Journal of Children's Rights* 15 (2007),:199.

<sup>34</sup> Committee on the Rights of the Child, *Draft General Comment No 25 Children's Rights in relation to the Digital Environment* (August 13, 2020), para 1, https://tbinternet.ohch r.org/\_layouts/15/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=CRC%2fC%2fGC%2f2 5&Lang=en.

<sup>35</sup> See: Rebekah Thomas et al., "Assessing the Impact of a Human Rights-Based Approach Across a Spectrum of Change for Women's, Children's and Adolescents' Health", *Health and Human Rights* 17 (2015), 11-20; *Child Rights Education Toolkit: Rooting Child Rights in Early Childhood Education, Primary and Secondary Schools* (New York: UNICEF, 2014); Jim Ife, *Human Rights and Social Work: Towards Rights-Based Practice* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2008).

<sup>36</sup> See Sonia Livingstone, "Realizing Children's Rights in Relation to the Digital Environment", *European Review* online advance article (published April 23, 2020); *The State of the World's Children 2017*.

<sup>37</sup> Ibid.

<sup>38</sup> Ibid.

<sup>39</sup> Committee on the Rights of the Child, *General Comment no 13 (2011): The right of the Child to Freedom From All Forms of Violence* (April 18, 2011), para 59.

<sup>40</sup> Sonia Livingstone, Gerison Lansdown, and Amanda Third, LSE Consulting, "The Case for a UNCRC General Comment on Children's Rights and Digital Media" (April 2017), 14-16.

<sup>41</sup> Article 4 of the CRC provides that: "States Parties shall undertake all appropriate legislative, administrative, and other measures for the implementation of the rights recognized in the present Convention. With regard to economic, social and cultural rights, States Parties shall undertake such measures to the maximum extent of their available resources and, where needed, *within the framework of international co-operation*" (emphasis added).

<sup>42</sup> Committee on the Rights of the Child, *Draft General Comment No 25 Children's Rights in relation to the Digital Environment* (August 13, 2020), para 127.

43 Ibid., paras 33 and 34.

<sup>44</sup> See Eva Lievens, "Children's Rights and Media: Imperfect but Inspirational", in *Children's Rights Law in the Global Human Rights Landscape*, ed. Eva Brems, Ellen Desmet, and Wouter Vandenhole (New York: Routledge, 2017), 231, 236; Sonia Livingstone, "Children's Rights in the Digital Age", in *The Routledge Companion to Media and Human Rights*, ed. Howard Tumber and Silvio Waisbor (New York: Routledge, 2017), 107.

<sup>45</sup> Laura Lundy, John Tobin, and Aisling Parkes, "The Right to Respect for the Views of a Child" in *The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child: A Commentary*, ed. John Tobin (New York: Oxford University Press, 2019), 397, 400.

<sup>46</sup> Livingstone, "Children's Rights in the Digital Age", 109.

<sup>47</sup> See John Tobin and Aisling Parkes, "Article 13: The Right to Freedom of Expression" in *The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child: A Commentary*, ed. John Tobin (New York: Oxford University Press, 2019), 435, 449.

<sup>48</sup> Livingstone, "Children's Rights in the Digital Age", 109.

<sup>49</sup> John Tobin, "Justifying Children's Rights", *International Journal of Children's Rights* 21(1) (2013): 24-29.

<sup>50</sup> Committee on the Rights of the Child, *Draft General Comment No 25 Children's Rights in relation to the Digital Environment* (August 13, 2020), para 1.

<sup>51</sup> Asher Ben-Arieh, "Where are the Children? Children's Role in Measuring and Monitoring Their Well-Being", *Social Indicators Research* 74 (2005): 573–596; Laura Lundy and Laura McEvoy, "Childhood, the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and Research: What Constitutes a 'Rights-Based' Approach?", in *Law and Childhood*, ed. Michael Freeman (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012), 75; Laura Lundy, Laura McEvoy, and Bronagh Byrne, "Working with Young Children as Co-Researchers: An Approach Informed by the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child", (2011) *Early Education and Development* 22(5) (2011): 714-736.

<sup>52</sup> Holly Doel-Mackaway, "Ask Us... This is our Country: Designing Laws and Policies with Aboriginal Children and Young People", *International Journal of Children's Rights* 27 (2019): 31–65; *Learn to Live without Violence: Transformative Research by Children and Young People*, ed. Harry Shier (Lancashire: CESESMA and University of Central Lancashire, 2012); Mary Kellett, "Empowering Children and Young People as Researchers: Overcoming Barriers and Building Capacity", *Child Indicators Research* 4 (2011): 205.

<sup>53</sup> Third, Livingstone, and Lansdown, "Recognizing Children's Rights in Relation to Digital Technologies", 376, 380 (also noting that much of the research focusses on the experience of children in the North).

<sup>54</sup> See Anne Graham et al., *Ethical Research Involving Children* (Florence, Italy: UNICEF Office of Research, 2013).

<sup>55</sup> Amanda Third et al., *Children's Rights in the Digital Age — A Download from Children Around the World* (Melbourne, AUS: Young and Well Cooperative Research Centre, 2014).

<sup>56</sup> Jenny S. Radesky et al., "Young Children's Use of Smartphones and Tablets", *Pediatrics* 146(1) (2020); Lauren Arundell et al., "Informing Behaviour Change: What Sedentary Behaviours Do Families Perform at Home and How Can They Be Targeted"?, *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 16 (22) (2019); Australian Communication and Media Authority, *Kids and Mobiles: How Australian Children are Using Mobile Phones: Interactive Report* (November 2019).

<sup>57</sup> Sesame Workshop (@SesameWorkshop), "Wearing masks, video chats, & frequent changes can be challenging for all children...", Twitter, October 23, 2020, https://twitter. com/SesameWorkshop/status/1319685866365779968?s=20

<sup>58</sup> Sesame Workshop (@SesameWorkshop), "Salia is one of 5.7 million U.S. children living in a household with a parent who has a substance abuse disorder...", Twitter, October 21, 2020, https://twitter.com/SesameWorkshop/status/1319685866365779968?s=20

<sup>59</sup> Sesame Workshop (@SesameWorkshop), "Islam was born in Syria, but lives with her family in a refugee camp in Jordan. ...", Twitter, October 28, 2020, https://twitter. com/SesameWorkshop/status/1321560525759827971?s=20

<sup>60</sup> Sesame Workshop (@SesameWorkshop), "We are thrilled to have our Girl Talk program selected as one of the most effective interventions addressing menstrual health...", Twitter, October 29, 2020, https://twitter.com/SesameWorkshop/status/13 21805697965871109?s=20

<sup>61</sup> Eva Lievens, "Children's Rights and Media: Imperfect but Inspirational" in *Children's Rights Law in the Global Human Rights Landscape*, ed. Eva Brems, Ellen Desmet, and Wouter Vandenhole (New York: Routledge, 2017), 231, 238.

<sup>62</sup> Third et al., *Children's Rights in the Digital Age*, 401.

<sup>63</sup> Ibid.

<sup>64</sup> Ibid.

<sup>65</sup> Sonia Livingstone, "Realizing Children's Rights in Relation to the Digital Environment", *European Review* online advance article (published 23 April 2020), 7.

## "School Strike 4 Climate": Social Media and the International Youth Protest on Climate Change

### Shelley Boulianne, David Ilkiw, Mireille Lalancette

Authors note: The authors would like to thank Vincent Raynauld for his guidance in setting up this project. The authors declare no conflict of interests.

<sup>1</sup> Eliza Barclay and Kainaz Amaria, "Photos: Kids in 123 countries went on Strike to Protect the Climate", *Vox*, March 17, 2019, https://www.vox.com/energy-and-environ ment/2019/3/15/18267156/ youth-climate-strike-march-15-photos.

<sup>2</sup> Maria T. Grasso Generations, Political Participation and Social Change in Western Europe (London: Routledge, 2016); Aaron J. Martin, Young People and Politics: Political Engagement in the Anglo-American Democracies (New York: Routledge, 2012); James Sloam, "Diversity and Voice: The Political Participation of Young People in the European Union", British Journal of Politics and International Relations 18(3) (2016): 521-537. <sup>3</sup> Sloam, "Diversity and Voice", 521-537; Ariadne Vromen et al., "Everyday Making Through Facebook Engagement: Young Citizens' Political Interactions in Australia, the United Kingdom and the United States", *Political Studies* 64(3) (2016): 513–533.

<sup>4</sup> Sarah Pickard, "Young Environmental Activists are Doing it Themselves", *Political Insight* 10(4) (2019): 4-7.

<sup>5</sup> Grasso *Generations*; Martin, *Young People and Politics*; Sloam, "Diversity and voice", 521-537.

<sup>6</sup> Pickard, 4-7.

<sup>7</sup> Emma Bäck et al., "A Social Safety Net? Rejection Sensitivity and Political Opinion Sharing Among Young People in Social Media", *New Media & Society* 21(2) (2019): 298-316; Kjerstin Thorson, "Facing an Uncertain Reception: Young Citizens and Political Interaction on Facebook", *Information, Communication & Society*, 17(2) (2014): 203– 216; Vromen et al.

<sup>8</sup> James Dennis, *Beyond Slacktivism: Political Participation on Social Media* (London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2019).

<sup>9</sup> Shelley Boulianne, and Yannis Theocharis, "Young People, Digital Media, and Engagement: A Meta-Analysis of Research", *Social Science Computer Review* 38(2) (2020): 111-127.

<sup>10</sup> Leah A. Lievrouw, *Alternative and Activist New Media* (Malden, MA: Polity Press, 2011); Zizi Pappacharissi, *Affective Publics: Sentiment, Technology, and Politics* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2014).

<sup>11</sup> Lance W. Bennett and Alexandra Segerberg, "The Logic of Connective Action: Digital Media and the Personalization of Contentious Politics", *Information, Communication & Society* 15(5) (2012): 739-768.

12 Ibid., 744.

<sup>13</sup> Kjerstin Thorson et al., "Seeking Visibility in a Big Tent: Digital Communication and the People's Climate March". *International Journal of Communication* 10 (2016): 4784–4806.

<sup>14</sup> Chris Rootes, "Environmental Movements", in *Blackwell Companion to Social Movements*, ed. David A. Snow, Sarah A. Soule, and Hanspeter Kriesi (Oxford: Blackwell Publishing, 2007), 608-640.

<sup>15</sup> Dana R. Fisher and Jessica F. Green, "Understanding Disenfranchisement: Civil Society and Developing Countries' Influence and Participation in Global Governance for Sustainable Development", *Global Environmental Politics* 4(3) (2004): 65-84.

<sup>16</sup> Chris Rootes, "Environmental Movements", 608-640.

<sup>17</sup> Matthew T. Ballew et al., "Climate Change in the American Mind: Data, Tools, and Trends", *Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development* 61(3) (2019): 4-18; Robert Brulle, Jason Carmichael, and J. Craig Jenkins, "Shifting Public Opinion on Climate Change: An Empirical Assessment of Factors Influencing Concern Over Climate Change in the U.S., 2002–2010", Climatic Change 114(2) (2012): 169-188.

<sup>18</sup> Salil D. Benegal, "The Impact of Unemployment and Economic Risk Perceptions on Attitudes Towards Anthropogenic Climate Change", *Journal of Environmental Studies and Sciences* 8(3) (2018): 300-311; Brulle, Carmichael, and Jenkins, "Shifting Public Opinion on Climate Change", 169-188.

<sup>19</sup> M. B. Arbuckle, "The Interaction of Religion, Political Ideology, and Concern about Climate Change in the United States", *Society & Natural Resources* 30(2) (2017): 177-194; Benegal, "Unemployment and Economic Risk Perceptions", 300-311; Salil D. Benegal, "The Spillover of Race and Racial Attitudes into Public Opinion about Climate Change", *Environmental Politics* 27(4) (2018): 733-756; Matthew J. Hornsey et al., "Meta-analyses of the Determinants and Outcomes of Belief in Climate Change", *Nature Climate Change* 6(6) (2016): 622-627.

20 Ballew et al., 4-18.

<sup>21</sup> Cristina Tobler, Vivianne Visschers, and Michael Siegrist, "Consumers' Knowledge about Climate Change", *Climatic Change* 114(2) (2012): 189–209.

<sup>22</sup> Julia Metag, Tobias Füchslin, and Mike S. Schäfer, "Global Warming's Five Germanys: A Typology of Germans' Views on Climate Change and Patterns of Media Use and Information", *Public Understanding of Science* 26(4) (2017): 434-451.

<sup>23</sup> Yannis Theocharis et al., "Using Twitter to Mobilize Protest Action: Online Mobilization Pattern And Action Repertoires in the Occupy Wall Street, Indignados, and Aganaktismenoi Movements", *Information, Communication & Society* 18(2) (2015): 202–220.

<sup>24</sup> Vincent Raynauld, Emmanuelle Richez, and Katie Boudreau Morris, "Canada is #IdleNoMore: Exploring Dynamics of Indigenous Political and Civic Protest in the Twitterverse", *Information, Communication & Society* 21(4) (2017): 626-642.

<sup>25</sup> See Vincent Raynauld, Mireille Lalancette, and Sofia Tourigny-Koné, "Political Protest 2.0: Social Media and the 2012 Student Strike in the Province of Quebec, Canada", *French Politics* 14(1) (2016): 1-29; Vincent Raynauld, Mireille Lalancette, and Sofia Tourigny-Koné, "Rethinking Digital Activism as it Unfolds: Twitter-Based Contention during the 2012 Quebec Student Strike", in *What's Trending in Canadian Politics? Understanding Transformations in Power, Media, and the Public Sphere*, ed. Mireille Lalancette, Vincent Raynauld, and Erin Crandall (Vancouver: UBC Press, 2019), 44-62; Yannis Theocharis, "Cuts, Tweets, Solidarity and Mobilisation: How the Internet Shaped the Student Occupations". *Parliamentary Affairs* 65(1) (2012): 162-194.

<sup>26</sup> Deen Freelon, Charlton D. McIllwain, and Meredith D. Clark, "Beyond the Hashtags: #Ferguson, #Blacklivesmatter, and the Online Struggle for Offline Justice", Center For Media & Social Impact, School of Communication, American University, Washington, DC, February 29, 2016, https://cmsimpact.org/resource/beyond-hashtags-fergusonblacklivesmatter-online-struggle-offline-justice.

<sup>27</sup> Megan MacDuffee-Metzger and Joshua A. Tucker, "Social Media and EuroMaidan: A Review Essay", *Slavic Review* 76(1) (2017): 169-191.

<sup>28</sup> John T. Jost et al., "How Social Media Facilitates Political Protest: Information, Motivation, and Social Networks", *Advances in Political Psychology* 39(Suppl. 1) (2018): 85-118.

<sup>29</sup> Yannis Theocharis et al., "Using Twitter to Mobilize Protest Action", 202–220.

<sup>30</sup> Rebecca K. LeFebvre and Crystal Armstrong, "Grievance-based Social Movement Mobilization in the #Ferguson Twitter Storm", *New Media & Society* 20(1) (2018): 8-28.

<sup>31</sup> Freelon, McIllwain, and Clark, "Beyond the Hashtags".

<sup>32</sup> Heather E. Hodges and Galen Stocking, "A Pipeline of Tweets: Environmental Movements' Use of Twitter in Response to the Keystone XL Pipeline", *Environmental Politics* 25(2) (2016): 223-247.

<sup>33</sup> Raynauld, Lalancette, and Tourigny-Koné, "Political Protest 2.0", 1-29.

<sup>34</sup> Raynauld, Richez, and Boudreau Morris, "Canada is #IdleNoMore", 626-642.

<sup>35</sup> Dana R. Fisher, *American Resistance: From the Women's March to the Blue Wave* (New York: Columbia University Press, 2019).

<sup>36</sup> Shelley Boulianne, Karolina Koc-Michalska, and Bruce Bimber, "Mobilizing Media: Comparing TV and Social Media Effects on Protest Mobilization", *Information, Communication & Society* (2020), advance online publication, https://doi.org/10.1080/ 1369118X.2020.1713847.

<sup>37</sup> Ibid.

<sup>38</sup> Andrés Scherman, Arturo Arriagada, and Sebastián Valenzuela. "Student and Environmental Protests in Chile: The Role of Social Media", *Politics* 35(2) (2015): 151-171.

<sup>39</sup> Dana R. Fisher and Marije Boekkooi, "Mobilizing Friends and Strangers: Understanding the Role of the Internet in the Step It Up day of Action", *Information, Communication & Society* 13(2) (2010): 193-208.

<sup>40</sup> Jost et al., "How Social Media Facilitates Political Protest", 85-118; LeFebvre and Armstrong, "Grievance-based Social Movement Mobilization", 8-28.

<sup>41</sup> Freelon, McIllwain, and Clark, "Beyond the Hashtags".

<sup>42</sup> Jost et al., "How Social Media Facilitates Political Protest", 85-118; Melissa K. Merry, "Tweeting for a Cause: Microblogging and Environmental Advocacy", *Policy & Internet* 5(3) (2013): 304-327; Natalie Pang, and Pei Wen Law, "Retweeting #WorldEnvironmentDay: A Study of Content Features and Visual Rhetoric in an Environmental Movement", *Computers in Human Behavior* 69 (April 2017): 54-61; Raynauld, Lalancette, and Tourigny-Koné, "Political Protest 2.0", 1-29; Raynauld, Richez, and Boudreau Morris, "Canada is #IdleNoMore", 626-642.

<sup>43</sup> Pang, and Law, "Retweeting #WorldEnvironmentDay", 54-61.

<sup>44</sup> Hodges and Stocking, "A Pipeline of Tweets", 223-247.

<sup>45</sup> Ibid.

<sup>46</sup> Merry, "Tweeting for a Cause", 304-327.

47 Ibid.

<sup>48</sup> Thorson et al., "Seeking Visibility in a Big Tent", 4784–4806.

<sup>49</sup> Ibid.

<sup>50</sup> Jost et al., "How Social Media Facilitates Political Protest", 85-118.

<sup>51</sup> Ibid.

 <sup>52</sup> Samuel Asumadu Sarkodie and Vladimir Strezov, "Economic, Social and Governance Adaptation Readiness for Mitigation of Climate Change Vulnerability: Evidence from 192 Countries", *Science of the Total Environment* 656 (2019): 150–164.
 <sup>53</sup> Ibid.

<sup>54</sup> Pang and Law, "Retweeting #WorldEnvironmentDay", 54-61; Raynauld, Lalancette, and Tourigny-Koné, "Political Protest 2.0", 1-29; Raynauld, Richez, and Boudreau Morris, "Canada is #IdleNoMore", 626-642; Raynauld, Lalancette, and Tourigny-Koné, "Rethinking Digital Activism as it Unfolds", 44-62.

<sup>55</sup> Raynauld, Lalancette, and Tourigny-Koné, "Political Protest 2.0", 1-29; Raynauld, Lalancette, and Tourigny-Koné, "Rethinking Digital Activism as it Unfolds", 44-62.

<sup>56</sup> Merry, "Tweeting for a Cause", 304-327; Hodges and Stocking, "A Pipeline of Tweets", 223-247.

<sup>57</sup> Merry, "Tweeting for a Cause", 304-327.

<sup>58</sup> Ibid.

<sup>59</sup> See: Raynauld, Lalancette, and Tourigny-Koné, "Political Protest 2.0", 1-29; Raynauld, Lalancette, and Tourigny-Koné, "Rethinking Digital Activism as it Unfolds", 44-62.
 <sup>60</sup> See: Raynauld, Richez, and Boudreau Morris, "Canada is #IdleNoMore", 626-642.

<sup>61</sup> Freelon, McIllwain, and Clark, "Beyond the Hashtags"; Hodges and Stocking, "A Pipeline of Tweets", 223-247; LeFebvre and Armstrong, "Grievance-based Social Movement Mobilization", 8-28; Theocharis et al., "Using Twitter to Mobilize Protest Action", 202–220.
<sup>62</sup> Thorson et al., "Seeking Visibility in a Big Tent", 4784–4806.

<sup>63</sup> Pablo Barberá et al., "The Critical Periphery in the Growth of Social Protests", *PloS One, 10*(11) (2015), https://dx.doi.org/10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0143611.

64 Hodges and Stocking, "A Pipeline of Tweets", 223-247.

<sup>65</sup> Deana A. Rohlinger and Leslie Bunnage, "Did the Tea Party Movement Fuel the Trump-Train? The Role of Social Media in Activist Persistence and Political Change in the 21st Century", *Social Media* + *Society* 3(2) (2017), https://doi.org/10.1177%2F2056305117706 786.

<sup>66</sup> Ibid., 8.

<sup>67</sup> Ibid.

68 Ibid.

## Resisting Youth: From Occupy through Black Lives Matter to the Trump Resistance

Douglas Kellner, Roslyn M. Satchel

<sup>1</sup> On the struggles and movements that erupted in 2011, see Douglas Kellner, *Media Spectacle and Insurrection, 2011: From the Arab Uprisings to Occupy Everywhere* (New York: Continuum/Bloomsbury), 2012.

<sup>2</sup> See "Occupy Wall Street", *Guardian*, accessed October 13, 2011, http://www.guardian. co.uk/world/occupy-wall-street.

<sup>3</sup> See "Occupy Wall Street Press Recap", *Trendrr*, accessed October 22, 2011, http://blog. trendrr.com/2011/10/21/trendrr-occupy-wall-street-press-recap/.

<sup>4</sup> Guy Debord's *The Society of the Spectacle* (1967) was published in translation in a pirate edition by Black and Red (Detroit) in 1970 and reprinted many times; another edition appeared in 1983 and a new translation in 1994. The key texts of the Situationists and many interesting commentaries are found on various websites, producing a curious afterlife for Situationist ideas and practices. For further discussion of Debord and the Situationists, see Steven Best and Douglas Kellner, *The Postmodern Turn* (New York: Guilford Press and Routledge), 1997, chapter 3. On Debord's life and work see also Vincent Kaufmann, *Guy Debord: Revolution in the Service of Poetry* (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2006). On the complex and highly contested reception and effects of Guy Debord and the Situationist International, see Greil Marcus, *Lipstick Traces: A Secret History of the Twentieth Century* (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1990); *Guy Debord and the Situationist International*, ed. Tom McDonough (Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 2002); and McKenzie. Wark, *50 Years of Recuperation of the Situationist International* (New York: Princeton Architectural Press, 2008).

<sup>5</sup> See "Occupy Wall Street Live Stream" *Occupy Wall Street*, accessed January 3, 2012, http://occupystreams.org/.

<sup>6</sup> See Michael Greenberg, "What Future for Occupy Wall Street?", *New York Review of Books*, February 9, 2012, http://www.nybooks.com/articles/archives/2012/feb/09/wha t-future-occupy-wall-street/?pagination=false&printpage=true#fn-1.
<sup>7</sup> Ibid.

Turki Alelyani, Stevens Institute of Technology, Arup Kumar Ghosh, Jacksonville State University Notes 327

#### <sup>8</sup> Ibid.

9 Ibid.

<sup>10</sup> Ibid.

<sup>11</sup> There are a variety of online petitions against SOFA including the ACLU's "Sign the Pledge: I Stand With the ACLU in Fighting SOPA", *ACLU*, accessed February 9, 2012, https://secure.aclu.org/site/SPageServer?pagename=sem\_sopa&s\_subsrc=SEM\_Google \_Search-SOPA\_SOPA\_sopa%20bill\_p\_10385864662; and "Hands off the Internet", *Broadband of America*, accessed February 9, 2012, http://www.broadbandforamerica. com/handsofftheinternet?gclid=COqHzpuska4CFQN8hwod0GBVew.

<sup>12</sup> There are multiple websites devoted to blocking the construction of the Keystone XL pipeline such as "Stopping the Keystone XL Pipeline", *National Resources Defense Council*, accessed January 9, 2012, http://www.nrdc.org/energy/keystone-pipeline/?gc lid=CMX607Gtka4CFQVahwodkAwofQ.

<sup>13</sup> There are many Recall Scott Walker sites such as "United Wisconsin to Recall Walker", *United Wisconsin*, accessed February 8, 2012, http://www.unitedwisconsin.com/oneday longer.

<sup>14</sup> "The #BlackLivesMatter Movement: Marches and Tweets for Healing". National Public Radio online. June 9, 2015. Accessed July 15, 2016. https://www.npr.org/2015/06/09/ 412862459/ the-blacklivesmatter-movement-marches-and-tweets-for-healing.

<sup>15</sup> "About the Black Lives Matter Network". Black Lives Matter. Accessed July 15, 2016. https://blacklivesmatter.com/about/.

16 Ibid.

<sup>17</sup> Deborah Atwater, African American Women's Rhetoric: The Search for Dignity, Personhood, and Honor (Lanham, MD: Lexington Books, 2009), 1.

<sup>18</sup> Belinda Robnett, *How Long? How Long? African American Women in the Struggle for Civil Rights* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1997), 4.

<sup>19</sup> Steven F. Lawson, *Civil Rights Crossroads: Nation, Community, and the Black Freedom Struggle* (Lexington, KY: University Press of Kentucky, 2003), 266.

<sup>20</sup> Belinda Robnett, How Long? How Long?, 36.

<sup>21</sup> David G. Holmes, "'Hear Me Tonight': Ralph Abernathy and the Sermonic Pedagogy of the Birmingham Mass Meeting", *Rhetoric Review* 32.2 (2013): 156–173.

<sup>22</sup> Kirt H. Wilson, "Interpreting the Discursive Field of the Montgomery Bus Boycott: Martin Luther King Jr.'s Holt Street Address", *Rhetoric & Public Affairs* 8.2 (2006): 299–326.

<sup>23</sup> Barbara Ransby, "The Class Politics of Black Lives Matter", *Dissent* 62.4 (2015): 31.

<sup>24</sup> Vorris Nunley, *Keepin' It Hushed: The Barbershop and African American Hush Harbor Rhetoric* (Detroit: Wayne State University Press, 2011).

<sup>25</sup> Ransby, Barbara. "The Class Politics of Black Lives Matter". *Dissent* 62.4 (2015): 31–34.
 <sup>26</sup> Ibid.

<sup>27</sup> The Smiley Group, Inc. *Tavis Smiley*. "Black Lives Matter Organizer Dr. Melina Abdullah". Aired November 16, 2015, on PBS. http://www.pbs.org/wnet/tavissmiley/interviews/profmelina-abdullah/.

## Trauma, Resilience, and #BlackLivesMatter How do Racism and Trauma Intersect in Social Media Conversations?

#### Berkeley Media Studies Group

Authors note: This report was written by Laura Nixon, MPH, Sarah Han, BA, Pamela Mejia, MS, MPH, and Lori Dorfman, DrPH. Thanks to our colleagues at Berkeley Media Studies Group for their research and writing support, especially Heather Gehlert and Lauryn Claassen. Thank you to Daphne Marvel, BA, and Vanessa Palzes, MPH, for research assistance, and to Alex Estes, Ph.D., and Vanessa Palzes, MPH, for their assistance with the data analysis.

This work was supported by The California Endowment. Specifically, we thank Mary Lou Fulton for her insights and support. Thanks also to everyone who participated in the Racing ACEs meeting, held in Richmond, California, in September 2016.

<sup>1</sup> "Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs)", Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/acestudy/.

<sup>2</sup> "Toxic Stress", Center on the Developing Child, Harvard University online, https://de velopingchild.harvard.edu/science/key-concepts/toxic-stress/.

<sup>3</sup> "ACEs Science 101", Aces Too High News. http://acestoohigh.com/aces-101/.

<sup>4</sup> Jane Stevens, "Adding layers to the ACEs pyramid — What do you think"? last modified April 7, 2015, http://www.acesconnection.com/blog/adding-layers-to-the-aces-pyrami d-what-do-you-think.

<sup>5</sup> "Rejected. Reflected. Altered: Racing ACEs Revisited", *Berkeley Media Studies Group* online, October 19, 2016, http://www.bmsg.org/resources/publications/rejected-reflec ted-altered-racing-aces-revisited.

<sup>6</sup> Monica Anderson and Paul Hitlin, "Social Media Conversations about Race", *Pew Research Center* — *Internet & Technology*, August 16, 2015. http://www.pewinternet.org/2016/08/15/social-media-conversations-about-race/.

<sup>7</sup> Lori Dorfman et al., "Issue 19: Case by Case: News coverage of Child Sexual Abuse", *Berkeley Media Studies Group* online, last modified May 1, 2011, http://www.bmsg.org/resources/publications/issue-19-case-by-case-news-coverage-of-child-sexual-abuse.

<sup>8</sup> Laura Nixon et al., "Issue 24: Adverse Childhood Experiences in the News: Successes and Opportunities in Coverage of Childhood Trauma", *Berkeley Media Studies Group* online, last modified December 20, 2017, http://www.bmsg.org/resources/publications/issue-24adverse-childhood-experiences-trauma-news-coverage-successes-opportunities.

<sup>9</sup> Laura Nixon et al., "Talking About Trauma: Findings and Opportunities from an Analysis of News Coverage", *Berkeley Media Studies Group* online, last modified October 2015, http://www.bmsg.org/sites/default/files/bmsg\_talking\_about\_trauma\_news\_analysis201 5.pdf.

<sup>10</sup> We achieved an acceptable reliability measure of >.8 for each variable. See Klaus Krippendorff, *The Content Analysis Reader* (Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications, Inc., 2009).

<sup>11</sup> We considered a network connection to be when one user mentioned another user in their Tweet or when a user Tweeted another user's content. We identified original Tweets and Retweets using timestamp data, user mentions, and string similarity as measured by Levenshtein distance. We use the term "Retweet" to include all Tweets that repeat the content of the original Tweet. <sup>12</sup> Mansa Musa, "There are black children in overtly stricken areas who experience the same level of PTSD as children in war torn countries like Iraq", Twitter, October 26, 2016, https://twitter.com/Wat\_The\_Mell.

<sup>13</sup> Epigenetics is the study of how social and other environments, including childhood trauma, turn our genes on and off. This can lead to long-term physical and mental changes, which can be transferred from generation to generation. ACEs Science 101", Aces Too High News. http://acestoohigh.com/ aces-101/.

<sup>14</sup> Andre M. Carrington, "#Epigenetics always makes me think of racism as intergenerational trauma", Twitter, October 18, 2014, https://twitter.com/prof\_carrington.

<sup>15</sup> Mental Health America, "Racism is a toxic stressor, & toxic stress is linked to conditions like #depression — @APA offers some coping tools", Twitter, July 7, 2015, https://twitter. com/MentalHealthAm.

<sup>16</sup> dank zmesa, "Trauma & Resilience May Be Woven Into DNA of Native Americans http://ictmn.com/4wvX via @IndianCountry #Epigenetics #indigenous #DAPL", Twitter, October 11, 2016, https://twitter.com/adamsken.

<sup>17</sup> Mike Isaac and Sydney Ember, "For Election Day Influence, Twitter Ruled Social Media", *New York Times*, November 8, 2016, https://www.nytimes.com/2016/11/09/ technology/for-election-day-chatter-twitter-ruled-social-media.html.

<sup>18</sup> ndngenuity, "Southern Poverty Law Center report shows children of color deeply traumatized by 2016 presidential campaign -https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/ education/wp/2016/04/13/the-trump-effect-report-says-children-of-color-are-deeply-

traumatized-by-2016-campaign", Twitter, April 14, 2016, https://twitter.com/ndngenuity.

<sup>19</sup> AAP, Wisconsin Chap., "Counsel or Criminalize? Why Students of Color Need Supports, Not Suspensions https://www.americanprogress.org/ issues/education/report/2016/09/22/144636/ counsel-or-criminalize ... via @AmProg #poverty #trauma #ACEs", Twitter, September 23, 2016, https://twitter.com/WIAAP.

<sup>20</sup> Marty Lang, "What will immigrant children feel like at school tomorrow? LGBTQ kids? Black kids? Girls? A national trauma is about to begin #ElectionNight", Twitter, November 8, 2016, https://twitter.com/marty\_lang.

<sup>21</sup> Johan Casal, "But there was a child in the back seat who witnessed all of this happen — imagine having to grow up with that trauma", Twitter, July 6, 2016, https://twit ter.com/JohanCasal.

<sup>22</sup> Jenny Welch Buller, "Just heard call for action from #blacklivesmatter truth and reconciliation, trauma informed solutions #ACES #blacklivesmatter", Twitter, July 13, 2016, https://twitter.com/JenWelchBuller.

<sup>23</sup> [Figure 2 of the original document has not been included in this reprinted version of the report]. "In Figure 2 we illustrate how users who Tweeted about childhood trauma and race were connected (or not connected), and we highlight the most widely shared Tweets about childhood trauma and race". http://www.bmsg.org/resources/publicatio ns/trauma-resilience-blacklivesmatter-racism-trauma-social-media/

<sup>24</sup> Jasmine Banks, "Self Care for People of Color after Psychological Trauma", *Just Jasmine* (blog), July 5, 2016, http://www.justjasmineblog.com/blog-1/self-care-for-people-of-color-after-emotional-and-psychological-trauma/.

<sup>25</sup> [Figure 4 of the original document has not been included in this reprinted version of the report]. http://www.bmsg.org/resources/publications/trauma-resilience-blacklives matter-racism-trauma-social-media/

<sup>26</sup> Dan Frommer, "Twitter's list of 2,752 Russian trolls", Recode, November 2, 2017,

Turki Alelyani, Stevens Institute of Technology, Arup Kumar Ghosh, Jacksonville State University 330 Notes

https://www.vox.com/2017/11/2/16598312/russia-twitter-trump-twitter-deactivated-handle-list.

<sup>27</sup> J Lukito et al., "The Twitter Exploit: How Russian Propaganda Infiltrated U.S. News", Research paper, University of Wisconsin-Madison, February 2018, https://mcrc.jour nalism.wisc.edu/files/2018/05/TwitterExploit.pdf.

<sup>28</sup> [Figure 4 of the original document has not been included in this reprinted version of the report]. http://www.bmsg.org/resources/publications/trauma-resilience-blacklives matter-racism-trauma-social-media/

<sup>29</sup> For more ideas about how to use Twitter to shape the conversation about trauma and racism, please see Lauryn Claassen, "blog: 5 ways advocates can use twitter to elevate the link between racism and childhood trauma", *Berkeley Media Studies Group* online, last modified June 1, 2018, http://www.bmsg.org/blog/5-ways-advocates-can-use-twitter-elevate-link-between-racism-and-childhood-trauma.

## Youth's Relationship with Social Media: Identity Formation through Self-Expression and Activism

Jennifer Laffier, Molly Gadanidis, Janette Hughes

<sup>1</sup> Somini Sengupta, "Protesting Climate Change, Young People Take to the Streets", *New York Times*, September 20, 2019, https://www.nytimes.com/2019/09/20/climate/globa l-climate-strike.html.

<sup>2</sup> Monica Anderson and Jingjing Jiang, "Teens, Social Media & Technology 2018", *Pew Research Center online*, May 31, 2018, https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2018/05/31/teens-social-media-technology-2018/.

<sup>3</sup> Martin E. P. Seligman and Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi, "Positive Psychology-An Introduction", *American Psychologist* 55(1) (2000): 5-14, doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.5.

<sup>4</sup> "Youth", *United Nations online*, accessed September 16, 2020, https://www.un.org/en/sections/issues-depth/youth-0/index.html.

<sup>5</sup> "Interregional Youth Policy Forum", *United Nations online*, November 2017, https:// www.unescap.org/sites/default/files/Concept%20note%20DA%20Youth%20Workshop %2020171012.pdf.

<sup>6</sup> Mark C. Edberg, Development of UNICEF Latin America/Caribbean Adolescent Well-Being Indicators: Background and Proposed Indicators, (Panama City: UNICEF–LAC, 2008).

<sup>7</sup> Seligman and Csikszentmihalyi, "Positive Psychology-An Introduction".

<sup>8</sup> Halverson, E. R., "Artistic Production Processes as Venues for Positive Youth Development", *Wisconsin Center for Educational Research online*, February 2010, 3, http://www.wcer.wisc.edu/publications/workingPapers/papers.php.

<sup>9</sup> James E. Marica, "Some Directions for the Investigation of Ego Development in Early Adolescence", *The Journal of Early Adolescence* 3, no. 3 (1984): 215-223.

<sup>10</sup> Erik H Erikson, "The Problem of Ego Identity", *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association* 4, no. 1 (1956): 56-121, doi:10.1177/000306515600400104.

<sup>11</sup> Kyle Eichas et al., "Identity and Positive Youth Development: Advances in Developmental Intervention Science" in *The Oxford Handbook of Identity Development*, ed. Kate C. McLean and Moin Syed (Oxford: Oxford University press, 2015), 337-354. <sup>12</sup> Koen Luyckx et al., "Basic Need Satisfaction and Identity Formation: Bridging Self-Determination Theory and Process-Oriented Identity Research", Journal of Counseling Psychology 56(2) (2009): 276-288, doi: 10.1037/a0015349; Seth J. Schwartz, James E. Côté, and Jeffrey J. Arnett, "Identity and Agency in Emerging Adulthood: Two Developmental Routes in the Individualization Process", Youth & Society 37(2) (2009): 201-229.

<sup>13</sup> John C. Coleman, *The Nature of Adolescence* (New York: Routledge, 2011).

<sup>14</sup> Kun-Hu Chen et al., "Adolescent Self-Identity and Mental Health: The Function of Identity Importance, Identity Firmness, and Identity Discrepancy", Chinese Journal of Psychology 49, no. 1 (2007): 53-72.

<sup>15</sup> Erikson, "The Problem of Ego Identity".

<sup>16</sup> Adriana M. Manago, "Media and the Development of Identity" in Emerging Trends in the Social and Behavioral Sciences, ed. Robert A. Scott and Stephen M. Kosslyn (Hoboken: John Wiley and Sons, 2015), https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118900772.etrds0212.

17 Erik H. Erikson, Identity, Youth and Crisis, no. 7 (New York: Norton & Company, Inc, 1968).

<sup>18</sup> Erikson's stages of psychosocial development include: Infant (trust vs mistrust); Toddler (autonomy vs shame and doubt; Pre-schooler (Initiative vs guilt); Grade-schooler (industry vs inferiority); Teenager (identity vs role confusion); Young Adult (intimacy vs isolation); Middle-age Adult (generativity vs stagnation); Older Adult (integrity vs despair). <sup>19</sup> Manago, "Media and the Development of Identity".

<sup>20</sup> Chen et al., "Adolescent Self-Identity and Mental Health".

<sup>21</sup> Erikson, Identity, Youth and Crisis.

<sup>22</sup> Manago, "Media and the Development of Identity".

<sup>23</sup> Erik H. Erikson, Identity and the Life Cycle (New York: International Universities, Press, 1959).

<sup>24</sup> Ibid.

<sup>25</sup> Ibid.

<sup>26</sup> James E. Marcia, "Development and Validation of Ego-identity Status", Journal of Personality and Social Psychology 3, no. 5 (1966): 551-558, https://doi.org/10.1037/ h0023281.

<sup>27</sup> Sandra K. Tsang, Eadaoin K. Hui, and Bella Law, "Self-Efficacy as a Positive Youth Development Construct: A Conceptual Review", The Scientific World Journal (April 2012), doi: 10.1100/2012/452327. p. 2.

28 Ibid.

<sup>29</sup> Marcia, "Development and Validation of Ego-identity Status".

<sup>30</sup> Marcia, "Development and Validation of Ego-identity Status".

<sup>31</sup> Coleman, The Nature of Adolescence.

<sup>32</sup> Francois, Alsaker & Jane Kroger, "Self-Concept, Self-Esteem, and Identity" in Handbook of Adolescent Development, ed. Sandy Jackson and Luc Goossens (London: Psychology Press, 2006), 90-113.

<sup>33</sup> Marcia, "Development and Validation of Ego-identity Status".

<sup>34</sup> Coleman, The Nature of Adolescence; Jennifer Laffier, "The Role of Artistic Expression in the Psychological Empowerment of Bullying Victims", Canadian Art Therapy Association Journal: Special Edition Art Therapy and Mental Health 29, no. 1 (2016): 12-20; C. Malchiodi, Handbook of Art Therapy (New York: Guildford Press, 2011).

331

<sup>35</sup> *Merriam-Webster Dictionary* (2006), "Self-expression". https://www.merriam-webster.c om/dictionary/self-expression.

<sup>36</sup> Heejung S. Kim and David K. Sherman, "'Express Yourself': Culture and the Effect of Self-Expression on Choice", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 92, no. 1 (2007): 1-11.

<sup>37</sup> Robert N. Bellah et al., *Habits of the Heart: Individualism and Commitment in American Life.* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1985).

<sup>38</sup> Coleman, *The Nature of Adolescence*.

<sup>39</sup> Kim and Sherman, "Express Yourself".

<sup>40</sup> Edward T. Higgins, "Self-Discrepancy: A Theory Relating Self and Affect", *Psychological Review* 94(3) (1987): 319-340.

<sup>41</sup> Kim and Sherman, "Express Yourself".

<sup>42</sup> Heejung S. Kim and Aimee Drolet, "Choice and Self-expression: A Cultural Analysis of Variety-seeking", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 85, no. 2 (2003): 373-382; Alana C. Snibbe and Hazel R. Markus, "You Can't Always Get What You Want: Educational Attainment, Agency, and Choice", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 88, no. 4 (2005): 703–720, doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.88.4.703.

<sup>43</sup> Kim and Sherman, "Express Yourself".

<sup>44</sup> Marc A. Zimmerman, "Taking Aim on Empowerment Research: On the Distinction between Individual and Psychological Conceptions", *American Journal of Community Psychology* 18, no. 1 (1990): 169-177.

<sup>45</sup> Raphael Travis, "Rap Music and the Empowerment of Today's Youth: Evidence in Everyday Music Listening, Music Therapy, and Commercial Rap Music", *Child & Adolescence Social Work* Journal 30, no. 2 (2013): 139–167, doi:10.1007/s10560-012-0285-x.

<sup>46</sup> Laffier, "The Role of Artistic Expression".

<sup>47</sup> Kaveri Subrahmanyam and David Smahel, *Digital Youth: The Role of Media in Development* (Boston: Springer, 2012).

<sup>48</sup> United Nations, "Youth".

<sup>49</sup> James Youniss and Daniel Hart, "The Intersection of Social Institutions with Civic Development", *New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development* (109) (2005): 73, doi: 10.1002/cd.139.

<sup>50</sup> James E. Marica, "Some Directions for the Investigation of Ego Development in Early Adolescence", *The Journal of Early Adolescence* 3(3) (1984): 215-223.

<sup>51</sup> Jimmie Manning, "Definition and Classes of Social Media" in *Encyclopedia of Social Media and Politics*, ed. Kerric Harvey (Los Angeles: Sage, 2014), 1158, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/290514612\_Definition\_and\_Classes\_of\_Social\_Media.

<sup>52</sup> Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, "Social Media Use and Mental Health Among Students in Ontario", *Population Studies eBulletin* 19(2) (2018): 1-3.

<sup>53</sup> Anderson and Jiang, "Teens, Social Media & Technology 2018".

<sup>54</sup> Ibid.

<sup>55</sup> Ibid.

<sup>56</sup> Ryan.] Holmes, "Is COVID-19 Social Media's Levelling Up Moment?", *Forbes online*, April 24, 2020, https://www.forbes.com/sites/ryanholmes/2020/04/24/is-covid-19-soci al-medias-levelling-up-moment/#15f55d956c60.

<sup>57</sup> Lucas Pretti and Olga Mugyenyi, "Introducing a Barometer of Digital Civic Engagement", *change.org Foundation*, August 25, 2020, https://reports.changefoundation.org/pandemic-report-2020/introducing-a-barometer-of-digital-civic-engagement.

<sup>58</sup> Pretti and Mugyenyi, "Digital Civic Engagement Index".

<sup>59</sup> Janette Hughes and Laura Morrison, "Using Facebook to Explore Adolescent Identities, Special Issue: Facebook in Education", *International Journal of Social Media and Interactive Learning Environments* 1, no. 4 (2013): 370-386; Mizuko Ito et al., "Foreword" in Youth, Identity, and Digital Media, ed. David Buckingham (Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 2008), vii-ix, doi:10.1162/dmal.9780262524834.vii.

<sup>60</sup> Jeanne R. Steele and Jane D. Brown, "Adolescent Room Culture: Studying Media in the Context of Everyday Life", *Journal of Youth and Adolescence* 24, no. 5 (1995): 551–576, doi: 10.1007/BF01537056.

<sup>61</sup> Jeffrey J. Arnett, *Emerging Adulthood: The Winding Road from the Late Teens Through the Twenties* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2004).

<sup>62</sup> Charles Wagner, Ester Aguirre, and Erin M. Sumner, "The Relationship Between Instagram Selfies and Body Image in Young Adult Women", *First Monday* 21(9) (2016), doi: 10.5210/fm.v21i9.6390.

<sup>63</sup> Janette Hughes and Laura Morrison, "Teaching Critical Visual Literacies Through #Selfies", *Jeunesse: Young People, Texts, Cultures* 10(1) (2018): 9-41; Jolanda Veldhuis et al., "Me, My Selfie, and I: The Relations Between Selfie Behaviors, Body Image, Self-Objectification, and Self-Esteem in Young Women", *Psychology of Popular Media Culture* 9, no. 1 (2020): 3-13.

64 Manago, "Media and the Development of Identity", 6-7.

65 Ito et al., "Foreword".

66 Ibid.

<sup>67</sup> danah boyd, *It's Complicated: The Social Lives of Networked Teens* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2014), 8.

68 Subrahmanyam and Smahel, Digital Youth.

69 Manago, "Media and the Development of Identity".

<sup>70</sup> Taraneh Azah, "Youth Activism in the Age of Social Media", *North Eastern University Political Review online*, November 22, 2019, para. 6, https://www.nupoliticalreview. com/2019/11/22/youth-activism-in-the-age-of-social-media/.

71 Ito et al., "Foreword".

72 Ibid.

<sup>73</sup> Comber and Janks 2006, as cited in Karen E. Wohlwend and Cynthia Lewis, "Critical Literacy, Critical Engagement, and Digital Technology: Convergence and Embodiment in Global Spheres", *Handbook of Research on Teaching English Language Arts* 3<sup>rd</sup> *Edition*, ed. Diane Lapp and Douglas Fisher (New York: Routledge, 2011), 188-194.

<sup>74</sup> Shanifa Nasser, "Release Evidence in Regis Korchinski-Paquet Death or Turn it Over to Outside Agency, Family Lawyer Says", *CBC News online*, June 10, 2020, https://www. cbc.ca/news/canada/toronto/regis-korchinski-paquet-toronto-1.5606704.

<sup>75</sup> Shelley Goldman, Angela Booker, and Meghan McDermott, "Mixing the Digital, Social, and Cultural: Learning, Identity, and Agency in Youth Participation", in *Youth, Identity, and Digital Media*, ed. David Buckingham (Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 2008), 186.
<sup>76</sup> Ibid., 193.

<sup>77</sup> Henry Jenkins, Mizuko Ito, and danah boyd, *Participatory Culture in a Networked Era. A Conversation on Youth, Learning, Commerce and Politics* (Cambridge: Polity Press, 2016).
 <sup>78</sup> Jenkins, Ito, and boyd, *Participatory Culture in a Networked Era*, 157.

<sup>79</sup> Jonathan Horowitz, "Who Is This 'We' You Speak of? Grounding Activist Identity in Social Psychology", *Socius: Sociological Research for a Dynamic World* 3 (2017): 1-17, https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/2378023117717819.

<sup>80</sup> Ibid., 3.

<sup>81</sup> Manago, "Media and the Development of Identity", p. 6.

<sup>82</sup> Zoe Haylock, "BTS Army Matched the Band's \$1 Million Donation to Black Lives Matter", *Vulture online*, June 8, 2020, https://www.vulture.com/2020/06/bts-army-matches-1-million-donation-black-lives-matter.html.

<sup>83</sup> Ibid.

<sup>84</sup> Barbara Ortutay, "TikTok teens, K-pop Fans Appear to have Punked Trump's Tulsa Comeback Rally", *CBC News online*, June 22, 2020, https://www.cbc.ca/news/entertai nment/trump-rally-tiktok-kpop-1.5621880.

<sup>85</sup> Ibid.

<sup>86</sup> Justine Calma, "Greta Thunberg Urges Climate Protests to Move Online Because of Coronavirus Outbreaks", *The Verge*, March 11, 2020, https://www.theverge.com/2020/3/11/21174674/greta-thunberg-coronavirus-climate-change-protests-online-covid19.

<sup>87</sup> Zoe Pelter, "Pandemic Participation: Youth Activism Online in the COVID-19 Crisis" *UNICEF online*, April 14. 2020, https://www.unicef.org/globalinsight/stories/pandemi c-participation-youth-activism-online-covid-19-crisis.

<sup>88</sup> Ibid.

<sup>89</sup> Kim and Sherman, "Express Yourself".

<sup>90</sup> Ibid.

<sup>91</sup> Erikson, *Identity and the Life Cycle*.

92 Edberg, Development of UNICEF Latin America/Caribbean Adolescent.

93 Zimmerman, "Taking Aim on Empowerment Research".

94 Ibid.

<sup>95</sup> Jennifer Laffier, "Depression and Suicide in the Virtual Worlds of Youth" in *Understanding Suicide Ideation*, ed. David Lester (New York: Nova Science Publishers, 2020).

96 Travis, "Rap Music and the Empowerment of Today's Youth".

<sup>97</sup> Laffier, "Depression and Suicide in the Virtual Worlds of Youth".

## Living Their Best Life: Instagram, Social Comparison and Young Women

### Bailey Parnell, Natalie Coulter

<sup>1</sup> "About us: We Bring You Closer to the People and Things You Love", *Instagram.Com* (blog), accessed March 12, 2019, https://instagram-press.com/our-story/.

<sup>2</sup> Dieneke Boer, "The Construction of an Online Identity: A Case Study of Fashion Blog 'The Blonde Salad' and Founder Chiara Ferragni's Activities on Instagram", (masters thesis, Leiden University, 2016), 5.

<sup>3</sup> Todd Clarke, "22+ Instagram Stats That Marketers Can't Ignore This Year", *Hootsuite* (blog), March 5, 2019, https://blog.hootsuite.com/instagram-statistics/.

<sup>4</sup> Aaron Smith and Monica Anderson, "Social Media Use 2018: Demographics and Statistics". *Pew Research Center* online, September, 2018, www.pewinternet.org/2018/03/01/social-media-use-in-2018/.

<sup>5</sup> J. Clement, "Distribution of Instagram Users Worldwide as of July 2019, by Age and Gender", *Statistica* (blog), last update Oct 29, 2020, https://www.statista.com/statistics/248769/age-distribution-of-worldwide-instagram-users/.

<sup>6</sup> Anatoliy Gruzd et al., "The State of Social Media in Canada 2017", Ryerson University, Ted Rogers School of Business online, Toronto, Canada, February 25, 2018, https://social medialab.ca/2018/02/25/state-of-social-media-in-canada/; Shannon Greenwood, Maeve Duggan, and Andrew Perin, "Social Media Update 2016". *Pew Research Center* online, November 11, 2016, https://www.pewinternet.org/2016/11/11/ social-media-update-2016/; Maeve Duggan, "The Demographics of Social Media Users", *Pew Research Center* online, August 19, 2015, https://www.pewinternet.org/2015/08/19/the-demographics-of-social-media-users/; Adam Acar, "Antecedents and Consequences of Online Social Networking Behavior: The Case of Facebook", *Journal of Website Promotion* 3(1–2) (2008): 62–83; Pavica Sheldon and Katherine Bryant, "Instagram: Motives for Its Use and Relationship to Narcissism and Contextual Age", *Computers in Human Behavior* 58 (2016): 89–97.

7 Clement, "Distribution of Instagram Users Worldwide".

<sup>8</sup> Tama Leaver, Tim Highfield, and Crystal Abidin, *Instagram: Visual Social Media Cultures* (Newark: Polity Press, 2019).

<sup>9</sup> Crystal Kim and Jessica Ringrose, "'Stumbling Upon Feminism': Teenage Girls' Forays into Digital and School-Based Feminisms", *Girlhood Studies* 11(2) (June 2018), 46-52.

<sup>10</sup> Brooke Erin Duffy, *(Not) Getting Paid to Do What You Love* (London: Yale University Press, 2017).

<sup>11</sup> Crystal Abidin, "Visibility Labour: Engaging with Influencers' Fashion Brands and #OOTD Advertorial Campaigns on Instagram", *Media International Australia* 161(1) (2016): 86–100, https://doi.org/10.1177/1329878X16665177.

<sup>12</sup> Saleem Alhabash and Ma Mengyan, "A Tale of Four Platforms: Motivations and Uses of Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and Snapchat Among College Students?", *Social Media and Society* 3(1) (2017); Sheldon and Bryant, "Instagram: Motives for Its Use".

<sup>13</sup> Leon Festinger, "A Theory of Social Comparison Processes", *Human Relations* 7(2) (1954): 177–140, doi: 10.1177/001872675400700202.

<sup>14</sup> Ibid., 118.

<sup>15</sup> Mitchell J. Callan, Hyunji Kim, and William J. Matthews, "Age Differences in Social Comparison Tendency and Personal Relative Deprivation", *Personality and Individual Differences* 87 (2015): 196–99. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2015.08.003.

<sup>16</sup> Jeffrey Jensen Arnett, "Emerging Adulthood: A Theory of Development From the Late Teens Through the Twenties", *American Psychologist* 55(5) (2000): 469–80, doi: 10.1037//0003-066X.55.5.469; Eric H. Erikson, *Identity: Youth and Crisis* (New York: W. W. Norton & Company, 1994).

<sup>17</sup> Lynne Y. Edwards, "Victims, Villains and Vixens: Teen Girls and Internet Crime" in *Girl Wide Web: Girls, The Internet, and the Negotiation of Identity,* ed. Sharon Mazzarella (New York: Peter Lang, 2005), 13-30; Christine Griffin, "Forever young: Discourses of Femininity and Resistance in British Youth Research During the 1980s" in *Girls, Girlhoods and Girls' Studies* ed. Marion de Ras and Mieke Lunenberg (Amsterdam: Het Spinhuis, 1993), 151–163; Sharon R. Mazzarella and Norma Pecora, "Revisiting Girls' Studies", *Journal of Children and Media* 1(2) (2007): 105–25.

<sup>18</sup> Mary Celeste Kearney, "Birds on the Wire: Troping Teenage Girlhood Through Telephony in mid-Twentieth-Century US Media Culture", *Cultural Studies* 19(5) (2005): 568-601.

<sup>19</sup> Kelly Schrum, *Some Wore Bobby Sox: The Emergence of Teenage Girls' Culture, 1920-1945* (New York: Palgrave MacMillan, 2004).

<sup>20</sup> Justine Cassell and Meg Cramer, "High Tech or High Risk: Moral Panics about Girls Online", in *Digital Youth, Innovation, and the Unexpected,* ed. Tara McPherson (Cambridge: MIT Press, 2008), 53–76.

<sup>21</sup> Bradley J. Bond, "He Posted, She Posted: Gender Differences in Self-Disclosure on Social Network Sites", *Rocky Mountain Communication Review* (2) (2009): 29–37.

<sup>22</sup> Serge Guimond et al., "Social Comparison, Self-Stereotyping, and Gender Differences in Self-Construals", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 90(2) (2006): 221–42, doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.90.2.221; Frederick X. Gibbons and Bram P. Buunk, "Individual Differences in Social Comparison: Development of a Scale of Social Comparison Orientation", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 76(1) (1999): 129–42, doi:10.1037/0022-3514.76.1.129.

<sup>23</sup> Stephen L. Franzoi et al., "Exploring Body Comparison Tendencies: Women Are Self-Critical Whereas Men Are Self-Hopeful", *Psychology of Women Quarterly* 36(1) (2012): 99-109; Sarah Grogan, *Body Image: Understanding Body Dissatisfaction in Men, Women and Children* (London: Routledge, 1999).

<sup>24</sup> Heather C. Woods and Holly Scott, "Sleepyteens: Social Media Use in Adolescence Is Associated with Poor Sleep Quality, Anxiety, Depression and Low Self-Esteem", *Journal of Adolescence* 51 (2016): 41–49.

<sup>25</sup> Lauren A. Jelenchick, Jens C. Eickhoff, and Megan A. Moreno, "Facebook Depression?' Social Networking Site Use and Depression in Older Adolescents", *The Journal of Adolescent Health* 52(1) (2016): 128–30, doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2012.05.008; Emily Weinstein, "The Social MediaSee-Saw: Positive and Negative Influences on Adolescents' Affective Well-Being", *New Media & Society* 20(10) (2018): 3597–3623, doi: 10.1177/14614 44818755634.

<sup>26</sup> Mai-Ly N. Steers, Robert E. Wickham, and Linda K. Acitelli, "Seeing Everyone Else's Highlight Reels: How Facebook Usage Is Linked to Depressive Symptoms", *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology* 33, 8 (2014): 701–31, doi: 101521jscp2014338701; Edson C. Tandoc, Patrick Ferrucci, and Margaret Duffy, "Facebook Use, Envy, and Depression among College Students: Is Facebooking Depressing?", *Computers in Human Behavior* 43 (February 2015): 139–46, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2014.10.053; Trudy Hui Hui Chua and Leanne Chang, "Follow Me and like My Beautiful Selfies: Singapore Teenage Girls' Engagement in Self-Presentation and Peer Comparison on Social Media", *Computers in Human Behavior* 55 (September 2015): 190–97, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2015.09.011; Jiyoung Chae, "Reexamining the Relationship Between Social Media and Happiness: The Effects of Various Social Media Platforms on Reconceptualized Happiness", *Telematics and Informatics* 35(6) (2018): 1656–64, doi: 10.1016/j.tele.2018.04.011.

<sup>27</sup> The names of the participants in this research are pseudonyms.

<sup>28</sup> Dirk G. Dauenbeimer et al., "Self-Enhancement, Self-Verification, or Self Assessment: The Intricate Role of Trait Modifiability in the Self-Evaluation Process" *Revue Internationale De Psychologie. Sociale* 15(3-4) (2002): 89–112; Constantine Sedikides, "Assessment, Enhancement, and Verification Determinants of the Self-Evaluation Process", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 65(2) (1993): 317; Constantine Sedikides and Michael J. Strube".,Self-Evaluation: To Thine Own Self Be Good, To Thine Own Self Be Sure, To Thine Own Self Be True, and To Thine Own Self Be Better", *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* 29 (1997): 209–69, doi: 10.1016/S00652601(08)60018-0; Shelley E. Taylor and M. Lobel, "Social Comparison Activity under Threat: Downward Evaluation and Upward Contacts", *Psychological Review* 96(4) (1989): 569–75; Heidi A. Wayment and Shelley E. Taylor, "Self-Evaluation Processes: Motives, Information Use, and Self-Esteem", *Journal of Personality* 63(4) (1995): 729–57.
<sup>29</sup> Saul McLeod, "Cognitive Dissonance", SimplyPsychology (blog), February 5, 2018,

https://www.simplypsychology.org/cognitive-dissonance.html.

<sup>30</sup> Dauenbeimer, "Self-Enhancement, Self-Verification, or Self Assessment"; Sedikides "Assessment, Enhancement, and Verification"; Sedikides & Strube, "Self-Evaluation"; Taylor & Lobel, "Social Comparison Activity under Threat"; Wayment & Taylor, "Self-Evaluation Processes".

<sup>31</sup> S. Venus Jin, Aziz Muqaddam, and Ehri Ryu, "Instafamous and Social Media Influencer Marketing", *Marketing Intelligence & Planning* 37(5) (2019): 567–79, doi: 10.1108/MIP-09-2018-0375; Shirley S. Ho, Edmund W. J. Lee, and Youqing Liao, "Social Network Sites, Friends, and Celebrities: The Roles of Social Comparison and Celebrity Involvement in Adolescents' Body Image Dissatisfaction", *Social Media + Society* 2(3) (2016): 1-11, doi: 10.1177/2056305116664216.

<sup>32</sup> Rebecca Collins, "For Better or Worse: The Impact of Upward Social Comparison on Self-Evaluations", *Psychological Bulletin* 119(1) (1996): 51–69, doi: 10.1037/0033-2909. 119.1.51; Taylor & Lobel, "Social Comparison Activity under Threat".

<sup>33</sup> Dian A. de Vries et al., "Social Comparison as the Thief of Joy: Emotional Consequences of Viewing Strangers' Instagram Posts", *Media Psychology* 21(2) (2018): 222–45, doi: 10.10 80/15213269.2016.1267647; Weinstein, "The Social Media See-Saw".

<sup>34</sup> Thomas Wills, "Downward Comparison Principles in Social Psychology", *Psychological Bulletin* 90(2) (1981): 245–71, doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.90.2.245.

<sup>35</sup> Frederick X. Gibbons, "Social Comparison and Depression: Company's Effect on Misery", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 51(1) (1986): 140–48, doi: 10. 1037/0022-3514.51.1.140.

<sup>36</sup> Tara L. Stewart et al., "Downward Social Comparison and Subjective Well-Being in Late Life: The Moderating Role of Perceived Control", *Aging and Mental Health*, 17(3) (2012): 375–385, doi: 10.1080/13607863.2012.743963.

<sup>37</sup> Ethan Kross et al., "Facebook Use Predicts Declines in Subjective Well-Being in Young Adults", *Plos One* 1(8) (2013), doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0069841; Igor Pantic et al., "Association Between Online Social Networking and Depression in High School Students: 'Behavioural Physiology Viewpoint", *Psychiatria Danubina* 24(1) (2012): 90–93; Woods & Scott, "Sleepyteens".

<sup>38</sup> Einar B. Thorsteinsson and Lucy Davey, "Adolescents' Compulsive Internet Use and Depression: A Longitudinal Study", *Open Journal of Depression* 3(1) (2014): 13–17. doi: 10.4236/ojd.2014.31005; Joanne Davila et al., "Frequency and Quality of Social Networking Among Young Adults: Associations with Depressive Symptoms, Rumination, and Corumination", *Psychology of Popular Media and Culture* 1(2) (2012): 72–86, doi: 10.1037/a0027512; Steers et al., "Seeing Everyone Else's Highlight Reels"; Jelenchick et al., "Facebook Depression?".

<sup>39</sup> Steers et al., "Seeing Everyone Else's Highlight Reels"; Jin-Liang Wang et al., "The Mediating Roles of Upward Social Comparison and Self-Esteem and the Moderating Role of Social Comparison Orientation in the Association between Social Networking Site Usage and Subjective Well-Being", *Frontiers in Psychology* 8 (May 2017), doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00771; Erin A. Vogel et al., "Social Comparison, Social Media, and Self-Esteem", *Psychology of Popular Media Culture* 3(4) (2014): 206–22, doi: 10.1037/ ppm0000047; Jacqueline Nesi and Mitchell J. Prinstein, "Using Social Media for Social Comparison and Feedback Seeking: Gender and Popularity Moderate Associations with Depressive Symptoms", *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology* 43(8) (2015): 1427–38, doi: 10.1007/s10802-015-0020-0; Chae, "Reexamining the Relationship Between Social Media and Happiness".

<sup>40</sup> Tandoc et al., "Facebook Use, Envy, and Depression among College Students"; Jin et al., "'Narcissism 2.0! Would Narcissists Follow Fellow Narcissists on Instagram?' The Mediating Effects of Narcissists Personality Similarity and Envy, and the Moderating Effects of Popularity", *Computers in Human Behavior* 81 (2018): 31–41, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2017.11.042; Hanna Krasnova et al., "Envy on Facebook: A Hidden Threat to Users' Life Satisfaction?", Papers at the 11<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Wirtschaftsinformatik, Leipzig, Germany, 2013. https://www.ara.cat/2013/01/28/85559 4433.pdf; Li, "Upward Social Comparison and Depression in Social Network Settings: The Roles of Envy and Self-Efficacy".

<sup>41</sup> Chua & Chang, "Follow Me and like My Beautiful Selfies"; Wang et al., "The Mediating Roles of Upward Social Comparison".

<sup>42</sup> Anita B. Ingvadóttir, "The Relationship Between Facebook Use and Loneliness: A Comparison Between High-School Students and University Students", Department of Psychology School of Business, Reykjavik University, 2014.

<sup>43</sup> Youngjin Kang, "The Relationship between Contingent Self-Esteem and Trait Self-Esteem". *Social Behavior and Personality* 47, 2 (2019): 2, doi: 10.2224/sbp.7575.
 <sup>44</sup> Ibid.

## The Selfie Generation: Examining the Relation Between Social Media Use and Adolescent Body Image

### Ilyssa Salomon, Christia Spears Brown

<sup>1</sup> D. C. French and H. S. Cheung, "Peer Relationships". *Handbook of Adolescent Development Research and Its Impact on Global Policy*, ed. J. Lansford and P. Banati (Cambridge: Oxford University Press, 2018), 130-149; S. Harter, *The Construction of the Self: A Developmental Perspective* (New York: Guilford Press, 1999); K. H. Rubin, W. M. Bukowski, and J. G. Parker, "Peer Interactions, Relationships, and Groups" in *Handbook of Child Psychology* (6th ed.), ed. W. Damon & R. Lerner (Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, 2006), 571-645.

<sup>2</sup> S. Harter, "Causes, Correlates, and the Functional Role of Global Self-Worth: A Life-Span Perspective" in *Competence Considered*, ed. R. J. Sternberg and J. Kolligian, Jr. (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1990), 67-97; E. Murphy et al., "What Factors Mediate the Relationship Between Global Self-Worth and Weight and Shape Concerns?", *Eating Behaviors* 21 (2016): 142-149, doi: 10.1016/j.eatbeh.2016.01.004.

<sup>3</sup> D. Clay, V. L. Vignoles, and H. Dittmar, "Body Image and Self-Esteem Among Adolescent Girls: Testing the Influence of Sociocultural Factors", *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 15(4) (2005) 451-477, doi: 10.1016/j.eatbeh.2016.01.004; French & Cheung, "Peer Relationships"; Harter, *The Construction of the Self*; D. C. Jones, "Social Comparison and Body Image: Attractiveness Comparisons to Models and Peers Among Adolescent Girls and Boys", *Sex Roles*, 45(9) (2001), 645-664, doi: 10.1023/A:1014815725852; D. K. Voelker, J. J. Reel, and C. Greenleaf, "Weight Status and Body Image Perceptions in Adolescents: Current Perspectives", *Adolescent Health, Medicine and Therapeutics*, 6 (2015), 149-158, doi: 10.2147/AHMT.S68344.

<sup>4</sup> T. F. Cash and E. A. Deagle, "The Nature and Extent of Body-Image Disturbances in Anorexia Nervosa and Bulimia Nervosa: A Meta-Analysis", *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 22(2) (1997), 107-126, doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1098-108X(199709)22:2 %3C107::AID-EAT1%3E3.0.CO%3B2-J; L. A. Ricciardelli et al., "A Biopsychosocial Model for Understanding Body Image and Body Change Strategies Among Children", *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 24(4) (2003), 475-495, doi: 10.1016/S0193-3973(03)00070-4; Voelker, Reel, & Greenleaf, "Weight Status and Body Image Perceptions".

<sup>5</sup> Amanda Lenhart, "Teens, Social Media & Technology Overview 2015", Pew Research Center online, April 9, 2015, https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2015/04/09/teens-social-media-technology-2015/.

<sup>6</sup> N. M. McKinley and J. S. Hyde, "The Objectified Body Consciousness Scale: Development and Validation", *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 20(2) (1996), 181-215, doi: 10.1111/j.1471-6402.1996.tb00467.x; J. K. Thompson and L. J. Heinberg, "The Media's Influence on Body Image Disturbance and Eating Disorders: We've Reviled Them, Now Can We Rehabilitate Them?", *Journal of Social Issues*, 55(2) (1999): 339-353, doi: 10.1111/0022-4537.00119.

<sup>7</sup> P. M. Greenfield, "Linking Social Change and Developmental Change: Shifting Pathways of Human Development", *Developmental Psychology* 45(2) (2009): 401-418, doi: 10.1037/a0014726.

<sup>8</sup> A. Bandura, "Social Cognitive Theory of Mass Communication", *Media Psychology* 3(3) (2001): 265-299, doi: 10.1207/S1532785XMEP030303.

9 Ibid.

<sup>10</sup> dana boyd and N. Ellison, N, "Social Network Sites: Definition, History, and Scholarship", *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* 13(1) (2007): 210-230. doi: 10.1111/j.1083-6101.2007.00393.x.

<sup>11</sup> Lenhart et al., *Teens, Social Media & Technology Overview*.

12 Ibid.

13 boyd and Ellison, "Social Network Sites".

<sup>14</sup> Harter, "Causes, Correlates, and the Functional Role"; Murphy et al., "What Factors Mediate the Relationship".

<sup>15</sup> McKinley & Hyde, "The Objectified Body Consciousness Scale".

<sup>16</sup> K. Miner-Rubino, J. M. Twenge, and B. L. Fredrickson, "Trait Self-objectification in Women: Affective and Personality Correlates", *Journal of Research in Personality* 36(2) (2002): 147-172, doi:10.1006/jrpe.2001.2343; S. M. Noll and B. L. Fredrickson, "A Mediational Model Linking Self-Objectification, Body Shame, and Disordered Eating", *Psychology of Women Quarterly* 22(4) (1998): 623-636, doi: 10.1111/j.1471-6402.1998.tb00181.x; L. M. Ward, "Understanding the Role of Entertainment Media in the Sexual Socialization of American Youth: A Review of Empirical Research", *Developmental Review* 23(3) (2003), 347-388, doi: 10.1016/S0273-2297(03)00013-3.

<sup>17</sup> Swami et al., "The Attractive Female Body Weight and Female Body Dissatisfaction in 26 Countries Across 10 World Regions: Results of the International Body Project I", Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 36(3) (2010): 309-325, doi:10.1177/01461 672093597022

<sup>18</sup> Ricciardelli et al., "A biopsychosocial model for understanding body image"; Thompson & Heinberg, "The Media's Influence on Body Image Disturbance"; J. White and E. Halliwell, "Examination of a Sociocultural Model of Excessive Exercise Among Male and Female Adolescents", *Body Image* 7(3) (2010): 227-233, doi: 10.1016/j.bodyim. 2010.02.002; Voelker, Reel, and Greenleaf, "Weight Status and Body Image Perceptions".

<sup>19</sup> R. A. Botta, "Television Images and Adolescent Girls' Body Image Disturbance", *Journal of Communication* 49(2) (1999): 22-41, doi: 10.1111/j.1460-2466.1999.tb02791.x; K. Harrison and V. Hefner, "Media Exposure, Current and Future Body Ideals, and Disordered Eating Among Preadolescent Girls: A Longitudinal Panel Study", *Journal of Youth and Adolescence* 35(2) (2006): 146-156, doi: 10.1007/s10964-005-9008-3; K. E. Mulgrew, D. Volcevski-Kostas, and P. G. Rendell, "The Effect of Music Video Clips on Adolescent Boys' Body Image, Mood, and Schema Activation", *Journal of Youth and Adolescence* 43(1) (2014): 92-103, doi: 10.1007/s10964-013-9932-6; Ward, "Understanding the Role of Entertainment Media".

<sup>20</sup> J. Fardouly and L. R. Vartanian, "Social Media and Body Image Concerns: Current Research and Future Directions", Current Opinion in Psychology 9 (2016); 1-5, doi: 0.1016/j.copsyc.2015.09.005; G. Holland and M. Tiggemann, "A Systematic Review of The Impact of The Use Of Social Networking Sites On Body Image And Disordered Eating Outcomes", Body Image 17 (2016): 100-110, doi: 10.1016/j.bodyim.2016.02.008\; A. G. Mabe, K. J. Forney, and P. K. Keel, "Do you 'like' my photo? Facebook use maintains eating disorder risk", International Journal of Eating Disorders 47(50 (2014): 516-523, doi: 10.1002/eat.22254; A. M. Manago et al., "Facebook Involvement, Objectified Body Consciousness, Body Shame, and Sexual Assertiveness in College Women and Men", Sex Roles 72(1-2) (2015): 1-14, doi: 10.1007/s11199-014-0441-1; M. Tiggemann and J. Miller, "The Internet and Adolescent Girls' Weight Satisfaction and Drive for Thinness", Sex Roles 63(1-2) (2010): 79-90, doi: 10.1007/s11199-010-9789-z; M. Tiggemann and A. Slater, "NetGirls: The Internet, Facebook, and Body Image Concern In Adolescent Girls", International Journal of Eating Disorders 46(6) (2013): 630-633, doi: 10.1002/eat.22141; L. Vandenbosch and S. Eggermont, "Sexualization of Adolescent Boys: Media Exposure and Boys' Internalization of Appearance Ideals, Self-Objectification, and Body Surveillance", Men and Masculinities 16(3) (2013): 283-306, doi: 10.1177/109718X134 77866.

<sup>21</sup> B. L. Fredrickson and T. A. Roberts, "Objectification Theory: Toward Understanding Women's Lived Experiences and Mental Health Risks", *Psychology of Women Quarterly* 21(2) (1997): 173-206, doi: 10.1111/j.1471-6402.1997.tb00108.x.

<sup>22</sup> S. M. Lindberg, S. Grabe, and Hyde, "Gender, Pubertal Development, and Peer Sexual Harassment Predict Objectified Body Consciousness in Early Adolescence", *Journal of Research on Adolescence* 17(4) (2007): 723-742, doi: 10.1111/j.1532-7795.2007.00544.x; Manago et al., "Facebook Involvement, Objectified Body Consciousness, Body Shame".

<sup>23</sup> Tiggemann and Miller, "The Internet and Adolescent Girls' Weight Satisfaction"; Tiggemann and Slater, "NetGirls".

<sup>24</sup> Fredrickson and Roberts, "Objectification Theory".

<sup>25</sup> Fredrickson and Roberts, "Objectification Theory", 174.

<sup>26</sup> A. M. Manago et al., "Self-Presentation and Gender on MySpace", *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology* 29(6) (2008): 446-458, doi: 10.1016/j.appdev.2008.07.001.

<sup>27</sup> B. L. Fredrickson et al., "That Swimsuit Becomes You: Sex Differences in Self-Objectification, Restrained Eating, and Math Performance", *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 75(1) (1998): 269-284, doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.75.1.269; M. R. Hebl, E. B. King, and J. Lin, "The Swimsuit Becomes Us All: Ethnicity, Gender, and Vulnerability to Self-Objectification", *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 30(10) (2004): 1322-1331, doi: 10.1177/0146167204264052.

<sup>28</sup> E. P. Meier and J. Gray, "Facebook Photo Activity Associated with Body Image Disturbance in Adolescent Girls", *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking* 17(4) (2014): 199-206, doi: 10.1089/cyber.2013.0305.

<sup>29</sup> Manago et al., "Facebook Involvement, Objectified Body Consciousness, Body Shame".

<sup>30</sup> Hargreaves, & Tiggemann. "Idealized Media Images and Adolescent Body Image: 'Comparing' Boys And Girls", *Body Image* 1(4) (2010): 351-361, doi: 10.1016/j.bodyim. 2004.10.002.

<sup>31</sup> S. Grabe, J. S. Hyde, and S. M. Lindberg, "Body Objectification and Depression in Adolescents: The Role of Gender, Shame, and Rumination", *Psychology of Women Quarterly* 31(2) (2007): 164-175. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-6402.2007.00350.x; A. Slater and M. Tiggemann, "Body Image and Disordered Eating in Adolescent Girls and Boys: A Test of Objectification Theory", *Sex Roles* 63(1-2) (2010): 42-49, doi: 10.1007/s11199-010-9794-2.

<sup>32</sup> M. S. Michaels, M. C. Parent, and B. Moradi, "Does Exposure to Muscularity-Idealizing Images have Self-Objectification Consequences for Heterosexual and Sexual Minority Men?" *Psychology of Men & Masculinity* 14(2) (2013): 175, doi: 10.1037/a0027259; D. A. Rohlinger, "Eroticizing Men: Cultural Influences on Advertising and Male Objectification", *Sex Roles* 46(3-4) (2002): 61-74, doi: 10.1023/A:1016575909173.

<sup>33</sup> Slater and Tiggemann, "Body Image and Disordered Eating in Adolescent Girls and Boys"; Grabe et al., "Body Objectification and Depression in Adolescents"; Manago et al., "Facebook Involvement, Objectified Body Consciousness, Body Shame".

<sup>34</sup> M. Snyder, *Public Appearances, Private Realities: The Psychology of Self-Monitoring* (New York: WH Freeman/Times Books/Henry Holt & Co, 1987).

<sup>35</sup> N. E. Perrine and P. A. Aloise-Young, "The Role of Self-Monitoring in Adolescents' Susceptibility to Passive Peer Pressure", *Personality and Individual Differences* 37(8) (2004): 1701-1716, doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2004.03.005; R. Prislin and N. Kovrlija, "Predicting Behavior of High and Low Self-Monitors: An Application of the Theory of Planned Behavior", *Psychological Reports* 70(3) supplemental (1992): 1131-1138, doi: 10.2466/ pr0.1992.70.3c.1131.

<sup>36</sup> Meier & Grey, "Facebook Photo Activity Associated with Body Image Disturbance"; Manago et al., "Facebook Involvement, Objectified Body Consciousness, Body Shame".

<sup>37</sup> Meier & Grey, "Facebook Photo Activity Associated with Body Image Disturbance"; S. O. Lyu, "Travel Selfies on Social Media As Objectified Self-Presentation", *Tourism Management* 54 (2016): 185-195. doi: 10.1016/j.tourman.2015.11.001.

 <sup>38</sup> S. M. Lindberg, J. S. Hyde, and N. M. McKinley, "A Measure of Objectified Body Consciousness for Preadolescent and Adolescent Youth", *Psychology of Women Quarterly* 30(1) (2006): 65-76, doi: 10.1111/j.1471-6402.2006.00263.x.
 <sup>39</sup> Ibid. <sup>40</sup> W. G. Graziano et al., "Self-Monitoring in Children: A Differential Approach to Social Development", *Developmental Psychology* 23(4) (1987): 571-576, doi: 10.1037/0012-1649.23.4.571.

<sup>41</sup> A. F. Hayes, "PROCESS: A Versatile Computational Tool for Observed Variable Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Modeling" in *Introduction to Mediation*, *Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis* (2ne ed.) (New York: Guilford Press, 2012), http://afhayes.com/public/process2012.pdf.

<sup>42</sup> Ibid.

<sup>43</sup> A. F. Hayes, "Beyond Baron and Kenny: Statistical Mediation Analysis In The New Millennium", *Communication Monographs* 76(4) (2009): 408-420, doi:10.1080/036377 50903310360.

<sup>44</sup> A. F. Hayes, "Partial, Conditional, and Moderated Moderated Mediation: Quantification, Inference, and Interpretation", *Communication Monographs* 85(1) (2018): 1-37, doi: 10.1080/03637751.2017.1352100.

<sup>45</sup> Ibid.

<sup>46</sup> L. S. Aiken, S. G. West, and R. R. Reno, *Multiple Regression: Testing and Interpreting Interactions* (London: Sage, 1991).

<sup>47</sup> Hayes, "Partial, Conditional, and Moderated Moderated Mediation".

<sup>48</sup> Ibid.

 <sup>49</sup> A. Dhir et al., "Do Online Privacy Concerns Predict Selfie Behavior among Adolescents, Young Adults and Adults?", *Frontiers in Psychology* 8 (2017), doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00815.
 <sup>50</sup> Grabe et al., "Body Objectification and Depression in Adolescents"; Slater & Tiggemann, "Body Image and Disordered Eating in Adolescent Girls and Boys".

<sup>51</sup> Fredrickson & Roberts, "Objectification Theory".

 $^{52}$  Fredrickson et al., "That Swimsuit Becomes You"; Hebl et al., "The Swimsuit Becomes Us All".

<sup>53</sup> Manago, et al., "Facebook Involvement, Objectified Body Consciousness, Body Shame"; Meier & Gray, "Facebook Photo Activity Associated with Body Image Disturbance".

<sup>54</sup> Murphy et al., "What factors mediate the relationship".

<sup>55</sup> e.g., Ricciardelli et al., "A Biopsychosocial Model For Understanding Body Image"; White & Halliwell, "Examination of a Sociocultural Model of Excessive Exercise".

<sup>56</sup> American Psychological Association Task Force on the Sexualization of Girls, *Report of The APA Task Force on the Sexualization of* Girls, Washington, DC, 2007, http://www.apa.org/pi/women/programs/girls/report-full.pdf.

<sup>57</sup> Grabe et al., "Body Objectification and Depression in Adolescents"; Slater & Tiggemann, "Body Image and Disordered Eating in Adolescent Girls and Boys".

<sup>58</sup> N. Micali et al., "Frequency and Patterns of Eating Disorder Symptoms in Early Adolescence", *Journal of Adolescent Health* 54(5) (2014): 574-581, doi: 10.1016/j.jado health.2013.10.200

<sup>59</sup> A. Lenhart et al., "Teens, Social Media & Technology Overview", *Pew Internet & American Life Project*, Washington, DC, 2015.

<sup>60</sup> S. W. Davenport et al., "Twitter Versus Facebook: Exploring the Role of Narcissism In the Motives and Usage of Different Social Media Platforms", *Computers in Human Behavior* 32 (2014): 212-220, doi:10.1016/j.chb.2013.12.011.

# The *Video Kids* are All Right: A Comparative Analysis of Moral Panics Around Youth and Social Gaming Containment and Resistance

## Chris Alton

<sup>1</sup> Christopher Groux, "Animal Crossing' Inspires Teacher to Help Students Graduate in a Pandemic", *Newsweek* online, April 21, 2020, https://www.newsweek.com/animalcrossing-new-horizons-teacher-help-students-graduate-pandemic-1498760. <sup>2</sup> Ibid.

<sup>3</sup> Megan Anderson, "Animal Crossing: New Horizons – Tips for Hosting a Virtual Graduation Ceremony", *TheGamer.com*, April 8, 2020, https://www.thegamer.com/animal-crossing-new-horizons-tips-tricks-hosting-virtual-graduation-ceremony/.

<sup>4</sup> Matt DiSanto, "Hosting a Penn State Graduation in Animal Crossing: New Horizons", *Onward State.com*, May 8, 2020, https://onwardstate.com/2020/05/08/hosting-a-penn-state-graduation-in-animal-crossing-new-horizons/.

<sup>5</sup> Ben Gilbert, "Some 'Animal Crossing' Players in China are Using the Game to Protest Government Policies, and now the Chinese Government is Banning the Game", *Business Insider* online, April 10, 2020, https://www.businessinsider.com/animal-crossing-new-horizons-nintendo-switch-banned-in-china-protests-2020-4.

<sup>6</sup> Helen Davidson, "Animal Crossing Game Removed from Sale in China over Hong Kong Democracy Messages", *The Guardian* online, April 14, 2020, https://www.theguar dian.com/world/2020/apr/14/animal-crossing-game-removed-from-sale-in-china-over-hong-kong-democracy-messages.

<sup>7</sup> Rachel Kaser, "China's Animal Crossing Players Have Found a Way Around the Game's Ban", *The Next Web.com*, May 11, 2020, https://thenextweb.com/gaming/2020/05/11/ chinese-animal-crossing-players-around-ban/.

<sup>8</sup> Natalie Kalata, "Ontario Teachers Hosting Virtual Lessons as COVID-19 Keeps Students Out of Class", *CBC* online, March 26, 2020, https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/toronto/ontario-teachers-hosting-virtual-lessons-as-covid-19-keeps-students-out-of-class-1.55 08428.

<sup>9</sup> Kimmie Fink, "25+ Amazing Virtual Field Trips – Spring 2020", *We Are Teachers.com*, accessed May 27, 2020, https://www.weareteachers.com/best-virtual-field-trips/.

<sup>10</sup> Jane C. Timm, "Fact Check: Trump Suggests Video Games to Blame for Mass Shootings". *NBC News* online, August 5, 2019, https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/donald-trump/fact-check-trump-suggests-video-games-blame-mass-shootings-n1039411.
<sup>11</sup> Ibid.

<sup>12</sup> Eugene Provenzo Jr., *Video Kids: Making Sense of Nintendo* (London: Harvard University Press, 1991).

<sup>13</sup> Steven L. Kent, *The Ultimate History of Video Games* (New York: Three Rivers Press, 2001), 288.

<sup>14</sup> See: Nicholas D. Bowman, "The Rise (and Refinement) of Moral Panic", in *The Video Game Debate: Unravelling the Physical, Social, and Psychological Effects of Digital Games*, ed. Rachel Kowert and Thorsten Quandt (New York: Routlegde, 2016), 22-38. Specifically, pages 28-30 outline the concerns over violent video games from the period between 1978 and 1994.

<sup>15</sup> Kent, *The Ultimate History of Video Games*, 470.<sup>16</sup> Ibid., 468.

<sup>17</sup> Ibid., 470.

<sup>18</sup> It should also be noted that Provenzo based his statement to the Senate committee work specifically with Nintendo games. This distinction is relevant, as Nintendo representative Howard Lincoln not only had Senator Lieberman's ear coming into the hearings (see: Kent, 468), but also took the position of Nintendo as family-friendly in opposition to companies such as Sega (see: Kent, 474-478).

<sup>19</sup> Gerard Jones, *Men of Tomorrow: Geeks, Gangsters, and the Birth of the Comic Book* (New York: Basic Books, 2004), 270-271.

<sup>20</sup> Ibid., 273.

<sup>21</sup> Chris Kohler, *Power+Up: How Japanese Games Gave the World an Extra Life* (Indianapolis: BradyGAMES Publishing, 2005), 9.

<sup>22</sup> Provenzo Jr., *Video Kids*, xi.

<sup>23</sup> Ibid., 7, 10, 76.

<sup>24</sup> Ibid., 9. See: Kent, *The Ultimate History of Video Games*, 45-48 for an in-depth discussion of the Odyssey's release.

<sup>25</sup> Provenzo Jr., Video Kids, 11.

 $^{26}$  Activision had been in existence since April 1980 — a full 12 years by the printing of *Video Kids*. In addition, their importance to the industry cannot be overstated, as they were the first third- party home video game software manufacturer, essentially establishing the practice with which the NES would build its success in the 1980s. See: Kent, 193.

<sup>27</sup> Ibid., 76.

<sup>28</sup> Ibid., 77-78.

<sup>29</sup> Ibid., 78.

<sup>30</sup> Ibid., 223.

<sup>31</sup> Ibid., 78.

<sup>32</sup> Ibid., 106-107.

<sup>33</sup> Ibid.

<sup>34</sup> Unknown author, "Misrepresentative Box Art", *Giant Bomb*, accessed August 3, 2020, https://www.giantbomb.com/misrepresentative-box-art/3015-1626/.

<sup>35</sup> Jeremy Parish, "Mega Man Retrospective: Rock of All Trades-NES Works #070", *YouTube*, April 15, 2020, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yIXyTvPIOek.

<sup>36</sup> Christian A. Deitering, "Nintendo Age PRGE 2012 Recap: Day 1", *Vimeo*, October 29, 2012, https://vimeo.com/52385613.

<sup>37</sup> Makopowered, "Weekend Rental – Godzilla Monster of Monsters NES W/Guest Narrator Matt Kondracki", *YouTube*, July 23, 2020. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PsWgkysb Ur8.

<sup>38</sup> Provenzo, Jr. Video Kids, 126.

<sup>39</sup> Ibid.

<sup>40</sup> For *Metroid*'s North American release, the fact that Samus is a woman was kept secret, going so far to refer to her as male in the instruction manual. The reader must also bear in mind that Provenzo made no accommodation for non-binary gender — he simply did not identify the human figure on the *Metroid* box.

<sup>41</sup> Provenzo, Jr. Video Kids, 110.

<sup>42</sup> Ibid.

<sup>43</sup> Ibid., 58-60.

44 Ibid., 65-69.

<sup>45</sup> Ibid., 70-71.

<sup>46</sup> Ibid., 92.

47 Ibid., 94-96.

<sup>48</sup> Adrienne Shaw, *Gaming at the Edge: Sexuality and Gender at the Margins of Gamer Culture* (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2014). It should be noted that Shaw is *not* arguing against increased diversity in player-characters in video games; instead she contends that, as players would form their own relationships with avatars anyway, catering strictly to one audience (cis-gendered, heteronormative, white males) is disingenuous and unnecessary.

<sup>49</sup> See: van Shie, Emil, and Wegman, "Children and Video Games: Leisure Activities, Aggression, Social Integration and School Performance", *Journal of Applied Psychology* 27(13) (1997): 1175-1194. An important distinction to make, as one carries connotations of violence and discord, and the other a healthy ability to speak up and voice needs/concerns/desires/opinions in a prosocial context.

<sup>50</sup> The entire video series can be found at *Feminist Frequency*, accessed October 29, 2020, https://feministfrequency.com/series/tropes-vs-women-in-video-games/#:~:text=Funde d%20by%20a%20successful%20Kickstarter, about%20women%20in%20our%20culture.

<sup>51</sup> John Walker, "Tropes vs. Women in Video Games vs. the Internet", *Rock Paper Shotgun*, June 13, 2012, https://www.rockpapershotgun.com/2012/06/13/tropes-vs-wo men-in-video-games-vs-the-internet/.

<sup>52</sup> Aja Romano, "What We Still Haven't Learned From GamerGate", *Vox*, January 20, 2020, https://www.vox.com/culture/2020/1/20/20808875/gamergate-lessons-cultural-impac t-changes-harassment-laws?fbclid=IwAR0euXIQVcKeNxlCkl4Y4wQUHhTSEHMw\_QFS SojrZ0aqQQh3D41W97E2gyY.

<sup>53</sup> Ibid.

<sup>54</sup> Justin Schleifer, M. D., "Just One More Turn! Why Kids Love Video Games and Why Adults Worry About Them", *The Brown University Child and Adolescent Behaviour Letter* Vol. 34, No. 9 (September 2018): 1, 5-6.

<sup>55</sup> Ibid., 1.

<sup>56</sup> Ibid., 5.

<sup>57</sup> Ibid., 6.

<sup>58</sup> *Minecraft* has been available in various iterations since 2009 but was only considered officially released in 2011.

<sup>59</sup> Schleifer, "Just One More", 5.

<sup>60</sup> Ibid., 6.

<sup>61</sup> "How to Protect Your Child from the Top 7 Dangers of Online Gaming", *Kapersky.com*, accessed May 27, 2020, https://usa.kaspersky.com/resource-center/threats/top-7-onlin e-gaming-dangers-facing-kids.

<sup>62</sup> "The Concerns About Video Games", *Media Smarts.ca*, accessed May 27, 2020, https://mediasmarts.ca/video-games/concerns-about-video-games.

<sup>63</sup> "Online Gaming – The Risks", *Internet Matters.org*, accessed May 27, 2020, https:// www.internetmatters.org/resources/online-gaming-advice/online-gaming-the-risks/.

<sup>64</sup> "Video Games and Violence: Playing with Children", *American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* online, June, 2015, https://www.aacap.org/AACAP/Families\_and\_Youth/Facts\_for\_Families/FFF-Guide/Children-and-Video-Games-Playing-with-Violence-091.aspx.

<sup>65</sup> "Online Gaming", *Australian Government eSafety Commissioner.gov.au*, accessed May 27, 2020, https://www.esafety.gov.au/parents/big-issues/gaming.

<sup>66</sup> "Online gaming – The Risks".

67 Ibid.

<sup>68</sup> Tom Warren, "Minecraft Still Incredibly Popular as Sales Top 200 Million and 126 Million Play Monthly", *The Verge*, May 18, 2020, https://www.theverge.com/2020/5/ 18/21262045/minecraft-sales-monthly-players-statistics-youtube.

<sup>69</sup> Ibid.

<sup>70</sup> Jolyon Jenkins, "Should Parents Ever Worry About Minecraft?", *BBC* online, March 30, 2015, https://www.bbc.com/news/magazine-32051153.

<sup>71</sup> Chris O'Brien, "Turkey is Right: Minecraft Can be Extremely Violent". *VentureBeat.com*, March 11, 2015, https://venturebeat.com/2015/03/11/turkey-is-right-minecraft-can-be-extremely-violent/.

<sup>72</sup> Jane Mavoa, Marcus Carter, and Martin Gibbs, "Children and *Minecraft*: A Survey of Children's Digital Play", *New Media and Society* 20(9) (2018): 3283-3303.

73 Ibid.

<sup>74</sup> Ibid., 3291.

<sup>75</sup> Ibid., 3297.

<sup>76</sup> Ibid.

77 Ibid.

<sup>78</sup> Ibid., 3298.

<sup>79</sup> Keith Stuart, "Minecraft Education Edition: Why it's Important for Every Fan of the Game", *The Guardian* online, January 22, 2016, https://www.theguardian.com/tech nology/2016/jan/22/ minecraft-education-edition-why-its-important-for-every-fan-of-the-game.

<sup>80</sup> Minecraft Education Edition, accessed August 21, 2020, https://education.minecraft.net/.
 <sup>81</sup> Guinness World Records 2016 Gamer Edition (London: Guinness World Records Limited, 2015), 108.

<sup>82</sup> Ibid., 110.

<sup>83</sup> Thomas Burrows, "GAMING ADDICTS: Fortnite Gaming Crisis Sees Children Becoming Hooked and Turning Violent When Parents Intervene", *The Sun* online, June 15, 2018, https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/6537141/fortnite-addiction-fears-children-crisis/.

<sup>84</sup> Suzanne Kane, "More Evidence Fortnite is Bad for Your Child's Health", *PsychCentral.com*, Jan. 18, 2019, https://psychcentral.com/lib/more-evidence-fortnite-is-bad-for-your-childs-health/.

<sup>85</sup> Emma Gill, "Kids Punching Doors and Refusing to Shower - Families Tell us the Impact Fortnite is Having on Their Children", *Manchester Evening News* online, June 27, 2018, https://www.manchestereveningnews.co.uk/whats-on/family-kids-news/fortnite-forbidd en-locations-kids-angry-14385582.

<sup>86</sup> William D'Angelo, "Fortnite Tops 350 Million Registered Players – Sales", *VGChartz.com*, May 6, 2020, https://www.vgchartz.com/article/443407/fortnite-tops-350-million-registe red-players/.

<sup>87</sup> Same Loveridge and Ford James, "How Many People Play Fortnite? It's a lot but What's the Official Number?", *GamesRadar.com*, August 1, 2020, https://www.gamesradar.com/ how-many-people-play-fortnite/.

<sup>88</sup> Peter Holtz and Markus Appel, "Internet Use and Video Gaming Predict Problem Behaviour in Early Adolescence", *Journal of Adolescence* Vol. 35 (2011): 49-58.

<sup>89</sup> Andrew K. Przybylski and Netta Weinstein. "Violent Video Game Engagement is Not Associated with Adolescents' Aggressive Behaviour: Evidence from a Registered Report", *Royal Society Open Science* Volume 6, Issue 2: 12.

<sup>90</sup> Ibid., 13.

<sup>91</sup> Holtz and Appel, "Internet Use and Video Gaming", 57.

92 Ibid., 56.

<sup>93</sup> Rachel Ehmke, "A Parent's Guide to Dealing with Fortnite", *Child Mind Institute*, accessed August 20, 2020, https://childmind.org/article/parents-guide-dealing-fortnite/.

<sup>94</sup> Ibid.

95 Ibid.

<sup>96</sup> Ibid.

<sup>97</sup> Martin Sundberg, "Online Gaming, Loneliness and Friendships Among Adolescents and Adults with ASD", *Computers in Human Behaviour* 79 (2018), 105-110.

<sup>98</sup> Andre Webster, "Party Royale Could Fulfill Fortnite's Promise as a True Social Space", *The Verge*, April 30, 2020, https://www.theverge.com/2020/4/30/21242668/fortnite-party-royale-social-space-metaverse-travis-scott.

<sup>99</sup> Tom Gerkin, "Fortnite: 'Millions Attend' Virtual Marshmello Concert", *BBC* online, Feb. 4, 2019, https://www.bbc.com/news/blogs-trending-47116429.

<sup>100</sup> Oscar Gonzalez, "Fortnite's Star Wars Event Added Lightsabers to the Game. Here's How to Get One", *CNET.com*, Dec. 18, 2019, https://www.cnet.com/news/fortnites-star-wars-event-added-lightsabers-to-the-game-heres-how-to-get-one/.

<sup>101</sup> James Whitbrook, "Marvel Made *Fortnite* Canon and I Have Lost My Entire Mind", *Gizmodo*, Aug. 29, 2020, https://io9.gizmodo.com/marvel-made-fortnite-canon-and-i-have-lost-my-entire-mi-1844881735.

<sup>102</sup> Kim Lyons and Russell Brandom, "Apple is Holding the Unreal Engine hostage, Epic says in New Motion", *The Verge*, August 23, 2020, https://www.theverge.com/2020/8/23/21397369/epic-apple-fortnite-lawsuit-ios-microsoft-developer-tax.

<sup>103</sup> The *Fortnite* Team, "#FreeFortnite", *Epic Games.com*, Sept. 10, 2020, https://www.epicgames.com/fortnite/en-US/news/freefortnite.

<sup>104</sup> Kishonna L. Gray, "Intersecting Oppressions and Online Communities: Examining the experiences of women of color in Xbox Live", *Information, Communication, & Society* 15(3) (April 2012): 411-428.

<sup>105</sup> Gabriela T. Richard and Kishonna L. Gray, "Gendered Play, Racialized Reality: Black Cyberfeminism, Inclusive Communities of Practice, and the Intersections of Learning, Socialization, and Resilience in Online Gaming", *Frontiers: A Journal of Women Studies* 39(1) (2018): 112-148.

<sup>106</sup> Stephanie M. Ortiz, "You Can Say I Got Desensitized to It: How Men of Color Cope with Everyday Racism in Online Gaming", *Sociological Perspectives* Volume 62 No. 4 (2019): 572-588.

### Playing with Pets, Playing with Machines, Playing with Futures

Jody Berland

Thanks to Kaila Jane Gallacher and Rosanna Simone, research assistants of my dreams; Office of the Dean, Faculty of Liberal Arts and Professional Studies, York University, for awarding students the Dean's Award in Research Excellence and making this project possible; the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, for supporting the research project Digital Animalities; and the editors of this volume for invaluable advice, encouragement, and patience.

<sup>1</sup> Tamagotchi is widely hailed as the first digital pet. In fact the first digital pet was Dogz, launched in 1995. Dogz was a robotic puppy.

<sup>2</sup> Peter H. Kahn Jr., Heather E. Garry, Solace Shen, "Children's Social Relationships with Current and Near-Future Robots", *Child Development Perspectives*, 7(1) (2013): 33, https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12011.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid.

<sup>4</sup> Anne Allison, "Portable Monsters And Commodity Cuteness: *Pokémon* as Japan's New Global Power", *Postcolonial Studies* Vol. 6, no. 3, (2003): 382.

<sup>5</sup> Ibid., 382.

<sup>6</sup> Akin Ajayi, "Every Kid Wants a Petting Zoo", *Jerusalem Post* (Jerusalem, ISR), December 3, 2009, https://www.jpost.com/magazine/every-kid-wants-a-petting-zoo-at-home.

<sup>7</sup> "Girl's Room", *Canada at Play: An Online Exhibition of Toys and Games*, Canadian Museum of History, accessed November 30, 2020, https://www.historymuseum.ca/cana daplay/introduction/girls-room.php.

<sup>8</sup> Anne Allison, *Millennial Monsters: Japanese Toys and the Global Imagination* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2006), 181.

<sup>9</sup> Akin Ajayi, "Every Kid Wants a Petting Zoo", *Jerusalem Post* (Jerusalem, ISR), December 3, 2009, https://www.jpost.com/magazine/every-kid-wants-a-petting-zoo-at-home.

<sup>10</sup> Yi-Fu Tuan, *Dominance and Affection: The Making of Pets* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1984).

<sup>11</sup> Jody Berland, *Virtual Menageries: Animals as Mediators in Network Cultures* (Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 2019).

<sup>12</sup>When my mother had dementia she shared with other residents a number of toy dolls and pets. They loved their inert companions and did not notice their lack of intelligence. However, robot attendants are becoming increasingly popular, in part because they represent less work and cleanup for the famously understaffed workforce of residences for the aged. "Ageless Innovation | Joy For All Companion Pets | Black & White Tuxedo Cat | Lifelike And Realistic | for Older Adults, Alzheimer's Disease, Dementia & Memory Loss", Amazon, accessed November 14, 2020, https://www.ama zon.ca/Joy-All-Black-White-Tuxedo/dp/B078FFX7Q8/ref=asc\_df\_B078FFX7Q8/?tag=go ogleshopc0c-20&linkCode=df0&hvadid=292997020056&hvpos=&hvnetw=g&hvrand=17 81318190053782205&hvpone=&hvptwo=&hvqmt=&hvdev=c&hvdvcmdl=&hvlocint=&h vlocphy=9061009&hvtargid=pla-761395324232&psc=1.

<sup>13</sup> François Michaud et al., "Artificial Emotion and Social Robotics", in *Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems* 4, ed. Lynne E. Parker, George Bekey, and Jacob Barhen (Tokyo: Springer, 2000), 121-130, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-67919-6\_12. The reference here to the programmed survival drive of the robot is a poignant reminder of the struggle between Hal and Dave Bowman in Kubrick's 1968 film, *2001 – A Space Odyssey.* 

<sup>14</sup> Sherry Turkle, *Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other* (New York: Basic Books, 2012), 36.

<sup>15</sup> Ibid., 470.

<sup>16</sup> Ibid., 39, emphasis added.

<sup>17</sup> As Francois Michaud et al. explain in "Artificial Emotion and Social Robotics", for instance, "Emotion is a concept increasingly used in designing robotic agents, especially for allowing the satisfaction of basic conditions for the robot survival, for responding emotionally to situations experienced in the world and to humans interacting with it. But psychological evidence also suggests that emotion plays an important role in managing social interactions in groups". One can't help wondering about the methodology for producing "psychological evidence" acceptable to robotics engineering and more crucially why it was needed in the first place. François Michaud et al., "Artificial Emotion and Social Robotics", in *Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems* 4, ed. Lynne E. Parker, George Bekey, and Jacob Barhen (Tokyo: Springer, 2000), 121-130, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-67919-6\_12.

<sup>18</sup> "They live in the city ... These children inhabit the virtual. The cognitive sciences have shown us that using the Internet, reading or writing messages (with one's thumb), or consulting Wikipedia or Facebook does not stimulate the same neurons or the same cortical zones as does the use of a book, a chalkboard, or a notebook. They can manipulate several forms of information at the same time, yet they neither understand it, nor integrate it, nor synthesize it as do we, their ancestors". Michel Serres, *Thumbelina: The Culture and Technology of Millennials*, trans. Daniel W. Smith (New York: Rowman and Littlefield International, 2014), 6.

<sup>19</sup> Gail Melson, *Why the Wild Things Are: Animals in the Lives of Children* (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 2001); Matthew Cole and Kate Stewart, *Our Children and Other Animals: The Cultural Construction of Human-Animal Relations In Childhood* (Farnham: Ashgate Publishing, 2014); Anna Segner, "Toy (with) Animals", MFA Thesis (University of Iowa: 2019): 10-11, https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ cgi/view content.cgi?article=8105&context=etd; Peter H. Kahn Jr., Heather E. Garry, Solace Shen, "Children's Social Relationships with Current and Near-Future Robots", *Child Development Perspectives*, 7(1) (2013): 32-37, https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12011.

<sup>20</sup> Matthew Cole and Kate Stewart, *Our Children and Other Animals: The Cultural Construction of Human-Animal Relations In Childhood* (Farnham: Ashgate Publishing, 2014), 37-56.

<sup>21</sup> Keith Thomas, *Man and the Natural World: Changing Attitudes in England, 1500-1800* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1996).

<sup>22</sup> Erica Fudge, *Animal* (London: Reaktion Press, 2003), 32-34.

<sup>23</sup> Anna Segner, "Toy (with) Animals", MFA Thesis (University of Iowa: 2019): 10-11, https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=8105&context=etd.

<sup>24</sup> Cole and Stewart, Our Children, 54.

<sup>25</sup> Ibid., 78.

<sup>26</sup> Gail Melson, *Why the Wild Things Are: Animals in the Lives of Children* (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 2001), 12, 14.

<sup>28</sup> Ibid., 5.

<sup>29</sup> Ibid., 17.

<sup>30</sup> Ibid., 54.

- <sup>31</sup> Ibid., 7, emphasis added.
- <sup>32</sup> Ibid., 55.
- <sup>33</sup> Ibid., 55.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Ibid., 27.

<sup>34</sup> Vanessa LoBue, Megan Bloom Pickard, Kathleen Sherman, Chrystal Axford, and Judy Deloache, "Young Children's Interest in Live Animals", *British Journal of Developmental Psychology* Vol. 31, Issue 1 (2013): 57. accessed May 19, 2020, https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.2044-835X.2012.02078.x.

<sup>35</sup> Elena Segura Márquez et al., "Revive!: Reactions To Migration Between Different Embodiments When Playing With Robotic Pets", *IDC '12: Proceedings of the 11<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Interaction Design and Children* (June 2012): 88-97, accessed May 26, 2020, http://mobilelifecentre.org/sites/default/files/35-FINAL.pdf. <sup>36</sup> LoBue, et al., 57.

<sup>37</sup> Lobue et al., ibid.

<sup>38</sup> Sianne Ngai, *Our Aesthetic Categories: Zany, Cute, Interesting* (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 2015), 65.

<sup>39</sup> Ibid., 65.

<sup>40</sup> Yi-Fu Tuan, *Dominance and Affection: The Making of Pets* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1984), 148.

<sup>41</sup> Sarah Grimes and Leslie Regan Shade, "Neopian economics Of Play: Children's Cyberpets and Online Communities As Immersive Advertising in NeoPets.com", *International Journal of Media and Cultural Politics* Vol. 1 (2) (2005): 181-198, https://doi.org/10.1386/macp.1.2. 181/1.

<sup>42</sup> Eleanor Goldberg, "There's a Huge Problem With Kids' Toys That No One's Talking About", *Huffington Post* (blog), May 10, 2017, https://www.huffingtonpost.ca/entry/yo ur-kids-toys-are-killing-the-planet\_n\_58ffa383e4b0f5463a1a9472?ri18n=true.
<sup>43</sup> Ibid.

<sup>44</sup> Akin Ajayi, "Every Kid Wants a Petting Zoo", *Jerusalem Post* (Jerusalem, ISR), December 3, 2009, https://www.jpost.com/magazine/every-kid-wants-a-petting-zoo-at-home.
<sup>45</sup> Ibid.

<sup>46</sup> I explore the Pokémon collecting craze (commodity fetishism meets archive fever) in Jody Berland, *Virtual Menageries: Animals as Mediators in Network Cultures* (Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 2019), 200-212.

47 Ajayi, "Every Kid Wants".

<sup>48</sup> Ibid.

<sup>49</sup> Cole and Stewart, Our Children, 131.

<sup>50</sup> Travis Andrews, "Are Interactive Toys Interfering With Child Development?", *International Society for Presence Research* (2014), accessed July 17, 2020, https://ispr.info/2014/03/13/are-interactive-toys-interfering-with-child-development/.

<sup>51</sup> GoldenFeatheredWolf, "Different Foopet Breeds", *YouTube*, August 9, 2009, https://w ww.youtube.com/watch?v=U\_LSlQYzSpY&ab\_channel=GoldenFeatheredWolf.

<sup>52</sup> Foopets, "Foopets Informational Video For Parents", *YouTube*, August 17, 2010, accessed November 8, 2020, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SxH7Et-KkKg&ab\_channel=Foo Pets.

<sup>53</sup> Amandas Foopets Club (blog), accessed December 1, 2020, https://sites.google.com/ site/amandasfoopetsclub/about-me.

<sup>54</sup> Cole and Stewart, Our Children, 132.

<sup>55</sup> Ibid., 134.

<sup>56</sup> Ibid., 135.

<sup>57</sup> Sherry Turkle, *Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other* (New York: Basic Books, 2011), 39.

<sup>58</sup> Jennifer L. Jipson and Susan A. Gelman, "Robots and Rodents: Children's Inferences About Living and Nonliving Kids", *Child Development* Vol. 78 (6) (November/December 2007): 1675-1688. https://srcd.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1467-8624.20 07.01095.x.

<sup>59</sup> Peter H. Kahn Jr. et al., (2006). "Robotic Pets In the Lives of Preschool Children", Interaction Studies: Social Behaviour and Communication in Biological and Artificial Systems 7(3), 405–436, doi: 10.1075/is.7.3.13kah.

<sup>60</sup> Peter H. Kahn Jr., Heather E. Garry, and Solace Shen, "Children's Social Relationships with Current and Near-Future Robots", *Child Development Perspectives* 7(1) (2013): 32-37, doi: 10.1111/cdep.12011; Takashi Minato et al., "Development of an Android Robot for Studying Human-Robot Interaction". *Innovations in Applied Artificial Intelligence,* 17<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Industrial and Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence and Expert Systems (Ottawa, Canada, May 17-20, 2004), https://www.researchgate.net/publication/221048037\_Development\_of\_an\_Android\_Robot\_for\_Studying\_Human-Robot\_Interaction.

<sup>61</sup> Turkle, *Alone Together*, 39. Quoted Aquiar and Taylor 2015.

<sup>62</sup> Gail Melson et al., "Robotic Pets in Human Lives: Implications for the Human-Animal Bond and for Human Relationships with Personified Technologies", *Journal of Social Issues* Vol. 65 (3) (September 2009): 545-567, accessed November 12, 2019, https://doi-org.ezproxy.library.yorku.ca/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2009.01613.x.
<sup>63</sup> Ibid.

<sup>64</sup> Anne Allison, "Portable Monsters and Commodity Cuteness: *Pokémon* as Japan's New Global Power", *Postcolonial Studies* Vol. 6, no. 3, (2003): 383.

<sup>65</sup> Elena Segura Márquez et al., "Revive!: Reactions To Migration Between Different Embodiments When Playing With Robotic Pets", *IDC '12: Proceedings of the 11*<sup>th</sup> *International Conference on Interaction Design and Children* (June 2012): 88, accessed May 26, 2020, http://mobilelifecentre.org/sites/default/files/35-FINAL.pdf, emphasis added.

<sup>66</sup> Jody Berland, "Cultural Technologies and the 'Evolution' of Technological Cultures", in *North of Empire: Essays on the Technologies of Space* (Duke University Press, 2009).

<sup>67</sup> Felix Guattari, *The Three Ecologies* (London: Athlone Press, 2000), 36, https://www.at lasofplaces.com/essays/the-three-ecologies/.

<sup>68</sup> Marc Saltzman, "Things That Go Bleep and Bloop In The Night", *Toronto Star* (Toronto, Ont) November 18, 2017: Z2, accessed May 29, 2020, https://www.press reader.com/canada/toronto-star/20171118/281913068408518. Cf. Amazon.Com: "With a beginner-friendly interface, Cozmo is the perfect educational robot for kids and adults to learn to creatively code! Easier than you'd think and tougher than he looks, this toy robot is tested for durability and security ... While Anki has ceased product development and manufacturing, Anki has stated that it will provide long term support to maintain the operation and functionality in the existing products and apps. A self-serve Help Center to assist in getting the most out of your product has been implemented by Anki. Regretfully there are no agents available but Anki has indicated that they will be monitoring cloud operations for Anki accounts and Vector". "Anki Cosmo, A Fun Educational Toy Robot for Kids", Amazon, accessed November 10, 2020, https://www.amazon.com/Anki-Cozmo-Educational-Robot-Kids/dp/B074WC4NHW.

<sup>69</sup> Nick Statt, "Anki's Cozmo Robot Is The New, Adorable Face Of Artificial Intelligence: Humanizing Smart Robots For The Masses", *The Verge*, October 14, 2016, https://www.theverge.com/2016/10/14/13276752/anki-cozmo-review-ai-robot-toy.

<sup>70</sup> Ganaele Langlois and Greg Elmer, "The Research Politics of Social Media Platforms", *Culture Machine* Vol. 14 (2013), 4, https://culturemachine.net/wp-content/uploads/ 2019/05/505-1170-1-PB.pdf.

<sup>71</sup> Catalin Cimpanu, "Hacker Leaks 23 Million Usernames And Passwords From Webkinz Children's Game", *ZDNet*, April 18, 2020, accessed November 19, 2020, https://www.zdnet.com/article/hacker-leaks-23-million-usernames-and-passwords-from-webkinz-childrens-game/.

<sup>72</sup> *Robots: Your Guide To The World Of Robotics*, accessed November 11, 2020, https://robots.ieee.org/.

<sup>73</sup> Cole and Stewart, *Our Children*.

<sup>74</sup> "... a Lost Planet-style third-person action-shooter with fantastic giant creatures and bizarre and colourful flora". In an action-shooter? Doesn't the film advocate for an ethics of care for these flora and fauna? See reggie, "Game Review" in "James Cameron's *Avatar: The Game*", GAMEFABRIQUE, accessed December 3, 2020, https://gamefabri que.com/games/james-camerons-avatar-the-game/.

<sup>75</sup> Evan Ackerman, "Will Robots Replace Our Urban Pets?", *International Society for Presence Research* (May 8, 2015), accessed Jul. 17, 2020, https://ispr.info/2015/05/18/ will-robots-replace-our-urban-pets/.

<sup>76</sup> William Brennan, "The Future of Pets", *The Atlantic*, January/February 2016, https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2016/01/the-future-of-pets/419133/.

<sup>77</sup> Matthew Fuller, *Media Ecologies: Materialist Energies in Art and Technoculture*, (Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 2005), 174.

<sup>78</sup> Homi K. Bhabha, *The Location of Culture* (New York: Routledge, 1994), 86.

<sup>79</sup> Laura Barrett, "Robot Ponies" in "Paper Bag Records", *YouTube*, January 23, 2013, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hbw0jhnAVjs.

<sup>80</sup> Kriss Ravetto-Biagioli, *The Digital Uncanny* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2019).
 <sup>81</sup> Felix Guattari, *The Three Ecologies* (London: Athlone Press, 2000), 36, https://www.atl asofplaces.com/essays/the-three-ecologies/.

# Digital Media and Kidfluencers in the Twenty-first Century are Here: What and Who are the World's Children Watching?

Dr. Katharine Jones, Irmine Kabimbi Ngoy

<sup>1</sup> Albert Bandura, *Self-Efficacy: The Exercise of Control* (W. H. Freeman and Company: New York, 1997.

<sup>2</sup> Sesame Street online, https://www.sesamestreet.org/.

<sup>3</sup> Nickelodeon online, http://www.nick.com.au/.

<sup>4</sup> Cereal Time TV, "Froot Loops (1963)", *YouTube*, April 7, 2015, accessed October 27, 2020, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KgYpyim7kCI&ab\_channel=CerealTimeTV.

<sup>5</sup> Stephen Merrill, "How Sesame Street's Muppets Became Revolutionaries", edutopia, November 8, 2019, https://www.edutopia.org/article/how-sesame-streets-muppets-be came-revolutionaries.

<sup>6</sup> Margaret-Anne Lawlor, Aine Dunne, and Jennifer Rowley, "Young consumers' brand communications literacy in a social networking site context", *European Journal of Marketing* 50(11) (2016): 2018-2040, doi:10.1108/EJM-06-2015-0395.

<sup>7</sup> YouTube, https://www.youtube.com/user/YouTube/videos.

<sup>8</sup> Lawlor, Dunne, and Rowley, "Young Consumers".

<sup>9</sup> 4.5 billion users. See "Internet Users Distribution In the World Q3 2020" *Internet World Stats*, Sept 30, 2020, https://www.internetworldstats.com/stats.htm.

<sup>10</sup> Louise Matsakis, A Beginner's Guide to TikTok", *Wired* online, March 6, 2019, https://www.wired.com/story/how-to-use-tik-tok/.

<sup>11</sup> Nicolla Confos and Teresa Davis, "Young Consumer-Brand Relationship Building Potential Using Digital Marketing", *European Journal of Marketing* 50(11) (2016): 1993-2017, doi:10.1108/EJM-07-2015-0430.

<sup>12</sup> JoJo Siwa, "Its JoJo Siwa", *YouTube*, accessed November 1, 2020, https://www.youtube. com/channel/UCeV2O\_6QmFaaKBZHY3bJgsA; JoJo Siwa, "itsjojosiva", Instagram, accessed October 30, 2020, https://www.instagram.com/ItsJoJoSiwa/.

<sup>13</sup> Ryan Kaji, "Ryan's World", *YouTube*, accessed October 31, 2020, https://www.youtube. com/c/RyanToysReview/videos; Ryan Kaji, "ryansworld", *Instagram*, accessed October 30, 2020, https://www.instagram.com/ryansworld/.

<sup>14</sup> Samia Ali, "Samia's Life", *YouTube*, accessed October 31, 2020, https://www.youtube.co m/channel/UCaCl\_YJvwRuT9tSciCavOxQ; Samia Ali, "samiaslife", *Instagram*, accessed October 31, 2020, https://www.instagram.com/samiaslife/.

<sup>15</sup> McClure, "McClure Twins", *YouTube*, accessed October 30, 2020, https://www.youtub e.com/c/McClureTwins/featured; McClure, "mccluretwins", *Instagram*, accessed October 30, 2020, https://www.instagram.com/mccluretwins/.

<sup>16</sup> Jessica Stansberry, "What is Vlogging?", *YouTube*, accessed November 1, 2020, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3gNeGFrhoro&ab\_channel=HeyJessica.

<sup>17</sup> Cristel Antonia Russell, "Investigating The Effectiveness of Product Placements In Television Shows: The Role of Modality and Plot Connection Congruence on Brand Memory and Attitude", *Journal of Consumer Research* 29(3) (February 2002): 306-318, doi: 10. 1086/344432; Nicolla Confos and Teresa Davis, "Young Consumer-Brand Relationship Building Potential Using Digital Marketing", *European Journal of Marketing* 50(11) (2016): 1993-2017, doi:10.1108/EJM-07-2015-0430.

<sup>18</sup> Marijke De Veirman, Liselot Hudders, and Michelle Renee Nelson, "What is Influencer Marketing and How Does it Target Children? A Review and Direction for Future Research", *Frontiers in Psychology* 10 (2019): 2685, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02685.

<sup>19</sup> Karen Freberg et al., "Who are The Social Media Influencers? A Study of Public Perceptions of Personality", *Public Relations Review* 37(1) (2011): 90-92, doi: 10.1016/j.pubrev.2010.11.001.

<sup>20</sup> Elmira Djafarova and Chloe Rushworth, "Exploring the Credibility Of Online Celebrities' Instagram Profiles In Influencing The Purchase Decisions Of Young Female Users", *Computers in Human Behavior* 68 (2017): 1-7, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2016.11.009.

<sup>21</sup> Theresa M. Senft, "Microcelebrity and the Branded Self", in *A Companion to New Media Dynamics*, ed. John Hartley, Jean Burgess, Axel Bruns (Hoboken, NJ.: Blackwell, 2013), 346-354.

<sup>22</sup> Ibid.

<sup>23</sup> Donald Horton and R. Richard Wohl, "Mass Communication and Para-Social Interaction: Observations on Intimacy at A Distance", *Psychiatry* 19(3) (1956): 215-229, doi: 10.1080/ 00332747.1956.11023049.

<sup>24</sup> Ibid.

<sup>25</sup> Bandura, *Self-Efficacy*.

<sup>26</sup> Samia Ali, EATING ONLY PINK food for 24 HOURS CHALLENGE!, "Samia's Life", *YouTube*, May 5, 2019, accessed October 20, 2020, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DLSNUQ3g9J w&ab\_channel=Samia%27sLife.

<sup>27</sup> Nicolla Confos and Teresa Davis, "Young Consumer-Brand Relationship Building Potential Using Digital Marketing", *European Journal of Marketing* 50(11) (2016): 1993-2017, doi:10. 1108/EJM-07-2015-0430.

<sup>28</sup> Bandura, *Self-Efficacy*.

<sup>29</sup> Louis J. Moses and Dare A. Baldwin, "What Can The Study of Cognitive Development Reveal About Children's Ability to Appreciate and Cope With Advertising?", *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing* 24, no. 2 (2005): 186-201, doi: 10.1509/jppm.2005.24.2.186.

<sup>30</sup> Esther Rozendaal, Laura Buijs, and Eva A. van Reijmersdal, "Strengthening Children's Advertising Defenses: The Effects of Forewarning of Commercial and Manipulative Intent", *Frontiers in Psychology* 7 (August 8, 2016): 1186, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01186.

<sup>31</sup> Anna R. McAlister and T. Bettina Cornwell, "Children's Brand Symbolism Understanding: Links to Theory of Mind and Executive Functioning", *Psychology & Marketing* 27(3) (March 2010): 203-228, doi: 10.1002/mar.20328.

<sup>32</sup> Ini Vanwesenbeeck, Liselot Hudders, and Koen Ponnet, "Understanding the YouTube Generation: How Preschoolers Process Television and YouTube Advertising", *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking* 23(6) (Jun 4, 2020): 426-432, doi: 10.1089/cyber.2019.0488.

<sup>33</sup> Moses and Baldwin, "What Can the Study of Cognitive Development Reveal".

<sup>34</sup> Lynne Eagle, "Commercial Media Literacy: What Does It Do, to Whom—and Does It Matter?", *Journal of Advertising* 36(2) (June 2007): 101-110, doi: 10.2753/JOA0091-3367360207.

<sup>35</sup> Moses and Baldwin, "What Can the Study of Cognitive Development Reveal".

<sup>36</sup> For YouTube and advertising disclosure rules for vloggers see "Disclosures 101 for Social Media Influencers", Federal Trade Commission online, November 2019, https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/plain-language/1001a-influencer-guide-508\_1.pdf.

<sup>37</sup> "CARU Examines YouTube Channel 'Ryan Toys Review,' Recommends More Prominent Disclosures of Ad Content for Advertising Disclosure", Better Business Bureau National Programs online, October 18, 2017, https://bbbprograms.org/archive/ caru-examinesyoutube-channel-ryan-toys-review-recommends-more-prominent-disclosures-of-ad-con tent.

<sup>38</sup> Moniek Buijzen, "The Family's Role in Children's Interpretation of Advertising", in *Advertising to Children* (New York: Macmillan Publishers, 2014), 137-157, doi: 10.1057/9781137313256\_8.

<sup>39</sup> Nathaniel J. Evans, Mariea Grubbs Hoy, and Courtney Carpenter Childers, "Parenting 'YouTube Natives': The Impact of Pre-Roll Advertising and Text Disclosures on Parental Responses to Sponsored Child Influencer Videos", *Journal of Advertising* 47(4) (2018): 326-346, doi: 10.1080/00913367.2018.1544952. <sup>40</sup> Esther Rozendaal, Laura Buijs, and Eva A. van Reijmersdal, "Strengthening Children's Advertising Defenses: The Effects of Forewarning of Commercial and Manipulative Intent", *Frontiers in Psychology* 7 (August 8, 2016): 1186, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01186.
<sup>41</sup> Eagle, "Commercial Media Literacy".

<sup>42</sup> Bandura, *Self-Efficacy*.

<sup>43</sup> De Veirman, Hudders, and Nelson, "What is Influencer Marketing".

<sup>44</sup> Ibid.

<sup>45</sup> Lan Nguyen Chaplin and Deborah Roedder John, "The Development Of Self-Brand Connections In Children and Adolescents", *Journal of Consumer Research* 32(1) (June 2005): 119-129, doi: 10.1086/426622.

<sup>46</sup> Charles K. Atkin, "Children's Social Learning From Television Advertising: Research Evidence On Observational Modelling Of Product Consumption", in *Advances in Consumer Research* Vol. 3, ed. Beverlee D. Anderson (Cincinnati, OH: Association for Consumer Research, 1976), 513-519, https://www.acrwebsite.org/volumes/9313/vo lumes/v03/NA-03; Carole M. Macklin, "Preschoolers' Understanding of the Informational Function of Television Advertising", *Journal of Consumer Research* 14(2) (September 1987): 229-239, doi: 10.1086/209108.

<sup>47</sup> Tiffany Stanley, "What Killed the Jingle?", *Atlantic* online, August 29, 2016, https://www.theatlantic.com/business/archive/2016/08/what-killed-the-jingle/497291/.

<sup>48</sup> Atkin, "Children's Social Learning"; Gilbert A. Churchill, Jr. and George P. Moschis, "Television and Interpersonal Influences On Adolescent Consumer Learning", *Journal of Consumer Research* 6(1) (June 1979): 23-35, http://www.jstor.org/stable/2488723.

<sup>49</sup> Micael Dahlén, Fredrik Lange, and Terry Smith, *Marketing Communications: A Brand Narrative Approach* (Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, 2009).

<sup>50</sup> Susan Fournier and Jill Avery, "The Uninvited Brand", *Business Horizons* 54(3) (May-June 2011): 193-207.

<sup>51</sup> Simon Kemp, "Digital 2020: 3.8 Billion People Use Social Media", *We Are Social*, January 30, 2020, https://wearesocial.com/blog/2020/01/digital-2020-3-8-billion-people-use-soci al-media.

<sup>52</sup> Netflix, https://www.netflix.com/.

<sup>53</sup> *Disney*+, https://www.disneyplus.com/.

<sup>54</sup> Sesame Street online, https://www.sesamestreet.org/.

<sup>55</sup> Brooke Auxier et al., "Parental Views About YouTube", *Pew Research Center*, July 28, 2020, https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2020/07/28/parental-views-about-youtube/.

<sup>56</sup> De Veirman, Hudders, and Nelson, "What is Influencer Marketing".

<sup>57</sup> Gwen B. Achenreiner and Deborah Roedder John, "The Meaning of Brand Names To Children: A Developmental Investigation", *Journal of Consumer Psychology* 13(3) (2003): 205-219, doi: 10.1207/S15327663JCP1303\_03.

<sup>58</sup> Agnes Nairn, Christine Griffin, and Patricia Gaya Wicks, "Children's Use of Brand Dymbolism: A Consumer Culture Theory Approach", *European Journal of Marketing* 42(5/6) (May 2008): 627-640, doi: 10.1108/03090560810862543.

<sup>59</sup> *My Little Pony* online, https://mylittlepony.hasbro.com/en-ca.

<sup>60</sup> The Incredibles, directed by Brad Bird (Emeryville, CA: Pixar, 2004).

<sup>61</sup> FastFoodToyReviews, "2018 TRANSFORMERS BUMBLEBEE MOVI.E. SET OF 8 McDONALDS HAPPY MEAL KIDS TOYS VIDEO REVIEW", *YouTube*, Dec 3, 2018, accessed November 2, 2020, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nBvy3gbUXP4&ab\_ channel=FastFoodToyReviews. <sup>62</sup> Play Pause Video, "SpongeBob Squarepants Cereal Commercial", *YouTube*, April 25, 2004, accessed November 1, 2020, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UchTl9wxG4w& ab\_channel=PlayPauseVideo; De Veirman, Hudders, and Nelson, "What is Influencer Marketing".

<sup>63</sup> Deborah Roedder John, "Consumer Socialization of Children: A Retrospective Look at Twenty-Five Years of Research", *Journal of Consumer Research* 26(3) (December 1999): 183-213, https://doi.org/10.1086/209559.

64 De Veirman, Hudders, and Nelson, "What is Influencer Marketing".

<sup>65</sup> Pallassana K. Kannan and Alice Hongshuang Li, "Digital Marketing: A Framework, Review and Research Agenda", *International Journal of Research in Marketing* 34(1) (March 2017): 22-45, doi: 10.1016/j.ijresmar.2016.11.006.

<sup>66</sup> Margaret-Anne Lawlor, Aine Dunne, and Jennifer Rowley, "Young Consumers' Brand Communications Literacy in a Social Networking Site Context", *European Journal of Marketing* 50(11) (2016): 2018-2040, doi:10.1108/EJM-06-2015-0395.

<sup>67</sup> Sonja Gensler et al., "Managing Brands in the Social Media Environment", *Journal of Interactive Marketing* 27(4) (November 2013): 242-256, doi: 10.1016/j.intmar.2013.09. 004.

<sup>68</sup> Linda D. Hollebeek, Mark S. Glynn, and Roderick J. Brodie, "Consumer Brand Engagement in Social Media: Conceptualization, Scale Development and Validation", *Journal of Interactive Marketing* 28, no. 2 (May 2014): 149-165, 10.1016/j.intmar. 2013.12.002.

<sup>69</sup> Nicolla Confos and Teresa Davis, "Young Consumer-Brand Relationship Building Potential Using Digital Marketing", *European Journal of Marketing* 50(11) (2016): 1993-2017, doi:10. 1108/EJM-07-2015-0430.

70 Ibid.

<sup>71</sup> Soontae An, Hyun Seung Jin, and Eun Hae Park, "Children's Advertising Literacy For Advergames: Perception of the Game as Advertising", *Journal of Advertising* 43(1) (February 2014): 63-72, 10.1080/00913367.2013.795123.

<sup>72</sup> Zachery Barton, "The Evolution of Advergames: Top 3 Examples of Marketing in Gaming", Zachery Barton (blog), September 21, 2017, https://medium.com/@zbbarton 0706/the-evolution-of-advergames-top-3-examples-of-marketing-in-gaming-3e688aad 6884.

73 An, Jin, and Park, "Children's Advertising".

<sup>74</sup> "Marvel's Avengers: Time to Assemble CG Spot (PEGI)", Marvel Avengers, *Square Enix* online, accessed October 29, 2020, https://avengers.square-enix-games.com/en-gb/ media/marvel-launch-cgi/?origin=homepage.

<sup>75</sup> Johanna Roettl, Martin Waiguny, and Ralf Terlutter, "The Persuasive Power of Advergames: A Content Analysis Focusing on Persuasive Mechanisms in Advergames", *Australasian Marketing Journal* 24(4) (November 2016): 275-287, doi: 10.1016/j.aus mj.2016.10.001.

<sup>76</sup> Dahlén, Lange, and Smith, Marketing Communications.

<sup>77</sup> Caroline E. Webb, "Fairy Tales: Meanings, Messages and Morals", Online Course, University of Newcastle, Australia: via FutureLearn (2020): Retrieved from https://www.futurelearn. com/your-courses.

<sup>78</sup> Walt Disney Animated Studios, "Moana Official Trailer", *YouTube*, September 15, 2006, accessed October 25, 2020, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LKFuXETZUsI&ab\_cha nnel=WaltDisneyAnimationStudios.

<sup>79</sup> CrashCourse, "Ma'ui, Oceania's Hero: Crash Course World Mythology #31", *YouTube*, October 22, 2017, accessed October 25, 2020, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eBB3RKazIj8&ab\_channel=CrashCourse.

<sup>80</sup> "KNOW YOUR ROOTS: Pacific History & Legends", The COCONET.tv online, accessed October 31, 2020, https://www.thecoconet.tv/know-your-roots/pacific-history-legends/.
 <sup>81</sup> Dahlén, Lange, and Smith, *Marketing Communications*.

<sup>82</sup> "My Little Pony Official", *YouTube*, accessed November 1, 2020, https://www.youtube. com/user/mlpequestriagirls.

<sup>83</sup> Alice Fisher, "Why the Unicorn Has Become the Emblem for Our Times", *Guardian* online (UK) October 15, 2020, https://www.theguardian.com/society/2017/oct/15/ return-of-the-unicorn-the-magical-beast-of-our-times.

<sup>84</sup> Nina Diamond et al., "American Girl and the Brand Gestalt: Closing the Loop on Sociocultural Branding Research", *Journal of Marketing* 73(3) (May 2009): 118-134, doi: 10.1509/jmkg.73.3.118.

<sup>85</sup> Ibid.

<sup>86</sup> Dahlén, Lange, and Smith, Marketing Communications.

<sup>87</sup> Nairn, Griffin, and Gaya Wicks, "Children's Use of Brand Dymbolism".

<sup>88</sup> Marisa Putnam et al., "Influence of a Character-Based App on Children's Learning of Nutritional Information: Should Apps Be Served with a Side of Media Characters?", *Games For Health Journal* 7(2) (April 2018): 121-126, doi: 10.1089/g4h.2017.0116.

<sup>89</sup> Russell W. Belk, Kenneth D. Bahn, and Robert N. Mayer. "Developmental Recognition of Consumption Symbolism", *Journal of Consumer Research* 9(1) (June 1982): 4-17, doi: 10.1086/208892.

<sup>90</sup> Thao Huynh, "Samsung Galaxy Watch 3 – First Impressions!", *YouTube*, August 8, 2020, Accessed October 29, 2020, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0C3JjIeZsX8&ab\_channel=ThaoHuynh.

<sup>91</sup> Dahlén, Lange, and Smith, Marketing Communications.

<sup>92</sup> Xu, Xu and Stephen Pratt, "Social Media Influencers as Endorsers to Promote Travel Destinations: an Application of Self-Congruence Theory to the Chinese Generation Y", *Journal of Travel & Tourism Marketing* 35(7) (May 2018): 958-972, doi: 10.1080/10548408.2018.1468851.

<sup>93</sup> Shaaanxo, "NEW MAKEUP first impressions! Jaclyn Hill x Morphe, Zoeva, NARS & More!", *YouTube*, May 1, 2020, Accessed October 18, 2020, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q7-cHsKpxIk&ab\_channel=Shaaanxo.

<sup>94</sup> How to Dad, "How to DAD", *YouTube*, Accessed October 15, 2020, https://www.you tube.com/user/watsojg1.

<sup>95</sup> Chen Lou and Shupei Yuan, "Influencer Marketing: How Message Value and Credibility Affect Consumer Trust of Branded Content on Social Media", *Journal of Interactive Advertising* 19(1) (February 2019): 58-73, doi: 10.1080/15252019.2018.1533501.

<sup>96</sup> Sophi.e. C. Boerman and Eva A. Van Reijmersdal, "Disclosing Influencer Marketing on YouTube to Children: The Moderating Role of Para-Social Relationship", *Frontiers in Psychology* 10 (Jan 2020): 3042, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.03042.

<sup>97</sup> Seung-A. Annie. Jin and Joe Phua, "Following Celebrities' Tweets About Brands: The Impact of Twitter-Based Electronic Word-Of-Mouth on Consumers' Source Credibility Perception, Buying Intention, and Social Identification With Celebrities", *Journal of Advertising* 43(2) (April 2014): 181-195, doi: 10.1080/00913367.2013.827606. <sup>98</sup> CKN Toys, "BIGGEST Avengers HULKBUSTER Ultimate Figure HQ Transforming Playset Superhero Fun With Ckn Toys", *YouTube*, August 21, 2018, https://www.you tube.com/watch?v=7L1wAaIHw8A&ab\_channel=CKNToys.

<sup>99</sup> Crystal Abidin, "#familygoals: Family Influencers, Calibrated Amateurism, and Justifying Young Digital Labour", *Social Media*+Society 3(2) (2017): 1-15, doi: 10.1177/2056305117707191.

<sup>100</sup> La Toya, "LaToya Forever", *YouTube*, accessed November 5, 2020, https://www.you tube.com/latoyaforever.

101 Abidin, "#familygoals".

<sup>102</sup> James S. Coleman, "Social Capital in the Creation of Human Capital", *American Journal of Sociology* 94 Supplement: Organizations and Institutions: Sociological and Economic Approaches to the Analysis of Social Structure (1988): S95-S120, https://www.jstor.org/stable/2780243.

<sup>103</sup> Ibid.

 $^{\rm 104}$  De Veirman, Hudders, and Nelson, "What is Influencer Marketing".

<sup>105</sup> Ibid.

<sup>106</sup> B. Zafer Erdogan, "Celebrity Endorsement: A LITERATURE REVIEW", *Journal of Marketing Management* 15(4) (1999): 291-314 doi.org/10.1362/026725799784870379.

<sup>107</sup> De Veirman, Hudders, and Nelson, "What is Influencer Marketing".

<sup>108</sup> Elizabeth J. Wilson and Daniel L. Sherrell, "Source Effects in Communication and Persuasion Research: A Meta-Analysis of Effect Size", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 21, no. 2 (1993): 101, doi: 10.1007/BF02894421.

<sup>109</sup> Ryan's World, "Kid playing with toys Lego Duplo Number Train", *YouTube*, March 16, 2015, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jVwSJ9q3kOc&ab\_channel=Ryan%27sWorld.
<sup>110</sup> John Bowlby, *A Secure Base: Clinical Applications of Attachment Theory* (London: Routledge, 2005).

<sup>111</sup> Hannah Ulferts, "Why Parenting Matters For Children in the 21st Century: An Evidence-Based Framework For Understanding Parenting and its Impact on Child Development, OECD Education Working Paper No. 222", Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, June 9, 2020, http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/?cote=EDU/WKP%282020%2910&docLanguage=En.

<sup>112</sup> Ashley Seibert and Kathryn Kerns, "Early Mother–Child Attachment: Longitudinal Prediction to the Quality of Peer Relationships in Middle Childhood", *International Journal of Behavioral Development* 39(2) (2015): 130-138, doi: 10.1177/0165025414542710.

<sup>113</sup> Priscilla K. Coleman, "Perceptions of Parent-Child Attachment, Social Self-Efficacy, and Peer Relationships in Middle Childhood", *Infant and Child Development: An International Journal of Research and Practice* 12(4) (2003): 351-368. <sup>114</sup> Ibid.

<sup>115</sup> Rachel Maunder and Claire P. Monks, "Friendships in Middle Childhood: Links to Peer and School Identification, and General Self-Worth", *British Journal of Developmental Psychology* 37(2) (October 2018): 211-229, doi: 10.1111/bjdp.12268.

<sup>116</sup> Jeff Pankin, "Schema Theory" (MIT 2003), http://web.mit.edu/pankin/www/Schema\_Theory\_and\_Concept\_Formation.pdf.

117 Coleman, "Perceptions of Parent-Child Attachment".

<sup>118</sup> Albert Bandura, *Self-Efficacy*.

<sup>119</sup> Ibid.

120 Ibid.

<sup>121</sup> Coleman, "Perceptions of Parent-Child Attachment".

<sup>122</sup> Ibid.

<sup>123</sup> Coleman, "Perceptions of Parent-Child Attachment"; Gerhard J. Suess, Klaus E. Grossmann, and L. Alan Sroufe, "Effects of Infant Attachment to Mother and Father on Quality of Adaptation in Preschool: From Dyadic to Individual Organisation of Self", *International Journal of Behavioral Development* 15(1) (March 1992): 43-65, 10.11 77/016502549201500103.

<sup>124</sup> Mark D. Holder and Ben Coleman, "Children's Friendships and Positive Well-Being", in *Friendship and Happiness* (Dordrecht: Springer, 2015), 81-97.

<sup>125</sup> Donald Horton and R. Richard Wohl, "Mass Communication and Para-Social Interaction: Observations on Intimacy at a Distance", *Psychiatry* 19(3) (1956): 215-229, doi: 10.1080/00332747.1956.11023049.

<sup>126</sup> Tilo Hartmann, "Parasocial Interaction, Parasocial Relationships, and Well-Being", in *The Routledge Handbook of Media Use and Well-Being: International Perspectives on Theory and Research on Positive Media Effects*, ed. L. Reinecke and M. B. Oliver (New York: Routledge, 2017): 131-144.

<sup>127</sup> Stephanie Pappas, "Oscar Psychology: Why Celebrities Fascinate Us", Live Science, February 24, 2012, https://www.livescience.com/18649-oscar-psychology-celebrity-worship.html.

<sup>128</sup> Hartmann, "Parasocial Interaction".

<sup>129</sup> Ibid.

130 Ibid.

<sup>131</sup> De Veirman, Hudders, and Nelson, "What is Influencer Marketing".

<sup>132</sup> Sophia Van Dam and Eva van Reijmersdal, "Insights in Adolescents' Advertising Literacy, Perceptions and Responses Regarding Sponsored Influencer Videos and Disclosures", *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace* 13(2) (2019): Article 2, doi: 10.5817/CP2019-2-2.

<sup>133</sup> McClure, "McClure Twins", *YouTube*, accessed October 30, 2020, https://www.you tube.com/c/McClureTwins/featured; McClure, "mccluretwins", *Instagram*, accessed October 30, 2020, https://www.instagram.com/mccluretwins/.

134 De Veirman, Hudders, and Nelson, "What is Influencer Marketing".

135 Abidin, "#familygoals".

<sup>136</sup> Ibid.

<sup>137</sup> Samia Ali, "GIVING MY PARENTS A HARD TIME (VERY FUNNY!)", in Samia's Life, *YouTube*, September 5, 2020, https://youtu.be/8aDBNmn2HJA.

<sup>138</sup> Rachel Berryman and Misha Kavka, "'I Guess A Lot of People See Me as a Big Sister or a Friend': The Role of Intimacy in the Celebrification of Beauty Vloggers", *Journal of Gender Studies* 26(3) (2017): 307-320, doi.org/10.1080/09589236.2017.1288611.

<sup>139</sup> De Veirman, Hudders, and Nelson, "What is Influencer Marketing".

<sup>140</sup> Matthew Tingchi Liu, Yongdan Liu, and Lida L. Zhang, "Vlog and Brand Evaluations: The Influence of Parasocial Interaction", *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics* 31(2) (February 2019): 419-436, doi: 10.1108/APJML-01-2018-0021.

<sup>141</sup> De Veirman, Hudders, and Nelson, "What is Influencer Marketing".

<sup>142</sup> National Geographic, "The Role of Role Modes | StarTalk", *YouTube*, December 30, 2016, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1ytYlnLAyds&ab\_channel=NationalGeographic.s
 <sup>143</sup> Albert Bandura, *Self-Efficacy*.

<sup>144</sup> Karina Sokolova and Hajer Kefi, "Instagram and YouTube Bloggers Promote it, Why Should I Buy? How Credibility and Parasocial Interaction Influence Purchase Intentions", *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services* 53(C) (January 2019), doi: 10.1016/j.jretco nser.2019.01.011.

<sup>145</sup> Roedder John, "Consumer Socialization of Children".

<sup>146</sup> Frans Folkvord et al., "Children's Bonding With Popular YouTube Vloggers and Their Attitudes Toward Brand and Product Endorsements in Vlogs: An Explorative Study", *Young Consumers Insight and Ideas For Responsible Marketers* 20(2) (June 2019), doi: 10.1108/YC-12-2018-0896.

147 Roedder John, "Consumer Socialization of Children".

<sup>148</sup> Robert B. Zajonc, "Mere Exposure: A Gateway to the Subliminal", *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 10(6) (December 2001): 224-228, doi: 10.1111/1467-8721.00154.
<sup>149</sup> Stephano Ruggieri and Stefano Boca, "At the Roots of Product Placement: The Mere Exposure Effect", *Europe's Journal of Psychology* 9(2) (May 2013), doi: 10.5964/ejop. v9i2.522.

150 Ibid.

<sup>151</sup> Lindsay Kolowich Cox, "From Ray-Bans to Reese's Pieces: 13 Unforgettable Examples of Product Placement", Hub Spot (blog), last updated October 7, 2020, https://bl og.hubspot.com/marketing/product-placement-examples.

<sup>152</sup> Cristel Antonia Russell, "Investigating the effectiveness of product placements in television shows: The role of modality and plot connection congruence on brand memory and attitude", *Journal of Consumer Research* 29(3) (February 2002): 306-318, doi: 10.1086/344432.

<sup>153</sup> Ibid.

<sup>154</sup> Ryan Kaji, "Ryan's World", *YouTube*, accessed October 31, 2020, https://www.you tube.com/c/RyanToysReview/videos; Ryan Kaji, "ryansworld", *Instagram*, accessed October 30, 2020, https://www.instagram.com/ryansworld/.

<sup>155</sup> Ruggieri and Boca, "At the Roots of Product Placement".

<sup>156</sup> Lan Nguyen Chaplin and Deborah Roedder John, "The Development Of Self-Brand Connections In Children and Adolescents", *Journal of Consumer Research* 32(1) (June 2005): 119-129, doi: 10.1086/426622; Mindy F. Ji, "Children's Relationships With Brands: 'True Love' or 'One-Night' stand?", *Psychology & Marketing* 19(4) (April 2002): 369-387, doi: 10.1002/mar.10015; Mindy F. Ji, "Child-Brand Relations: A Conceptual Framework", *Journal of Marketing Management* 24(5-6) (2008): 603-619, doi: 10.1362/026725708X326011.

<sup>157</sup> Ann-Marie. Kennedy, Katharine Jones and Janine Williams, "Children as Vulnerable Consumers in Online Environments", *The Journal of Consumer Affairs* 53(4) (May 2019): 1478-1506, doi: 10.1111/joca.12253.

<sup>158</sup> Margaret-Anne Lawlor, Aine Dunne, and Jennifer Rowley, "Young Consumers' Brand Communications Literacy in a Social Networking Site Context", *European Journal of Marketing* 50(11) (2016): 2018-2040, doi:10.1108/EJM-06-2015-0395.

<sup>159</sup> Florencia García-Rapp, "'Come Join and Let's BOND': Authenticity and Legitimacy Building on YouTube's Beauty Community". *Journal of Media Practice* 18(2-3) (September 2017): 120-137, doi: 10.1080/14682753.2017.1374693.

<sup>160</sup> Bubzbeauty, "YOUTHFUL & GLOWY MAKEUP & My Morning Skincare", *YouTube*, July 4, 2019, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KR7iZjiRkWE&ab\_channel=bubzbeauty.

<sup>161</sup> Jake Paul, "Jake Paul", *YouTube*, accessed October 26, 2020, https://www.youtube. com/user/JakePaulProductions.

<sup>162</sup> Tana Mongeau, "Tana Mongeau", *YouTube*, accessed October 26, 2020, https://www. youtube.com/channel/UClWD8su9Sk6GzZDwy9zs3\_w.

<sup>163</sup> Kris Fox, "Kris Fox", *YouTube*, accessed October 26, 2020, https://www.youtube.com/user/ADayWithKrisFox/featured.

164 García-Rapp, "'Come Join and Let's BOND'".

<sup>165</sup> Samia Ali, "AN ALIEN CAME TO VISIT SAMIA", in Samia's World, *YouTube*, September 7, 2019, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VCipA28VQgE&ab\_channel=Samia%27sLife.

<sup>166</sup> Jackie Aina, "Jackie Aina", *YouTube*, accessed October 26, 2020, https://www.youtu be.com/user/lilpumpkinpie05.

<sup>167</sup> Lauren I. Labrecque, Ereni Markos, and George R. Milne, "Online Personal Branding: Processes, Challenges, and Implications", *Journal of Interactive Marketing* 25(1) (February 2011): 37-50, doi: 10.1016/j.intmar.2010.09.002.

<sup>168</sup> Julie Guidry Moulard, Randle D. Raggio, and Judith Anne Garretson Folse, "Brand Authenticity: Testing the Antecedents and Outcomes of Brand Management's Passion for its Products", *Psychology & Marketing* 33(6) (June 2016): 421-436, doi: org/10.1002/ mar.20888.

<sup>169</sup> Julie Napoli et al., "Measuring Consumer-Based Brand Authenticity", *Journal of Business Research* 67(6) (June 2014): 1090-1098, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2013.06.001.

170 García-Rapp, "'Come Join and Let's BOND'".

171 Ibid.

172 Ibid.

<sup>173</sup> Ibid., 131.

<sup>174</sup> Nathaniel J. Evans et al., "Disclosing Instagram Influencer Advertising: The Effects of Disclosure Language on Advertising Recognition, Attitudes, and Behavioural Intent", *Journal of Interactive Advertising* 17(2) (September 2017): 138-149, doi: 10.1080/15252019.2017.1366885.

<sup>175</sup> Bartosz W. Wojdynski and Nathaniel J. Evans, "Going Native: Effects of Disclosure Position and Language on the Recognition and Evaluation of Online Native Advertising", Journal of Advertising 45(2) (2016): 157-168, doi: 10.1080/00913367.2015.1115380.

<sup>176</sup> Gurjit Degun, "Kardashian Clan Under Fire Over Disclosure of Sponsored Instagram Posts", PR Week (blog), August 23, 2016, https://www.prweek.com/article/1406505/kard ashian-clan-fire-disclosure-sponsored-instagram-posts.

<sup>177</sup> Evans et al., "Disclosing Instagram Influencer".

<sup>178</sup> Eliot J. Butter et al., "Discrimination of Television Programs and Commercials by Preschool Children", *Journal of Advertising Research* 21(2) (1981): 53-56; Moses and Baldwin, "What Can the Study of Cognitive Development Reveal".

<sup>179</sup> Philippe Guinaudeau, "The Rise of Kidfluencers: Meet the Kids Making Millions on Social Media", Brand Trends (blog), June 2, 2019, <u>https://brandtrends.com/influencers/</u>the-rise-of-kidfluencers-meet-the-kids-making-millions-on-social-media/.

<sup>180</sup> W.J. McGuire, "Attitudes and Attitude Change", in *Handbook of Social Psychology*, ed.
 G. Lindzay and E. Aronson, E. (NY: Random House, 1985), 233-346.

<sup>181</sup> John Antil, Rick Burton, and Matthew Robinson, "Exploring the Challenges Facing Female Athletes as Endorsers", *Journal of Brand Strategy* 1(3) (2012): 292-307.

<sup>182</sup> Shu-Chuan Chu, and Sara Kamal, "The Effect of Perceived Blogger Credibility and Argument Quality on Message Elaboration and Brand Attitudes: An Exploratory Study", *Journal of Interactive Advertising* 8(2) (2008): 26-37, doi: 10.1080/15252019.2008.107 22140.

<sup>183</sup> Dieneke Van de Sompel and Iris Vermeir, "The Influence of Source Attractiveness on Self-Perception and Advertising Effectiveness for 6-to 7-Year-Old Children", *International Journal of Consumer Studies* 40(5) (2016): 575-582, doi: 10.1111/ijcs.12302.

<sup>184</sup> The Mighty McClures, "Beautiful Twins Meet for The First Time!", *YouTube*, June 24, 2018, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yxM3pTmZIPQ&ab\_channel=theMightyMcC lures.

<sup>185</sup> McClure, "mccluretwins", *Instagram*, accessed October 30, 2020, https://www.instagram.com/mccluretwins/.

<sup>186</sup> taytumandoakley, "What kind of customer are you?! Are you like Taytum? O Tag a friend who would love this O", *Instagram*, May 15, 2020, https://www.instagram.com/p/CANzytgAyev/.

187 McGuire, "Attitudes and Attitude Change".

<sup>188</sup> Anne Martensen, Sofia Brockenhuus-Schack, Anastasia Lauitsen Zahid, "How Citizen Influencers Persuade Their Followers", *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management* 22, no. 3 (July 9, 2018): 335–353.

<sup>189</sup> Ibid.

<sup>190</sup> B. Zafer Erdogan, "Celebrity Endorsement: A Literature Review", *Journal of Marketing Management* 15(4) (1999): 291-314 doi.org/10.1362/026725799784870379.

<sup>191</sup> McGuire, "Attitudes and Attitude Change".

192 Zafer Erdogan, "Celebrity Endorsement".

<sup>193</sup> Anne Martensen, Sofia Brockenhuus-Schack, and Anastasia Lauritsen Zahid, "How Citizen Influencers Persuade Their Followers", *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management* 22(3) (May 2018), doi: 10.1108/JFMM-09-2017-0095.

<sup>194</sup> McGuire, "Attitudes and Attitude Change".

<sup>195</sup> Larissa Marulli, "14 Mary-Kate & Ashley Olsen Movies, Ranked from Worst To Best", Moms (blog), June 24, 2020, https://www.moms.com/14-mary-kate-ashley-olsen-movies-ranked/.

<sup>196</sup> Tia and Tamera, "Sister, Sister – Are you a Tia or a Tamera #2 (Promo) – Hub Network", in Hub Network, *YouTube*, March 10, 2014, https://www.youtube.com/wa tch?v=foH5-vy40m0&ab\_channel=HubNetwork.

<sup>197</sup> E! News, "'The Suite Life of Zack and Cody' Turns 15: Rewind | E! News", *YouTube*, March 18, 2020, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=949URIoCJOg&ab\_channel=E%21 News.

<sup>198</sup> Good Morning America, "Twinfluencers' will have you seeing double on your social media feed | GMA Digital", *YouTube*, September 11, 2020, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SsWmUp2g6qo&ab\_channel=GoodMorningAmerica.

<sup>199</sup> GlamTwins, "The Glam Twinz", *YouTube*, Accessed November 1, 2020, https://www. youtube.com/user/glamtwinz334.

<sup>200</sup> Hodge Twins, "TwinMuscle", *YouTube*, Accessed November 1, 2020, https://www.you tube.com/user/twinmuscleworkout/videos.

<sup>201</sup> Tatum and Oakley, "tatumandoakley", *Instagram*, accessed October 20, 2020, https://www.instagram.com/taytumandoakley/?hl=en.

<sup>202</sup> Ava and Leah Clements, "clementstwins", *Instagram*, accessed November 1, 2020, https://www.instagram.com/clementstwins/?hl=en.

<sup>203</sup> Shephali Bhatt, "The Rise of Twinfluencers" *Economic Times* online (Mumbai, IN), last updated September 1, 2020, https://tech.economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/ internet/the-rise-of-twinfluencers/77861848.

<sup>204</sup> Taylor Lorenz, "Twinfluencers Are Taking Over the Internet", *Atlantic* online, August 12, 2019, https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2019/08/twinfluencers-are-taking-over-internet/595873/.

<sup>205</sup> Irmine Kabimbi-Ngoy, "Meet the Kidfluencers: Brand-Influential Children Flashing Their Videos Across YouTube" (Honours dissertation, Auckland University of Technology, 2019).

<sup>206</sup> Moses and Baldwin, "What Can the Study of Cognitive Development Reveal".
 <sup>207</sup> Abidin, "#familygoals".

<sup>208</sup> Kabimbi-Ngoy, "Meet the Kidfluencers".

<sup>209</sup> CBS News, "Kid influencers: Few rules, big money | Full Documentary". *YouTube*, August 23, 2019, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8XkaSouYTbg&t=488s&ab\_chan nel=CBSNews.

#### Connected or Disconnected?: Parent-Adolescent Relationships and Interactive Technology

#### J. Mitchell Vaterlaus

<sup>1</sup> J. Mitchell Vaterlaus and Dawn Tarabochia, "Adolescent Smartphone Acquisition: An Exploratory Qualitative Case Study with Late Adolescents and Their Parents", *Marriage & Family Review* (2020): 2, doi: 10.1080/01494929.2020.1791302.

<sup>2</sup> John Bynner, "Rethinking the Youth Phase of the Life-Course: The Case for Emerging Adulthood?", *Journal of Youth Studies* 8(4) (December 2005): 380, doi: 10.1080/1367626 0500431628.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid., 368.

<sup>4</sup> Monica Anderson and Jingjing Jiang, "Teens, Social Media, & Technology 2018", *Pew Research Center online*, May 31, 2018, https://www.pewinternet.org/2018/05/31/teens-social-media-technology-2018/.

<sup>5</sup> "Mobile fact sheet", *Pew Research Center online*, June 12, 2019, https://www.pewres earch.org/internet/fact-sheet/mobile/.

<sup>6</sup> "Internet/broadband Fact Sheet", *Pew Research Center online*, June 12, 2019, https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/fact-sheet/internet-broadband/.

<sup>7</sup> "Social Media Fact Sheet", *Pew Research Center online*, June 12, 2019, https://www.pew research.org/internet/fact-sheet/social-media/.

<sup>8</sup> Kathryn Zickuhr and Aaron Smith, "Digital Differences", *Pew Research Center online*, April 13, 2012, https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2012/04/13/digital-differences/.

<sup>9</sup> Kaveri Subrahmanyam and Patricia Greenfield, "Online Communication and Adolescent Relationships", *The Future of Children* (2008): 125, doi: 10.1353/foc.0.0006.

<sup>10</sup> Urie Bronfenbrenner, *The Ecology of Human Development* (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1979); Urie Bronfenbrenner, "Ecological Models of Human Development", in *International Encyclopedia of Education*, ed. Torsten Husen and T. Neville Pstelthwaite (New York: Elsevier Sciences, 1994), 1643-1647.

<sup>11</sup> Susan M. McHale, Aryn Dotterer, and Ji-Yeon Kim, "An Ecological Perspective On the Media and Youth Development", *American Behavioral Scientist* 52(8) (2009): 1194, https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764209331541.

<sup>12</sup> Jennie Lynn Stanley et al., "A Place For Technology In Parent Education: An Exploratory Study of Parent Perceptions", *Marriage & Family Review* 53(8) (2017): 812, doi: 10.1080/

01494929.2017.1359813; J. Mitchell Vaterlaus, Troy E. Beckert, and Sarah Schmitt-Wilson, "Parent–Child Time Together: The Role of Interactive Technology With Adolescent and Young Adult Children", *Journal of Family Issues* 40(15) (June 2019): 2182, doi: 10.1177/0192513X19856644; J. Mitchell Vaterlaus et al., "'They Always Ask What I'm Doing and Who I'm Talking To": Parental Mediation of Adolescent Interactive Technology Use", *Marriage & Family Review* 50(8) (2014): 693, doi: 10.1080/01494929.2014.938795; J. Mitchell Vaterlaus and Sarah Tulane, "The Perceived Influence of Interactive Technology On Marital Relationships", *Contemporary Family Therapy* 41(3) (September 2019): 248, doi: 10.1007/S10591-019-09494-W.

<sup>13</sup> Vaterlaus, Beckert, and Schmitt-Wilson, "Parent-Child Time Together", 2182.

 <sup>14</sup> Harold D. Grotevant and Catherine R. Cooper, "Individuation in Family Relationships", *Human Development* 29(2) (January 1986): 87-88, doi: 10.1159/000273025.
 <sup>15</sup> Sally Vogl-Bauer, "Maintaining Family Relationships", in *Maintaining Relationships Through Communication*, ed. Daniel. J. Canary and Marianne Dainton (Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum, 2003), 45-46.

<sup>16</sup> Virpi Oksman and Jussi Turtiainen, "Mobile Communication as a Social Stage: Meanings of Mobile Communication In Everyday Life Among Teenagers In Finland", *New Media & Society* 6 (3) (June 2004): 325, doi: 10.1177/1461444804042518.

17 Subrahmanyam and Greenfield, "Online Communication", 134

<sup>18</sup> Vaterlaus, Beckert, and Schmitt-Wilson, "Parent–Child Time Together", 2182.
 <sup>19</sup> Ibid., 2187.

<sup>20</sup> Jennifer M. Crosswhite, Denise Rice, and Sylvia M. Asay, "Texting Among United States Young Adults: An Exploratory Study on Texting and its Use Within Families", *The Social Science Journal* 51(1) (2014): 73, doi: 10.1016/j.soscij.2013.10.002.

<sup>21</sup> Subrahmanyam and Greenfield, "Online Communication", 135.

<sup>22</sup> Monica Anderson, "How Parents Talk to Teens About Acceptable Online Behavior", Pew Research Center online, January 7, 2016, https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/ 2016/01/07/how-parents-talk-to-teens-about-acceptable-online-behavior/.

<sup>23</sup> Jennifer Doty and Jodi Dworkin, "Parents' of Adolescents Use of Social Networking Sites", *Computers in Human Behavior* 33 (April 2014): 352, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2013.07.012.

<sup>24</sup> Vaterlaus, Beckert, and Schmitt-Wilson, "Parent–Child Time Together", 2191.
 <sup>25</sup> Ibid., 2189.

<sup>26</sup> Toke Haunstrup Christensen, "'Connected Presence' In Distributed Family Life", *New Media & Society* 11 (3) (2009): 446, doi: 10.1177/1461444808101620; Sarah M. Coyne et al., "A Friend Request From Dear Old Dad: Associations Between Parent-Child Social Networking and Adolescent Outcomes", *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking* 17(1) (2014): 10, doi: 10.1089/cyber.2012.0623; Amy L. Gentzler et al., "College Students' Use of Electronic Communication With Parents: Links To Loneliness, Attachment, and Relationship Quality", *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking* 14,(1-2) (2011): 72, doi: 10.1089/cyber.2009.0409; Laura M. Padilla-Walker, Sarah M. Coyne, and Ashley M. Fraser, "Getting a High-Speed Family Connection: Associations Between Family Media Use and Family Connection", *Family Relations* Vol. 61, No. 3 (July 2012): 426-440, doi: 10.1111/j.1741-3729.2012.00710.x; Meagan A. Ramsey et al., "College Students' Use of Communication Technology With Parents: Comparisons

Between Two Cohorts In 2009 and 2011", Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking 16(10) (2013): 750, doi: 10.1089/cyber.2012.0534.

<sup>27</sup> Sarah M. Coyne et al., "A Friend Request From Dear Old Dad: Associations Between Parent-Child Social Networking and Adolescent Outcomes", Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking 17(1) (2014): 8-13, doi: 10.1089/cyber.2012.0623; Ramsey et al., "College Students' Use", 747-752.

<sup>28</sup> Gentzler et al., "College Students' Use of Electronic Communication", 72.

<sup>29</sup> Ramsey et al., "College Students' Use", 751.

<sup>30</sup> Maggie Kanter, Tamara Afifi, and Stephanie Robbins, "The Impact of Parents 'Friending' Their Young Adult Child On Facebook On Perceptions of Parental Privacy Invasions and Parent-Child Relationship Quality", Journal of Communication 62(5) (2012): 906-911, doi: 10.1111/j.1460-2466.2012.01669.x.

<sup>31</sup> Gentzler et al., "College Students' Use of Electronic Communication", 72.

32 Ramsey et al., "College Students' Use", 753.

<sup>33</sup> Vaterlaus, Beckert, and Schmitt-Wilson, "Parent-Child Time Together", 2192.

<sup>34</sup> Oksman and Turtiainen, "Mobile Communication", 322.

<sup>35</sup> Vaterlaus et al., "'Snapchat is More Personal'", 598.

<sup>36</sup> Ibid.

<sup>37</sup> Subrahmanyam and Greenfield, "Online Communication", 134.

<sup>38</sup> Brandon T. McDaniel and Sarah M. Coyne, "'Technoference': The Interference of Technology in Couple Relationships and Implications for Women's Personal and Relational Well-Being", Psychology of Popular Media Culture 5(1) (2016): 85, doi: 10.10 37/ppm0000065.

<sup>39</sup> J. Mitchell Vaterlaus and Sarah Tulane, "The Perceived Influence of Interactive Technology on Marital Relationships", Contemporary Family Therapy 41(3) (September 2019): 248, doi: 10.1007/S10591-019-09494-W.

<sup>40</sup> Jingjing Jiang, "How Teens and Parents Navigate Screen Time and Device Distractions", Pew Research Center online, August 22, 2018, https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/20 18/08/22/how-teens-and-parents-navigate-screen-time-and-device-dis tractions/.

<sup>41</sup> Lynn Stanley et al., "A Place For Technology", 820.

<sup>42</sup> Kostadin Kushlev and Elizabeth W. Dunn, "Smartphones Distract Parents From Cultivating Feelings of Connection When Spending Time With Their Children", Journal of Social and Personal Relationships 36(6) (2019): 1628, doi: 10.1177/0265407518769387.

<sup>43</sup> Jiang, "How Teens and Parents".

<sup>44</sup> Melanie J. Zimmer-Gembeck and W. Andrew Collins, "Autonomy Development During Adolescence", in Blackwell Handbook of Adolescence, ed. Gerald R. Adams and Michael D. Berzonsky, (Malden, Mass.: Blackwell, 2003), 175.

<sup>45</sup> Harold D. Grotevant and Catherine R. Cooper, "Individuation in Family Relationships", Human Development 29(2) (January 1986): 87-88, doi: 10.1159/000273025.

<sup>46</sup> Urie Bronfenbrenner, The Ecology of Human Development (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1979), 21.

<sup>47</sup> Oksman and Turtiainen, "Mobile Communication", 325; Vaterlaus and Tarabochia, "Adolescent Smartphone Acquisition", 15.

<sup>48</sup> Sarah Tulane, J. Mitchell Vaterlaus, and Troy E. Beckert, "An A in Their Social Lives, but an F In School: Adolescent Perceptions of Texting In School", Youth & Society 49(6) (2017): 720-722, doi: 10.1177/0044118X14559916.

<sup>49</sup> Oksman and Turtiainen, "Mobile Communication", 324.

Turki Alelyani, Stevens Institute of Technology, Arup Kumar Ghosh, Jacksonville State University 366 Notes

<sup>50</sup> Ibid., 325.

<sup>51</sup> Megan A. Moreno et al., "Perspectives on Smartphone Ownership And Use By Early Adolescents", *Journal of Adolescent Health* 64(4) (2019): 439-440, doi: 10.1016/j.jado health.2018.08.017.

<sup>52</sup> Vaterlaus et al., "'They Always Ask What I'm Doing'", 706.

<sup>53</sup> Lynn Stanley et al., "A Place For Technology", 821.

<sup>54</sup> Lynn Schofield Clark, "Digital Media and the Generation Gap: Qualitative Research On US Teens and Their Parents", *Information, Communication & Society* 12(3) (2009): 392-400, doi: 10.1080/13691180902823845; Lee B. Erickson et al., "The Boundaries Between: Parental Involvement In a Teen's Online World", *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* 67(6) (2016): 1397-1398, doi: 10.1002/asi.23450.

<sup>55</sup> Lee B. Erickson et al., "The Boundaries Between: Parental Involvement in a Teen's Online World", *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* 67(6) (2016): 1398, doi: 10.1002/asi.23450.

<sup>56</sup> Ibid., 1397; Anne C. Fletcher and Bethany L. Blair, "Maternal Authority Regarding Early Adolescents' Social Technology Use", *Journal of Family Issues* 35(1) (2014): 168-170, doi: 10.1177/0192513X12467753; Lynn Stanley et al., "A Place For Technology", 821-822.

<sup>57</sup> Lynn Stanley et al., "A Place For Technology", 819.

<sup>58</sup> Vaterlaus et al., "'They Always Ask What I'm Doing'", 708; Vaterlaus, Beckert, and Bird 2015, 355) Vaterlaus, J. Mitchell, Troy E. Beckert, and Clare V. Bird. "At a Certain Age It's Not Appropriate to Monitor One's Child" Perceptions of Parental Mediation of Emerging Adult Interactive Technology Use". *Emerging Adulthood* 3, no. 5 (2015): 355, https://doi.org/10.1177/2167696815581277.

<sup>59</sup> Ibid., 707.

<sup>60</sup> Ibid., 705.

<sup>61</sup> Anderson, "How Parents Talk To Teens".

<sup>62</sup> Vaterlaus, Beckert, and Bird, "'At a Certain Age'", 355.

63 McHale, Dotterer, and Kim, "An Ecological Perspective" 1194.

<sup>64</sup> Oksman and Turtiainen 2004, 325; Vaterlaus and Tarabochia, "Adolescent Smartphone Acquisition", 15.

65 Vaterlaus, Beckert, and Schmitt-Wilson, "Parent-Child Time Together", 2192.

<sup>66</sup> Oksman and Turtiainen, "Mobile Communication", 325.

<sup>67</sup> Vaterlaus et al., "'They Always Ask What I'm Doing'", 706.

<sup>68</sup> Ibid., 705-707.

69 Vaterlaus, Beckert, and Bird, "'At a Certain Age'", 355.

<sup>70</sup> Jiang, "How Teens and Parents".

<sup>71</sup> "How To Make a Family Media Use Plan", American Academy of Pediatrics online, 2016, last updated November 30, 2020 https://www.healthychildren.org/English/family-life/Media/Pages/How-to-Make-a-Family-Media-Use-Plan.aspx.

<sup>72</sup> Vaterlaus et al., "'They Always Ask What I'm Doing'", 708.; Vaterlaus, Beckert, and Bird, "'At a Certain Age'", 355.

<sup>73</sup> Lee B. Erickson et al., "The Boundaries Between: Parental Involvement in a Teen's Online World", *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* 67(6) (2016): 1397, doi: 10.1002/asi.23450; Anne C. Fletcher and Bethany L. Blair, "Maternal Authority Regarding Early Adolescents' Social Technology Use", *Journal of Family Issues* 35(1) (2014): 168-170, doi: 10.1177/0192513X12467753; Lynn Stanley et al., "A Place For Technology", 821-822.

<sup>74</sup> J. Mitchell Vaterlaus et al., "'Snapchat is More Personal': An Exploratory Study on Snapchat Behaviors and Young Adult Interpersonal Relationships", *Computers in Human Behavior* 62 (2016): 598, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2016.04.029.

<sup>75</sup> Oksman and Turtiainen, "Mobile Communication", 322.; Sarah Tulane, J. Mitchell Vaterlaus, and Troy E. Beckert, "'That is So Not True': Adolescent Perspectives of Adult Misconceptions of Teen Text Messaging", in *Technology and Youth: Growing Up in a Digital World*, ed. Sampson Lee Blair, Patricia Neff Claster, and Samuel M. Claster (Bingley, West Yorkshire, England: Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 2015), 373. <sup>76</sup> Ibid., 373.

# Young People and their Engagement with Health-related Social Media: New Perspectives

Victoria A. Goodyear, Kathleen M. Armour, Hannah Wood

<sup>1</sup> Swist et al., "Social Media and the Wellbeing of Children and Young People: A Literature Review", Perth, WA: (Prepared for the Commissioner for Children and Young People, Perth, Western Australia, July 2015).; E. Wartella et al., "Teens, Health, and Technology: A National Survey", *Media and Communication* 4(3) (2016): 13–23, doi: 10.17645/mac.v4i3.515.

<sup>2</sup> J. D. Haussmann et al., "Adolescent and Young Adult Use of Social Media For Health and Its Implications", *Journal of Adolescent Health* 60(6) (March 2017): 714–719, doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2016.12.025; C. Holmberg et al., "Adolescents' Presentations of Food In Social Media: An Explorative Study", *Appetite* 99 (2016): 121–129, doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2016.01.009.

<sup>3</sup> Emily Frith, "Social Media and Children's Mental Health: A Review of The Evidence", Education Policy Institute online, June 2017, https://epi.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/ 2017/06/Social-Media\_Mental-Health\_EPI-Report.pdf; Haussmann et al., "Adolescent and Young Adult", 714–719.

<sup>4</sup> J. M. Shaw et al., "Social Media Used as a Health Intervention In Adolescent Health: A Systematic Review of the Literature", *Digital Health* 1 (June 2015): 1–10, doi: 10.1177/2055207615588395; Amanda Third et al., "Young and Online: Children's Perspectives On Life In the Digital Age" *State of the World's Children: Companion Report*, (Sydney: Western Sydney University & UNICEF, 2017).

<sup>5</sup> Haussmann et al., "Adolescent and Young Adult", 714–719; Third et al., "Young and Online".

<sup>6</sup> Emily Frith, "Social Media and Children's Mental Health: A Review of The Evidence", Education Policy Institute online, June 2017, https://epi.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/ 2017/06/Social-Media\_Mental-Health\_EPI-Report.pdf; E. Wartella et al., "Teens, Health, and Technology: A National Survey", *Media and Communication* 4(3) (2016): 13–23, doi: 10.17645/mac.v4i3.515.

<sup>7</sup> S. Livingstone, G. Mascheroni, and E. Staksrud, "European Research On Children's Internet Use: Assessing the Past and Anticipating the Future", *New Media & Society* 20(3) (January 2017): 1103-1122 doi: 10.1177/1461444816685930; Third et al., "Young and Online".

<sup>8</sup> Shaw et al., "Social Media Used as a Health Intervention"; Third et al., "Young and Online".

<sup>9</sup> G. Mascheroni, A. Jorge, and L. Farrugia, (2014). Media representations and children's discourses on online risks: Findings From Qualitative Research In Nine European Countries", *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Researchon Cyberspace* 8(2) article 2 (2015), doi: 10.5817/CP2014-2-2; Third et al., "Young and Online".

<sup>10</sup> Third et al., "Young and Online".

<sup>11</sup> Haussmann et al., "Adolescent and Young Adult", 714–719. Third et al., "Young and Online".

12 Shaw et al., "Social Media Used as a Health Intervention".

<sup>13</sup> Haussmann et al., "Adolescent and Young Adult", 714–719. J Shaw et al., "Social Media Used as a Health Intervention".

<sup>14</sup> S. Livingstone, G. Mascheroni, and E. Staksrud, "European Research On Children's Internet Use: Assessing the Past and Anticipating the Future", *New Media & Society* 20(3) (January 2017): 1103-1122 doi: 10.1177/1461444816685930; Shaw et al., "Social Media Used as a Health Intervention".

<sup>15</sup>Emily Frith, "Social Media and Children's Mental Health; Shaw et al., "Social Media Used as a Health Intervention".

<sup>16</sup> Haussmann et al., "Adolescent and Young Adult", 714–719.

<sup>17</sup> Third et al., "Young and Online".

<sup>18</sup> Frith, "Social Media and Children's Mental Health"; T. Swist et al., "Social Media and the Wellbeing"; Third et al., "Young and Online".

<sup>19</sup> Carrie James, *Disconnected: Youth, New Media And The Ethics Gap* (London: MIT Press., 2014); G. Mascheroni, A. Jorge, and L. Farrugia, (2014). Media Representations and Children's Discourses on Online Risks: Findings From Qualitative Research In Nine European Countries", *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Researchon Cyberspace* 8(2) article 2 (2015), doi: 10.5817/CP2014-2-2.

<sup>20</sup> James, *Disconnected*; Mascheroni, Jorge, and Farrugia, "Media Representations"; Third et al., "Young and Online".

<sup>21</sup> Emma Rich and Andy Miah, "Understanding Digital Health as Public Pedagogy: A Critical Framework", *Societies* 4(2) (June 2014): 296–315, doi: 10.3390/soc4020296.

<sup>22</sup> Jake Burdick and Jennifer A. Sandlin, "Learning, Becoming, and The Unknowable: Conceptualizations, Mechanisms and Processes in Public Pedagogy Literature", *Curriculum Inquiry* 43(1) (2013): 142–177, doi: 10.1111/curi.12001.

<sup>23</sup> Erik Andersson and Maria Olson, "Political Participation as Public Pedagogy – the Educational Situation in Young People's Political Conversations In Social Media", *Journal of Social Science Education* 13(4) (Winter 2014): 115–126, doi: 10.2390/jsse-v13-i4-1366.

<sup>24</sup> Erik Andersson and Johan Öhman, "Young People's Conversations About Environmental and Sustainability Issues In Social Media", *Environmental Education Research* 23(4) (2017): 465–485, doi: 10.1080/13504622.2016.1149551; A. Reid, "Social Media, Public Pedagogy and the End of Private Learning", in *Handbook of Public Pedagogy*, ed. J. A. Sandlin, B. D. Shultz, and J. Burdick (London: Routledge, 2010), 194-200.

<sup>25</sup> Henri A. Giroux, "Public Pedagogy and the Politics of Neo-Liberalism: Making the Political More Pedagogical", *Policy Futures in Education* 2(4) (September 2004): 494–503, doi: 10.2304/pfie.2004.2.3.5.

<sup>26</sup> Ibid.

<sup>27</sup> Ibid, 62.

<sup>28</sup> Ibid., 498.

<sup>29</sup> Henri A. Giroux, "From "Manchild" To "Baby Boy": Race and the Politics of Self-Help", *JAC* online 22(3): 57.

<sup>30</sup> Ibid, 539.

<sup>31</sup> Jake Burdick and Jennifer A. Sandlin, "Learning, Becoming, and The Unknowable: Conceptualizations, Mechanisms and Processes In Public Pedagogy Literature", *Curriculum Inquiry* 43(1) (2013): 142–177, doi: 10.1111/curi.12001.

<sup>32</sup> Andersson and Olson, "Political Participation as Public Pedagogy"; Andersson and Öhman, "Young People's Conversations"; Reid, "Social Media, Public Pedagogy".

<sup>33</sup> Andersson and Öhman, "Young People's Conversations.

<sup>34</sup> Andersson and Olson, "Political Participation as Public Pedagogy"; Zizi Papacharissi, "On Networked Publics and Private Spheres In Social Media", in *The Social Media Handbook*, ed. J. Husinger and T. Senft (London: Routledge, 2014), 144-158.

<sup>35</sup> Andersson and Olson, "Political Participation as Public Pedagogy"; Papacharissi, "On Networked Publics".

<sup>36</sup> See Jake Burdick and Jennifer A. Sandlin, "Learning, Becoming, and The Unknowable: Conceptualizations, Mechanisms and Processes in Public Pedagogy Literature", *Curriculum Inquiry* 43(1) (2013): 142–177, doi: 10.1111/curi.12001.

<sup>37</sup> G. C. Savage, "Chasing the Phantoms of Public Pedagogy: Political, Popular and Concrete Publics", in *Problematizing Public Pedagogy* (London: Routledge, 2014), 79-90. <sup>38</sup> K. M. Armour and F. C. Chambers, "Sport and Exercise Pedagogy': The Case For a New Integrative Sub-Discipline In the Field of Sport and Exercise Sciences/Kinesiology/Human Movement Sciences", *Sport, Education and Society* 19 (2014): 855–868; Ashley Casey, Victoria A. Goodyear, and Kathleen M. Armour, "Rethinking the Relationship Between Pedagogy, Technology and Learning In Health and Physical Education", *Sport, Education and Society* 22(2) (2017): 288–304, doi: 10.1080/13573322.2016.1226792.

<sup>39</sup> Andersson and Olson, "Political Participation as Public Pedagogy; Savage, "Chasing the Phantoms".

<sup>40</sup> Daniel Miller et al., *How the World Changed Social Media* (London: UCL Press, 2016).

<sup>41</sup> Ibid.

<sup>42</sup> Ibid.

<sup>43</sup> Ibid.

<sup>44</sup> Erving Goffman, *The Presentation of the Self In Everyday Life*. (Garden City, NY: Anchor Books, 1959).

<sup>45</sup> Stine Lomborg, "Social Media as Communicative Genres", *Journal of Media and Communication Research* 27(51): 55-71, doi: 10.7146/mediekultur.v27i51.4012.

<sup>46</sup> Lomborg, "Social Media as Communicative Genres", 57.

<sup>47</sup> Miller et al., *How the World*.

<sup>48</sup> Ibid.

<sup>49</sup> Lomborg, "Social Media as Communicative Genres", 57.

<sup>50</sup> Andrew C. Sparkes and Brett Smith "Ethical Issues in Qualitative Research", in *Qualitative Research Methods In Sport, Exercise and Health: From Process To Product* (London: Routledge, 2013), 206–237.

<sup>51</sup> Victoria A. Goodyear, "Social Media, Apps, and Wearable Technologies: Navigating Ethical Dilemmas and Procedures", *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health* 9(3) (2017): 285–302, doi: 10.1080/2159676X.2017.1303790.

 $^{52}$  "We're always working to update the types of photos and videos you see in Search & Explore to better tailor it to you. Posts are selected automatically based on things like

the people you follow or the posts you like. You may also see video channels, which can include posts from a mixture of hand-picked and automatically sourced accounts based on topics we think you'll enjoy", see "How Are Photos and Videos Chosen For Search and Explore?", in Help, *Instagram*, accessed October 28, 2020, https://help.instagram. com/487224561296752.

<sup>53</sup> Miller et al., *How the World*.

<sup>54</sup> Lomborg, "Social Media as Communicative Genres".

<sup>55</sup> Giroux, "From "Manchild".

<sup>56</sup> Victoria A. Goodyear, C. Kerner, and M. Quennerstedt, "Young People's Uses of Wearable Healthy Lifestyle Technologies: Surveillance, Self-Surveillance and Resistance", *Sport, Education and Society* 24(3) (2017): 212-225, doi: 10.1080/13573322.2017.1375907.

<sup>57</sup> Brett Smith and Kerry R. McGannon, "Developing Rigor In Qualitative Research: Problems and Opportunities Within Sport and Exercise Psychology", *International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology* 11(1) (2017): 101-121, doi: 10.1080/1750984X. 2017.1317357.

<sup>58</sup> Ibid.

<sup>59</sup> For an overview of the characteristics of different types of schools in the UK, see "Types of School", *GOV.UK*, accessed October 23, 2020, https://www.gov.uk/types-of-school.

<sup>60</sup> FitTea online, accessed October 26, 2020, https://www.fittea.com

<sup>61</sup> There are multiple types of Slim Tea, and a specific brand name has not been provided. See Hyleys online, accessed October 26, 2020, https://hyleysteaonline.com/teas/slim-tea/.

62 Giroux, "From "Manchild".

<sup>63</sup> Frith, "Social Media and Children's Mental Health; Shaw et al., "Social Media Used as a Health Intervention".

<sup>64</sup> Andersson and Olson, "Political Participation as Public Pedagogy; Savage, "Chasing the Phantoms".

<sup>65</sup> Miller et al., *How the World*.

<sup>66</sup> Henri A. Giroux, "Public Pedagogy and the Politics of Neo-Liberalism: Making the Political More Pedagogical", *Policy Futures in Education* 2(4) (September 2004): 494–503, doi: 10.2304/pfie.2004.2.3.5.

67 Giroux, "From "Manchild".

<sup>68</sup> K. M. Armour and F. C. Chambers, "Sport and Exercise Pedagogy':. The Case For a New Integrative Sub-Discipline In the Field of Sport and Exercise Sciences/Kinesiology/Human Movement Sciences", *Sport, Education and Society* 19 (2014): 855–868; Ashley Casey, Victoria A. Goodyear, and Kathleen M. Armour, "Rethinking the Relationship Between Pedagogy, Technology and Learning In Health and Physical Education", *Sport, Education and Society* 22(2) (2017): 288–304, doi: 10.1080/13573322.2016.1226792.

<sup>69</sup> Andersson and Öhman, "Young People's Conversations".

<sup>70</sup> Miller et al., *How the World*.

71 Ibid.

<sup>72</sup> M. Shaw et al., "Social Media Used as a Health Intervention In Adolescent Health: A Systematic Review of the Literature", *Digital Health* 1 (June 2015): 1–10, doi: 10.1177/2055207615588395.

73 Giroux, "Public Pedagogy".

<sup>74</sup> Andersson and Öhman, "Young People's Conversations"; Jake Burdick and Jennifer A. Sandlin, "Learning, Becoming, and The Unknowable: Conceptualizations, Mechanisms and Processes In Public Pedagogy Literature", *Curriculum Inquiry* 43(1) (2013): 142–177, doi: 10.1111/curi.12001; Emma Rich and Andy Miah, "Understanding Digital Health as Public Pedagogy: A Critical Framework", *Societies* 4(2) (June 2014): 296–315, doi: 10.3390/soc4020296.

<sup>75</sup> Deana Leahy et al., *School Health Education In Changing Times: Curriculum, Pedagogies and Partnerships* (London: Routledge, 2016); Darren Powell and Katie Fitzpatrick, "Getting Fit Basically Just Means, Like, Nonfat': Children's Lessons In Fitness and Fatness", *Sport, Education and Society* 20(4): 1-22, doi: 10.1080/13573322.2013.77 7661.

<sup>76</sup> Louise McCuaig, M. Quennerstedt, and D. Macdonald, "A Salutogenic, Strengths-Based Approach As a Theory To Guide HPE Curriculum Change", *Asia-Pacific Journal of Health, Sport and Physical Education* 4(2) (2013): 109–125, doi: 10.1080/18377122.2013. 801105.

77 Giroux, "Public Pedagogy", 66.

<sup>78</sup> Ibid.

<sup>79</sup> Leahy et al., *School Health Education*; McCuaig and Quennerstedt, "Health By Stealth".
<sup>80</sup> Emma Rich and Andy Miah, "Understanding Digital Health as Public Pedagogy: A Critical Framework", *Societies* 4(2) (June 2014): 296–315, doi: 10.3390/soc4020296.

<sup>81</sup> Andersson and Öhman, "Young People's Conversations".

<sup>82</sup> McCuaig and Quennerstedt, "Health By Stealth".

<sup>83</sup> Ibid.

<sup>84</sup> Giroux, "From "Manchild"".

<sup>85</sup> Savage, "Chasing the Phantoms".

#### Smartphones, Social Media Use, and Youth Mental Health

Elia Abi-Jaoude, Karline Treurnicht Naylor, Antonio Pignatiello

<sup>1</sup> A. Boak, H. A. Hamilton, E. M. Adlaf, et al., *The Mental Health and Well-Being of Ontario Students, 1991–2017: Detailed Findings from the Ontario Student Drug Use and Health Survey (OSDUHS),* CAMH Research Document Series No. 47 (Toronto: Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, 2018).

<sup>2</sup> *Care for Children and Youth with Mental Disorders* [report] (Ottawa: Canadian Institute for Health Information, 2015).

<sup>3</sup> "Intentional Self-Harm Among Youth in Canada" [information sheet] (Ottawa: Canadian Institute for Health Information 2014).

<sup>4</sup> Leading Causes of Death in Canada (Ottawa: Statistics Canada; modified 2015 Nov. 30).

<sup>5</sup> K. Georgiades et al., "Prevalence and Correlates of Youth Suicidal Ideation and Attempts: Evidence from the 2014 Ontario Child Health Study", *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry* 64(4) (2019): 265-274.

<sup>6</sup> G. Plemmons et al., "Hospitalization for Suicide Ideation or Attempt: 2008-2015", *Pediatrics* 141(6) (2018): e20172426.

<sup>7</sup> H. A. Spiller et al., "Sex- and Age-Specific Increases in Suicide Attempts by Self-Poisoning in the United States Among Youth and Young Adults from 2000 to 2018", *Journal of Pediatrics* 210 (2019): 201-208.

<sup>8</sup> K. M. Keyes et al., "Recent Increases in Depressive Symptoms Among US Adolescents: Trends From 1991 To 2018", *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 54(8) (2019): 987-996.

<sup>9</sup> JM. Twenge et al., "Age, Period, and Cohort Trends in Mood Disorder Indicators and Suicide-Related Outcomes in a Nationally Representative Dataset, 2005–2017", *Journal of Abnormal Psychology* 128(3) (2019): 185-199.

<sup>10</sup> V. Rideout and M. B. Robb, *Social Media, Social Life: Teens Reveal Their Experiences* (San Francisco: Common Sense Media, 2018).

<sup>11</sup> L. Vernon, K. L. Modecki, and B. L. Barber, "Mobile Phones in the Bedroom: Trajectories of Sleep Habits and Subsequent Adolescent Psychosocial Development", *Child Development* 89 (2018): 66-77.

<sup>12</sup> H.-T. G. Chou, N. Edge, "'They are Happier and Having Better Lives than I Am': The Impact of Using Facebook on Perceptions of Others' Lives", *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking* 15(2) (2012): 117-121.

<sup>13</sup> H. Krasnova et al., "Why Following Friends Can Hurt You: An Exploratory Investigation of the Effects of Envy on Social Networking Sites Among College-Age Users", *Information Systems Research* 26(3) (2015): 585-605, doi: 10.1287/isre.2015.0588.

<sup>14</sup> A. K. Przybylski et al., "Motivational, Emotional, and Behavioral Correlates of Fear of Missing Out", *Computers in Human Behavior* 29(4) (2013): 1841-1848, doi: 10.1016/j. chb.2013.02.014.

<sup>15</sup> I. Beyens, E. Frison, and S. Eggermont, "'I Don't Want to Miss A Thing': Adolescents' Fear of Missing Out and Its Relationship to Adolescents' Social Needs, Facebook Use, and Facebook Related Stress", *Computers in Human Behavior* 64 (2016): 1-8.

<sup>16</sup> G. Holland and M. Tiggemann, "A Systematic Review of The Impact of The Use of Social Networking Sites on Body Image and Disordered Eating Outcomes", *Body Image* 17 (2016): 100-110.

<sup>17</sup> J. Fardouly et al., "Social Comparisons on Social Media: The Impact of Facebook On Young Women's Body Image Concerns and Mood", *Body Image* 13 (2015): 38-45.

<sup>18</sup> H. Sampasa-Kanyinga, P. Roumeliotis, and H. Xu, "Associations between Cyberbullying and School Bullying Victimization and Suicidal Ideation, Plans and Attempts among Canadian Schoolchildren", *PLoS One* 9(7) (2014): e102145.

<sup>19</sup> H. B. Shakya, N. A. Christakis, "Association of Facebook Use with Compromised Well-Being: A Longitudinal Study", *American Journal of Epidemiology* 185(3) (2017): 203-211.

<sup>20</sup> I. Basen, "You Can't Stop Checking Your Phone Because Silicon Valley Designed It That Way", *CBC Radio* online, Sept. 14, 2018, www.cbc.ca/radio/thesundayedition /the-sunday-edition-september-16-2018-1.4822353/you-can-t-stop-checking-your-phone-bec ause-silicon-valley-designed-it-that-way-1.4822360.

<sup>21</sup> V. Smart and T. Grundig, ""We're Designing Minds": Industry Insider Reveals Secrets of Addictive App Trade", *CBC Marketplace* online, Nov. 3, 2017, www.cbc.ca/news/te chnology/marketplace-phones-1.4384876.

<sup>22</sup> J. M. Matar Boumosleh, D. Jaalouk "Depression, Anxiety, And Smartphone Addiction in University Students — A Cross Sectional Study", *PLoS One* 12(8) (2017): e0182239.

<sup>23</sup> S-S. Cha, B-K. Seo, "Smartphone Use and Smartphone Addiction In Middle School Students In Korea: Prevalence, Social Networking Service, and Game Use", *Health Psychology Open* 5(1) (2018): 2055102918755046.

<sup>24</sup> A. Marchant et al., "A Systematic Review of The Relationship Between Internet Use, Self-Harm and Suicidal Behaviour in Young People: The Good, The Bad and The Unknown" [published erratum in *PLoS One*, 13, 3 (2018): e0193937], *PLoS One* 129(8) (2017): e0181722.

<sup>25</sup> E. Aboujaoude, "Problematic Internet Use: An Overview", World Psychiatry 9(2) (2010): 85-90.

<sup>26</sup> Marchant, Hawton, Stewart, et al., "A Systematic Review of the Relationship".

<sup>27</sup> P-Y. Pan, C-B. Yeh, "Internet Addiction Among Adolescents May Predict Self-Harm/Suicidal Behavior: A Prospective Study", *Journal of Pediatrics* 197 (2018): 262-267.
 <sup>28</sup> Q. Chen and Z. Yan, "Corrigendum to 'Does Multitasking with Mobile Phones Affect Learning? A Review.'", *Computers in Human Behavior* 64 (2016): 938, doi: 10.1016/j.chb .2016.07.023.

<sup>29</sup> W. A. van der Schuur et al., "The Consequences of Media Multitasking for Youth: A Review", *Computers in Human Behavior* 53 (2015): 204-215, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2015.06. 035.

<sup>30</sup> C. K. Ra et al., "Association of Digital Media Use with Subsequent Symptoms of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Among Adolescents", *Journal of the American Medical Association* 320(3) (2018): 255-263.

<sup>31</sup> S. P. Lewis et al., "Helpful or Harmful? An Examination of Viewers' Responses to Nonsuicidal Self-Injury Videos on YouTube", *Journal of Adolescent Health* 51(4) (2012): 380-385.

<sup>32</sup> S. P. Lewis et al., "The Scope of Nonsuicidal Self-Injury on YouTube", *Pediatrics* 127(3) (2011): e552-7.

<sup>33</sup> M. P. Dyson et al., "A Systematic Review of Social Media Use to Discuss and View Deliberate Self-Harm Acts", *PLoS One* 11(5) (2016): e0155813.

<sup>34</sup> J. M. Twenge, G. N. Martin, and W. K. Campbell, "Decreases in Psychological Well-Being Among American Adolescents After 2012 and Links to Screen Time During the Rise of Smartphone Technology", *Emotion* 18(6) (2018): 765-780.

<sup>35</sup> J. M. Twenge et al., "Increases in Depressive Symptoms, Suicide-Related Outcomes, and Suicide Rates Among U.S. Adolescents After 2010 and Links to Increased New Media Screen Time", *Clinical Psychological Science* 6(3) (2018): 3-17, doi: 10.1177/2167702617723376.; Krasnova et al., "Why Following Friends Can Hurt You".

<sup>36</sup> V. Chotpitayasunondh and K. M. Douglas, "How "Phubbing" Becomes the Norm: The Antecedents and Consequences of Snubbing Via Smartphone", *Computers in Human Behavior* 63 (2016): 9-18, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2016.05.018.

<sup>37</sup> R. J. Dwyer, K. Kushlev, E. W. Dunn, "Smartphone Use Undermines Enjoyment of Face-To-Face Social Interactions", *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*78 (2018): 233-239, doi: 10.1016/j.jesp.2017.10.007.

<sup>38</sup> Dwyer, Kushlev, Dunn, "Smartphone use undermines enjoyment".

<sup>39</sup> J. M. Twenge, Z. Krizan, and G. Hisler, "Decreases in Self-Reported Sleep Duration Among U.S. Adolescents 2009–2015 And Association with New Media Screen Time", *Sleep Medicine* 39 (2017): 47-53.

<sup>40</sup> Ibid.

<sup>41</sup> H. Sampasa-Kanyinga, H. A. Hamilton, and J-P. Chaput, "Use of Social Media Is Associated with Short Sleep Duration in A Dose-Response Manner in Students Aged 11 To 20 Years", *Acta Paediatrica* 107(36-46) (2018): 694-700. <sup>42</sup> A-M. Chang et al., "Evening Use of Light-Emitting eReaders Negatively Affects Sleep, Circadian Timing, And Next-Morning Alertness", *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences U S A* 112(4) (2015): 1232-1237.

<sup>43</sup> B. Carter et al., "Association Between Portable Screen-Based Media Device Access or Use and Sleep Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis", *JAMA Pediatrics* 170(12) (2016): 1202-1208.

<sup>44</sup> Ibid.

<sup>45</sup> Vernon, Modecki, Barber, "Mobile Phones in the Bedroom".

<sup>46</sup> S. Hökby et al., "Are Mental Health Effects of Internet Use Attributable to the Web-Based Content or Perceived Consequences of Usage? A Longitudinal Study of European Adolescents", *JMIR Mental Health* 3 (3) (2016): e31.

<sup>47</sup> Rideout and Robb, *Social Media, Social Life*; Twenge et al., "Increases in Depressive Symptoms".

<sup>48</sup> Georgiades et al., "Prevalence and Correlates of Youth Suicidal Ideation"; Plemmons et al., "Hospitalization for Suicide Ideation or Attempt"; Spiller et al., "Sex- and Age-specific Increases in Suicide Attempts"; Keyes et al., "Recent Increases in Depressive Symptoms"; Twenge et al., "Age, Period, and Cohort Trends in Mood Disorder Indicators".

<sup>49</sup> E. Frison and S. Eggermont, "Exploring the Relationships Between Different Types of Facebook Use, Perceived Online Social Support, and Adolescents' Depressed Mood", *Social Science Computer Review* 34(2) (2016): 153-171, doi: 10.1177/0894439314567449.

<sup>50</sup> E. M. Seabrook, M. L. Kern, and N. S. Rickard, "Social Networking Sites, Depression, and Anxiety: A Systematic Review", *JMIR Mental Health* 3(4) (2016): e50.

<sup>51</sup> Ibid.

<sup>52</sup> Twenge et al., "Increases in Depressive Symptoms".

<sup>53</sup> A. Shensa et al., "Real-Life Closeness of Social Media Contacts and Depressive Symptoms Among University Students", *Journal of American College Health* 66(8) (2018): 747-753.

<sup>54</sup> Twenge, Martin, and Campbell, "Decreases in Psychological Well-Being".

<sup>55</sup> Lewis et al., "Helpful or Harmful?"; Lewis et al., "The Scope of Nonsuicidal Self-Injury on YouTube".

<sup>56</sup> E. A. Vogel et al., "Who Compares and Despairs? The Effect of Social Comparison Orientation on Social Media Use and Its Outcomes", *Personality and Individual Differences* 86 (2015): 249-256, doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2015.06.026.

<sup>57</sup> A. L. Burrow and N. Rainone, "How Many *Likes* Did I Get?: Purpose Moderates Links Between Positive Social Media Feedback and Self-Esteem", *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 69 (2017): 232-236, doi: 10.1016/j.jesp.2016.09.005.

<sup>58</sup> S. Y. Park and Y. M. Baek, "Two Faces of Social Comparison on Facebook: The Interplay Between Social Comparison Orientation, Emotions, and Psychological Well-Being", *Computers in Human Behavior* 79 (2018): 83-93, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2017. 10.028.

<sup>59</sup> B. Kim and K. White, "How Can Health Professionals Enhance Interpersonal Communication With Adolescents and Young Adults to Improve Health Care Outcomes?: Systematic Literature Review", *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth* 23(2) (2018): 198-218, doi: 10.1080/02673843.2017.1330696.

<sup>60</sup> Twenge et al., "Increases in Depressive Symptoms"; Sampasa-Kanyinga, Hamilton, and Chaput, "Use of Social Media is Associated with Short Sleep Duration".

<sup>61</sup> L. Chen and J. Shi, "Reducing Harm from Media: A Meta-Analysis of Parental Mediation", *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly* 96 (2019): 173-193, doi: 10.1177/1077699018754908.

<sup>62</sup> K. Kushlev and E. W. Dunn, "Smartphones Distract Parents from Cultivating Feelings of Connection When Spending Time with Their Children", *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships* 36(6) (2019): 1619-1639, doi: 10.1177/0265407518769387.

<sup>63</sup> J. S. Mendoza et al., "The Effect of Cellphones on Attention and Learning: The Influences of Time, Distraction, and Nomophobia", *Computers in Human Behavior* 86 (2018): 52-60, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2018.04.027.

<sup>64</sup> A. F. Ward et al., "Brain Drain: The Mere Presence of One's Own Smartphone Reduces Available Cognitive Capacity", *Journal of the Association for Consumer Research* 2(2) (2017): 140-154, doi: 10.1086/691462.

 <sup>65</sup> C. B. Burnette, M. A. Kwitowski, and S. E. Mazzeo, "'I Don't Need People to Tell Me I'm Pretty on Social Media': A Qualitative Study of Social Media and Body Image in Early Adolescent Girls", *Body Image* 23 (2017): 114-125.
 <sup>66</sup> Ibid.

<sup>67</sup> E. Weinstein, "Adolescents' Differential Responses to Social Media Browsing: Exploring Causes and Consequences for Intervention", *Computers in Human Behavior* 76 (2017): 396-405, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2017.07.038.

<sup>68</sup> "Children and Media Tips from the American Academy of Pediatrics", American Academy of Pediatrics online, accessed October 15, 2019, www.aap.org/en-us/about-the-aap/aap-press-room/news-features-and-safety-tips/Pages/Children-and-Media-Tips.aspx.

<sup>69</sup> "Family Media Use Plan", American Academy of Pediatrics online, accessed October 19, 2019, www.healthychildren.org/English/media/Pages/default.aspx.

<sup>70</sup> "Family Media Toolkit: Age-Based Guidelines for Children's Media and Device Use", *Common Sense Media* online, accessed October 19, 2019, www.commonsensemedia. org/AAPtoolkit.

<sup>71</sup> C. C. Cushing et al., "Meta-Analysis of Motivational Interviewing for Adolescent Health Behavior: Efficacy Beyond Substance Use", *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 82(6) (2014): 1212-1218.

<sup>72</sup> M. Tromholt, "The Facebook Experiment: Quitting Facebook Leads to Higher Levels of Well-Being", *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking* 19((11) (2016): 661-6.

<sup>73</sup> L. Strieter et al., "The Importance of School-based Healthy Living Initiatives: Introducing the Health and Wellness Academy Concept", *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases* 62(1) (2019): 68-73.

<sup>74</sup> D. S. Yeager, R. E. Dahl, and C. S. Dweck, "Why Interventions to Influence Adolescent Behavior Often Fail but Could Succeed", *Perspectives on Psychological Science* 13(1) (2018): 101-122.

<sup>75</sup> L-P. Beland, R. Murphy, "Ill Communication: Technology, Distraction & Student Performance", *Labour Economics* 41 (2016): 61-76, doi: 10.1016/j.labeco.2016.04.004.

<sup>76</sup> A. S. Charles, "Chapter Eleven: 'There's a Relationship': Negotiating Cell Phone Use in the High School Classroom", in *Researching New Literacies: Design, Theory, and Data in Sociocultural Investigation*, ed. M. Knobel and C. Lankshear (Bern, SZ: Peter Lang International Academic Publishers, 2017), www.peterlang.com/view/9781433138331/ xhtml/chapter11.xhtml; N. T. Tatum, M. K. Olson, and T. K. Frey, "Noncompliance And

Dissent With Cell Phone Policies: A Psychological Reactance Theoretical Perspective", *Communication Education* 67(2) (2018): 226-244, doi: 10.1080/03634523.2017.1417615. <sup>77</sup> Marchant et al., "A Systematic Review of the Relationship".

<sup>78</sup> K. Van Royen, K. Poels, and H. Vandebosch, "Harmonizing Freedom and Protection: Adolescents' Voices on Automatic Monitoring of Social Networking Sites", *Children and Youth Services Review* 64 (2016): 35-41, doi: 10.1016/j.childyouth. 2016.02.024.

<sup>79</sup> S. Kale, "Logged Off: Meet the Teens Who Refuse to Use Social Media", *The Guardian* online, August 29, 2018, www.theguardian.com/society/2018/aug/29/teens-desert-social-media.

<sup>80</sup> J. Jiang "How Teens and Parents Navigate Screen Time and Device Distractions", *Pew Research Center* online, August 22,2018, www.pewinternet.org/2018/08/22/how-teens-and-parents-navigate-screen-time-and-device-distractions/.

<sup>81</sup> "World Mental Health Day: 10 October 2019", Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) accessed October 14, 2019, www.ohchr.org/ EN/NewsEvents/Pages/DisplayNews.aspx?NewsID=25117&LangID=E.

# Examining Parent versus Child Reviews of Parental Control Apps on Google Play

# Turki Alelyani, Arup Kumar Ghosh, Larry Moralez, Shion Guha, Pamela Wisniewski

Authors note: No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors. This work was supported by Wellcome Trust [grant number 201601/Z/16/Z].

<sup>1</sup> L. Blackwell, E. Gardiner, and S. Schoenebeck, "Managing Expectations: Technology Tensions Among Parents and Teens", Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing (ACM, New York, NY, 2016a), 1390-1401.

<sup>2</sup> d. boyd, *It's Complicated: The Social Lives of Networked Teens* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2014).

<sup>3</sup> M. Anderson, "Parents, Teens and Digital Monitoring", *Pew Research Center* online, January 7, 2016, http://www.pewinternet.org/2016/01/07/parents-teens-and-digital-monitoring/.

<sup>4</sup> D. Baumrind, "A Developmental Perspective on Adolescent Risk Taking in Contemporary America", *New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development* (1987): 93-125. doi:10.1002/cd.23219873706.

<sup>5</sup> Anderson: "Parents, Teens and Digital Monitoring".

<sup>6</sup> P. Wisniewski et al., "Parental Control vs. Teen Self-Regulation: Is There a Middle Ground for Mobile Online Safety?" Proceedings of the 20th ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing (ACM, Portland, OR, 2017). <sup>7</sup> A.K. Ghosh et al., "Safety vs. Surveillance: What Children Have to Say about Mobile Apps for Parental Control", Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (ACM, New York, NY, 2018).

<sup>8</sup> Z. Ashktorab and J. Vitak, "Designing Cyberbullying Mitigation and Prevention Solutions Through Participatory Design with Teenagers", Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (ACM, New York, NY, 2016), 3895-3905; Y. Hashish, A. Bunt, and J.E. Young, "Involving Children in Content Control: A Collaborative and Education-Oriented Content Filtering Approach", Proceedings of the 32nd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (ACM, New York, NY, 2014), 1797-1806; P. Wisniewski et al., "Resilience Mitigates the Negative Effects of Adolescent Internet Addiction and Online Risk Exposure", Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (ACM, New York, NY, 2015), 4029-4038; P. Wisniewski et al., "Dear Diary: Teens Reflect on Their Weekly Online Risk Experiences", Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (ACM, New York, NY, 2016), 3919-3930; S. Yardi and A. Bruckman, "Social and Technical Challenges in Parenting Teens' Social Media Use", Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (ACM, New York, NY, 2011), 3237-3246; S. Yardi and A. Bruckman, "Income, Race, and Class: Exploring Socioeconomic Differences in Family Technology Use", Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (ACM, New York, NY, 2012), 3041-3050.

<sup>9</sup> Blackwell, Gardiner, and Schoenebeck, "Managing Expectations"; A. Hiniker, S.Y. Schoenebeck, and J.A. Kientz, "Not at the Dinner Table: Parents' and Children's Perspectives on Family Technology Rules", Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing (ACM, New York, NY, 2016), 1376-1389.

<sup>10</sup> L.F. Cranor et al., "Parents' and Teens' Perspectives on Privacy in a Technology-Filled World", Proceedings of the Tenth Symposium On Usable Privacy and Security (USENIX, Menlo Park, CA, 2014); S. Livingstone, "Taking Risky Opportunities in Youthful Content Creation: Teenagers' Use of Social Networking Sites for Intimacy, Privacy, and Self-Expression", *New Media & Society* 10 (2008): 393-411.

<sup>11</sup> H. Nissenbaum, "Privacy as Contextual Integrity", *Washington Law Review* 79 (2004): 101-139; S. S. Petronio, *Boundaries of Privacy: Dialects of Disclosure* (New York: SUNY Press, 2002).

<sup>12</sup> Yardi, Bruckman, "Social and Technical Challenges".

<sup>13</sup> Blackwell, Gardiner, Schoenebeck, "Managing Expectations".

<sup>14</sup> B. Zaman, M. Nouwen, "Parental Controls: Advice for Parents, Researchers and Industry" in EU Kids Online, *The London School of Economics and Political Science* online, 2016, http://www.lse.ac.uk/media@lse/research/EUKidsOnline/Home.aspx.

<sup>15</sup> Wisniewski et al., "Parental Control vs. Teen Self-Regulation".

<sup>16</sup> Ghosh et al., "Safety vs. Surveillance".

<sup>17</sup> A. Czeskis et al., "Parenting from the Pocket: Value Tensions and Technical Directions for Secure and Private Parent-teen Mobile Safety", Proceedings of the Sixth Symposium on Usable Privacy and Security (ACM, New York, NY, 2010), 15:1-15:15.

<sup>18</sup> A.K. Ghosh et al., "A Matter of Control or Safety? Examining Parental Use of Technical Monitoring Apps on Teens' Mobile Devices", Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (ACM, New York, NY, 2018).

<sup>19</sup> Ghosh et al., "Safety vs. Surveillance"; Hashish, Bunt, Young, "Involving Children in Content Control"; G. Amato et al., "Detection of Images with Adult Content for Parental Control on Mobile Devices?", Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Mobile Technology, Application & Systems (ACM, New York, NY, 2009), 35:1-35:5; M. Ko et al., "FamiLync: Facilitating Participatory Parental Mediation of Adolescents' Smartphone Use", Proceedings of the 2015 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing (ACM, New York, NY, 2015), 867-878. <sup>20</sup> Ghosh et al., "Safety vs. Surveillance". <sup>21</sup> S. Kim et al., "A Hierarchical Aspect-sentiment Model for Online Reviews", Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI Press, Bellevue, Washington, 2013), 526-533. R. Mason et al., "Microsummarization of Online Reviews: An Experimental Study", Proceedings of the Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI Press, Phoenix, Arizona, 2016), 3015-3021; L. Michael and J. Otterbacher, "Write Like I Write: Herding in the Language of Online Reviews", Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Weblogs and Social Media, ICWSM 2014 (Ann Arbor, Michigan, 2014), 356-365; J. Wang et al., "Leveraging Product Adopter Information from Online Reviews for Product Recommendation", Ninth International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media (AAAI Publications, Oxford, UK, 2015).

<sup>22</sup> Y. Ida, T. Nakamura, and T. Matsumoto, "Domain-Dependent/Independent Topic Switching Model for Online Reviews with Numerical Ratings", Proceedings of the 22Nd ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management (ACM, New York, NY, 2013), 229-238; W.X. Zhao et al., "Mining Product Adopter Information from Online Reviews for Improving Product Recommendation", *ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data* 10(3) (2016), 29:1-29:23, doi:10.1145/2842629; S. Moghaddam, M. Jamali, and M. Ester, "Review Recommendation: Personalized Prediction of the Quality of Online Reviews", Proceedings of the 20th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (ACM, New York, NY, 2011), 2249-2252.

<sup>23</sup> G. Jawecki, and J. Fuller, "How to Use the Innovative Potential of Online Communities? Netnography — An Unobtrusive Research Method to Absorb the Knowledge and Creativity of Online Communities", *International Journal of Business Process Integration and Management* 3(4) (2008): 248-255, doi:10.1504/IJBPIM.2008.024982; N. Korfiatis, E. García-Bariocanal, and S. Sánchez-Alonso, "Evaluating Content Quality and Helpfulness of Online Product Reviews: The Interplay of Review Helpfulness Vs. Review Content", *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications* 11(3) (2012): 205-217, doi:10.1016/ j.elerap.2011.10.003; R. Vasa et al., "A Preliminary Analysis of Mobile App User Reviews", Proceedings of the 24th Australian Computer-Human Interaction Conference (ACM, New York, NY, 2012), 241-244.

<sup>24</sup> W. Duan, B. Gu, and A.B. Whinston, "Do Online Reviews Matter? — An Empirical Investigation of Panel Data", *Decision Support Systems* 45(4) (2008): 1007-1016, doi:10.1016/j.dss.2008.04.001.

<sup>25</sup> D.A. Epstein et al., "Examining Menstrual Tracking to Inform the Design of Personal Informatics Tools", Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (ACM, New York, NY, 2017), 6876-6888.

<sup>26</sup> Wang et al., "Leveraging Product Adopter".

<sup>27</sup> B. Fu et al., "Why People Hate Your App: Making Sense of User Feedback in a Mobile App Store", Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (ACM, New York, NY, 2013), 1276-1284.

<sup>28</sup> Jawecki, Fuller, "How to Use the Innovative Potential"; Korfiatis, García-Bariocanal, Sánchez-Alonso, "Evaluating Content Quality"; Vasa et al., "A Preliminary Analysis".

<sup>29</sup> "Get Heedzy Free", Heedzy, www.heedzy.com/feedback.

<sup>30</sup> "Natural Language Toolkit", NTLK 3.5 documentation, www.nltk.org.

<sup>31</sup> McCallum, A.K., MALLET: A Machine Learning for Language Toolkit, (2002).

32 Ghosh et al., "Safety vs. Surveillance".

<sup>33</sup> I. Guyon and A. Elisseeff, "An Introduction to Feature Extraction", in *Feature Extraction: Foundations and Applications* (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2006), 1-25. <sup>34</sup> P.F. Brown et al., "Class-Based N-Gram Models of Natural Language", *Computational Linguistics* 18(4) (1992): 467-480.

<sup>35</sup> D.M. Blei, A. Ng, and M. Jordan, "Latent Dirichlet Allocation", *Journal of Machine Learning* 3 (2003): 993-1022.

<sup>36</sup> S. Guha, E. P. S. Baumer, and G. K. Gay, "Regrets, I've Had a Few: When Regretful Experiences Do (and Don't) Compel Users to Leave Facebook", Proceedings of the 2018 ACM Conference on Supporting Groupwork (ACM, New York, NY, 2018), 166-177; M. Muller et al., "Machine Learning and Grounded Theory Method: Convergence, Divergence, and Combination", Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Supporting Group Work (ACM, New York, NY, 2016), 3-8; E. P. S. Baumer et al., "Comparing Grounded Theory and Topic Modeling: Extreme Divergence or Unlikely Convergence?", *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology* 68(6) (2017): 1397-1410, doi:10.1002/asi.23786.

<sup>37</sup> Baumer et al., "Comparing Grounded Theory and Topic Modeling: Extreme Divergence"; Wisniewski et al., "Parental Control vs. Teen Self-Regulation".

<sup>38</sup> T. Alelyani, K. Mao, and Y. Yang, "Context-Centric Pricing: Early Pricing Models for Software Crowdsourcing Tasks", Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Predictive Models and Data Analytics in Software Engineering (ACM, New York, NY, 2017), 63-72; J.H. Friedman, "On Bias, Variance, 0/1-Loss, and the Curse-of-Dimensionality", *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery* 1 (1997): 55-77, doi:10.1023/A:1009778005914; N. Friedman, D. Geiger, and M. Goldszmidt, "Bayesian Network Classifiers", *Machine Learning* 29 (1997): 131-163, doi:10.1023/A:1007465528199.

<sup>39</sup> Friedman, Geiger, Goldszmidt, "Bayesian Network Classifiers".

<sup>40</sup> Alelyani, Mao, Yang, "Context-Centric Pricing".

<sup>41</sup> A. Ciurumelea et al., "Analyzing Reviews and Code of Mobile Apps for Better Release Planning", 2017 IEEE 24th International Conference on Software Analysis, Evolution and Reengineering (SANER) (Antwerp, Belgium, 2017), 91-102.

<sup>42</sup> Ciurumelea et al., "Analyzing Reviews".

#### Young People's Understandings of Social Media Data

#### Luci Pangrazio, Neil Selwyn

<sup>1</sup> H. Kennedy and G. Moss, "Known or Knowing Publics? Social Media Data Mining and The Question of Public Agency", *Big Data & Society* 2(2) (2015): 1–11.

<sup>2</sup> A. Helmond, "The Platformatization of the Web: Making Web Data Platform Ready", *Social Media & Society*, 1, 2 (2015): 1–11; S. Papathanassopoulos, "Privacy 2.0", *Social Media & Society* 1(1) (2015): 1-2, doi:10.1177/2056305115578141; L. R. Shade and R. Singh, "'Honestly, We're Not Spying on Kids': School Surveillance of Young People's Social Media", *Social Media & Society* 2(4) (2016): 1–12.

<sup>3</sup> S. Peacock, "How Web Tracking Changes User Agency in The Age of Big Data: The Used User", *Big Data & Society* (October 2015): 1–11.

<sup>4</sup> J. Pybus, M. Cote, and T. Blanke, "Hacking the Social Life of Big Data", *Big Data & Society* 2(2) (2015): 4, doi:10.1177/2053951715616649.

<sup>5</sup> J. Gregory and G. Bowker, "The Data Citizen, the Quantified Self and Personal Genomics" in *Quantified: Biosensing Technologies in Everyday Life*, ed. D. Nafus (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2014), 221-225.

<sup>6</sup> G. Donovan, *My Digital Footprint.ORG: Young People and the Proprietary Ecology of Everyday Data* (doctoral dissertation, Faculty of Psychology, City University of New York, 2013); Pybus et al., "Hacking the Social Life of Big Data".

<sup>7</sup> B. Custers, "Click Here to Consent Forever: Expiry Dates for Informed Consent", *Big Data & Society* 3(1) (2016): 3.

<sup>8</sup> Ibid., 2.

<sup>9</sup> T. Matzner et al., "Do-It-Yourself Data Protection: Empowerment or Burden?", in *Data Protection on the Move: Current Developments in ICT and Privacy/Data Protection*, eds. S. Gutwirth, R. Leenes, and P. De Hert (Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer, 2016), 277-305.

<sup>10</sup> A. Marwick, C. Fontaine, and d. boyd, "'Nobody Sees It, Nobody Gets Mad': Social Media, Privacy and Personal Responsibility among Low-SES Youth", *Social Media & Society* 3(2) (2017): 1–14.

<sup>11</sup> J. Suh and E. Hargittai, "Privacy Management on Facebook: Do Device Type and Location of Posting Matter?", *Social Media & Society* 1(2) (2015): 1–11. <sup>12</sup> Ibid.

<sup>13</sup> J. Obar, "Big Data and the Phantom Public", Big Data & Society 2(2) (2015): 1–16.

<sup>14</sup> C. Dalton, L. Taylor, and J. Thatcher, "Critical Data Studies: A Dialog on Data and Space". *Big Data & Society*, 3(1) (2016): 1-9, doi:10.1177/2053951716648346; R. Kitchin and T. P. Lauriault, "Towards Critical Data Studies: Charting and Unpacking Data Assemblages and Their Work" in *Thinking Big Data in Geography: New Regimes, New Research*, ed. J. Thatcher, A. Shears, and J. Eckert, (Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 2018), 3-20.

<sup>15</sup> Pybus et al., "Hacking the social life of Big Data", 8.

<sup>16</sup> Peacock, "How Web Tracking Changes User Agency", 7.

17 Ibid., 2.

<sup>18</sup> Kennedy and Moss, "Known or knowing publics?", 7.

<sup>19</sup> Kennedy and Moss, "Known or knowing publics?"; Pybus et al., "Hacking the Social Life of Big Data".

<sup>20</sup> B. Haber, "The Queer Ontology of Digital Method", WSQ: Women's Studies Quarterly 44(3-4) (2016): 150-169.

<sup>21</sup> J. Blomberg and A. Henderson, "Reflections on Participatory Design" Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '90), Association for Computing Machinery (New York, NY), 353–360, https://doi.org/10.1145/97243.97307; S. Bødker, K. Grønbæk, and M. Kyng, "Cooperative Design: Techniques and Experiences from the Scandinavian Scene" in *Participatory Design: Principles and Practices*, eds. D. Schuler and A. Namioka, (Boca Raton: CRC Press, 1993), 157-175.

<sup>22</sup> P. Ehn, *Work-Oriented Design of Computer Artifacts* (Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1988).

<sup>23</sup> Suh and Hargittai, "Privacy Management on Facebook".

24 Kennedy & Moss, "Known or Knowing Publics?"

<sup>25</sup> d. boyd and K. Crawford, "Critical Questions for Big Data: Provocations for A Cultural, Technological, And Scholarly Phenomenon", *Information, Communication & Society*, 15, 5 (2012), 674.

<sup>26</sup> N. Denzin and Y. Lincoln, *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research (3rd ed.)* (London, England: SAGE, 2005); M. Miles and A. Huberman, *Qualitative Data Analysis* (Beverly Hills, CA: SAGE, 1984).

<sup>27</sup> D. Mathieu, "Users' Encounter with Normative Discourses on Facebook", *Social Media* & *Society* 2(4) (2016): 1-11.

<sup>28</sup> N. Couldry, "Inaugural: A Necessary Disenchantment: Myth, Agency and Injustice in a Digital World", *The Sociological Review* 62(4) (2014): 880–897, doi:10.1111/1467-954X. 12158.

<sup>29</sup> Kitchin & Lauriault, "Towards Critical Data Studies", 8.

<sup>30</sup> Haber, "The Queer Ontology of Digital Method".

<sup>31</sup> Marwick, Fontaine, and Boyd, "'Nobody Sees It, Nobody Gets Mad'".

<sup>32</sup> E. Baumer et al., "Missing Photos, Suffering Withdrawal, or Finding Freedom?", *Social Media & Society* 1(2) (2015): 1-14, doi:10.1177/2056305115614851.

<sup>33</sup> J. van Dijck, "Datafication, Dataism and Dataveillance: Big Data Between Scientific Paradigm and Ideology", *Surveillance & Society* 12(2) (2014): 197–208.
 <sup>34</sup> Ibid.

<sup>35</sup> Y. Jung and E. Rader, "The Imagined Audience and Privacy Concern on Facebook: Differences Between Producers and Consumers", *Social Media & Society* 2(2) (2016), 2.

<sup>36</sup> E. Gilbert, "Designing Social Translucence Over Social Networks", paper presented at the Computer Human Interaction Conference (Austin, TX, May 2012).

<sup>37</sup> Peacock, "How Web Tracking Changes User Agency".

<sup>38</sup> Custers, "Click Here to Consent Forever".

<sup>39</sup> Jung and Rader, "The Imagined Audience and Privacy Concern on Facebook", 3. <sup>40</sup> Obar, "Big Data and the Phantom Public", 2.

# Disruptive Play or Platform Colonialism? The Contradictory Dynamics of Google Expeditions and Educational Virtual Reality

#### Zoetanya Sujon

Authors note: the author would gratefully like to acknowledge all the research participants who were a pleasure to work with, as well as the observers whose interest and rigour made this project possible. In addition, many thanks to colleagues and reviewers for critically discussing the ideas as they unfolded, as well as for offering valuable comments on earlier drafts.

<sup>1</sup> B. Schrom, J. Holland, and R. Jagnow, "VR in the Classroom: Early Lessons Learned from Google Expeditions", Google I/O 2016, May 20, 2016, available at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UuceLtGjDWY.

<sup>2</sup> "Google Privacy & Security Centre", Google for Education (ND), https://edu.google. com/intl/en\_uk/why-google/privacy-security/?modal\_active=none.

<sup>3</sup> S. Jones, "Virtual", in: *New Key Words: A Revised Vocabulary of Culture and Society*, ed. Tony Bennett, Lawrence Grossberg, and Meaghan Morris Malden(Oxford: Blackwell Publishing, 2005); c.f. H. Rheingold, *The Virtual Community: Homesteading on the Electronic Frontier* (Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley, 1993); H. Rheingold, *Virtual Reality: The Revolutionary Technology of Computer-Generated Artificial Worlds - and How It Promises to Transform Society* (New York: Summit Books, 1991).

<sup>4</sup> M. Heim, *The Metaphysics of Virtual Reality* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1993); M. Heim, *Virtual Reality: The Third Wave* (Self-Published, 2015), available at: http://www.mheim.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/Virtual-Reality-Third-Wave-by-Michael-Heim.pdf; R. Scoble and S. Israel, *The Fourth Transformation: How Augmented*  *Reality and Artificial Intelligence Will Change Everything* (Kindle Edition: Patrick Brewster Press, 2016).

<sup>5</sup> GVRA, https://www.gvra.com/.

<sup>6</sup> B. Peters, "And Lead Us Not into Thinking the New Is New: A Bibliographic Case for New Media History", *New Media and Society* 11(1&2) (2009): 13-30; J. Carey and MCJ. Elton, *When Media are New: Understanding the Dynamics of New Media Adoption and Use* (Michigan: University of Michigan Press, 2010).

<sup>7</sup> D. Buckingham, *Beyond Technology: Children's Learning in the Age of Digital Culture* (UK: Polity Press, 2007); N. Selwyn, *Education and Technology, Second Edition* (London, New York: Bloomsbury, 2017).

<sup>8</sup> C. Metz, "The Inside Story of Google's Bizarre Plunge into VR", *Wired* online, January 6, 2015, https://www.wired.com/2015/06/inside-story-googles-unlikely-leap-cardboard-vr/; F. Lardinois, "The Story Behind Google Cardboard", *TechCrunch*, June 26, 2014, https://techcrunch.com/2014/06/26/the-story-behind-googles-cardboard-project/. <sup>9</sup> Google AR & VR, https://vr.google.com/.

<sup>10</sup> F. Lardinois, "Google Says Its G Suite for Education Now Has 70M Users", *TechCrunch* (blog), January 24, 2017, https://techcrunch.com/2017/01/24/google-says-its-g-suite-for-education-now-has-70m-users/.

<sup>11</sup> A. Dalton, "NY Times sends 300,000 Google Cardboard viewers to subscribers", *Engadget* (blog), April 28, 2016, https://www.engadget.com/2016/04/28/ny-times-vr-googlecardboard/; L. Rao, "Google Cardboard Virtual Reality Viewers Go International", *Fortune* online, May 11, 2016, http://fortune.com/2016/05/11/google-virtual-reality-viewers/; A. Robertson, "The New York Times is Sending Out a Second Round of Google Cardboards", *Verge*, April 28, 2016, http://www.theverge.com/2016/4/28/11504932/new-york-times-vr-google-cardboard-seeking-plutos-frigid-heart; M. Wohlsen, "Google Cardboard's New York Times Experiment Just Hooked A Generation", *Wired* online, November 9, 2015, https://www.wired.com/2015/11/google-cardboards-new-york-times-experiment-just-hooked-a-generation-on-vr/.

<sup>12</sup> C. Bavor, "As of today, our partners have shipped more than 10M Cardboards, and users have downloaded 160M Cardboard apps", Twitter, March 1, 2017, https://twitter.com/claybavor/status/837017555411726336; I. Lunden, "Google Has Shipped 10M Cardboard VR Viewers, 160M Cardboard App Downloads", *TechCrunch* (blog), February 28, 2017, http://wikiurls.com/?https://techcrunch.com/2017/02/28/ google-has-shipped-10m-cardboard-vr-viewers-160m-cardboard-app-downloads/.

<sup>13</sup> F. Lardinois, "Google Says Its G Suite for Education Now Has 70M Users"; S. Weintraub, "8 Million Students on Google Apps", *Fortune* online, May 7, 2010, http://fortune.com/2010/05/07/8-million-students-on-google-apps/.

<sup>14</sup> A. Garrett, "New Google Classroom Features Make It Easier to Learn, Teach, Manage and Build", *The Google Blog* (blog), January 11, 2017, https://blog.google/topics/education/new-google-classroom-features-make-it-easier-learn-teach-manage-and-build/.

<sup>15</sup> F. Lardinois, "Google says Apps for Education Now Has 20 Million Users", *TechCrunch* (blog), October 21, 2012, https://techcrunch.com/2012/10/01/google-says-apps-for-education-now-has-more-than-20-million-users/.

<sup>16</sup> GFE 2017; K. Gough, "Score One for The Sun Devils", *Google: Official Google Blog (blog)*, October 10, 2006, https://googleblog.blogspot.co.uk/2006/10/score-one-for-sun-devil s.html; J.D. Fox, "Google Apps history", *J. D. Fox Micro Resource Centre*, 2015, http://www.jdfoxmicro.com/resource-center/articles/google-apps-3/; R. Chang, "Google's

G Suite for Education Offers 4 New Features", *The Journal: Transforming Education Through Technology*, October 5, 2016, https://thejournal.com/articles/2016/10/05/goog les-g-suite-for-education-offers-4-new-features.aspx; J. Rochelle, "Introducing G Suite for Education", *Google Blog* (blog), Oct 4, 2016, https://blog.google/topics/education/intro ducing-g-suite-education/.

17 Schrom, et al., "VR in the Classroom".

<sup>18</sup> Ibid., my transcription.

<sup>19</sup> R. Beltrán-Alfonso et al., "Exploring the Relevance of Search Engines: An Overview of Google as a Case Study", *International Journal of Interactive Multimedia & Artificial Intelligence* Vol 4, Issue 4 (2017): 72-79; K. Hillis, M. Petit, and K. Jarrett, *Google and the Culture of Search* (New York, Oxon: Routledge, 2013); F. Trevisan et al., "The Google Voter: Search Engines And Elections In The New Media Ecology", *Information, Communication and Society* 21(1) (2018): 111-128, doi: 10.1080/1369118X.2016.12 61171.

<sup>20</sup> J. Farman, "Mapping the Digital Empire: Google Earth And the Process of Postmodern Cartography", *New Media and Society* 12, Issue 6 (2010): 869-888; M. Lee, "A Political Economic Critique of Google Maps and Google Earth", *Information, Communication and Society* 13(6) (2010): 909-928, doi: 10.1080/13691180903456520; E. Gordon, "Mapping Digital Networks From Cyberspace to Google", *Information, Communication and Society* 10(6) (2007): 885-901, doi: 10.1080/13691180701751080; JC.Plantin, *Participatory Mapping: New Data, New Cartography* (London: Wiley, 2014).

<sup>21</sup> e.g., B. Girard, *The Google Way: How One Company is Revolutionizing Management as We Know It* (San Francisco: No Starch Press, 2009); C. Fuchs, "Google Capitalism", *Triple C: Communication, Capitalism and Critique* 10, Issue 1 (2012): 42-48, http://www.triple-c.at/index.php/tripleC/article/view/304; D. Y. Jin, "The Construction of Platform Imperialism in the Globalization Era", *Triple C: Communication, Capitalism and Critique* 11, Issue 1 (2013): 145-172, http://www.triple-c.at/index.php/tripleC/article/view/458.

<sup>22</sup> JC. Plantin et al., "Infrastructure Studies Meet Platform Studies in The Age of Google", *New Media and Society* 20(1) (2016); N. Srnicek, *Platform Capitalism* (Cambridge: Polity, 2016); T. Gillespie, "The Politics of 'Platforms'", *New Media and Society* 12(3) (2010): 347–364; T. Gillespie, "Is 'Platform' The Right Metaphor for The Technology Companies That Dominate Digital Media?", *Nieman Lab*, August 25, 2017, http://www.niemanlab. org/2017/08/is-platform-the-right-metaphor-for-the-technology-companies-that-dom inate-digital-media/; F. Bermejo, "Audience Manufacture In Historical Perspective: From Broadcasting To Google", *New Media and Society* 11(1 & 2) (2009): 133-154.

<sup>23</sup> T. Gillespie, "Algorithmically Recognizable: Santorum's Google Problem, And Google's Santorum Problem", *Information, Communication and Society* 20(1) (2017): 63-80, doi: 10.1080/1369118X.2016.1199721; M. Moore, "Tech Giants and Civic Power", *Centre for the Study of Media, Communication and Power* online, King's College London, April 2016, https://www.kcl.ac.uk/policy-institute/assets/cmcp/tech-giants-and-civic-power.pdf.

<sup>24</sup> E. Van Couvering, "The Political Economy of New Media Revisited: Platformization, Mediatisation, and the Politics of Algorithms" Proceedings of the 50<sup>th</sup> Hawaii International Conference on Systems Science (2017), 1812-1819, https://scholarspace. manoa.hawaii.edu/bitstream/10125/41374/1/paper0225.pdf; R. Mansell, "Platforms of Power", *Intermedia* Vol. 43, Issue 1 (2015): 20-24, http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/61318/; G. Luchetta, "Is the Google Platform a Two-Sided Market?", *Journal of Competition Law & Economics* 10(1) (2013): 185-207. <sup>25</sup> B. Williamson, *Big Data in Education: The Digital Future of Learning, Policy and Practice* (London: Sage, 2017); J. Van Dijck, T. Poell, and M. De Waal, *The Platform Society: Public Values in a Connected World* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018).

<sup>26</sup> M. E. Brown and D. L. Hocutt, "Learning to Use, Useful for Learning: A Usability Study of Google Apps for Education", *Journal of Usability Studies* 10(4) (2015): 160-181; S. Găbureanu and P. Botnariuc, "An Analysis of the Virtual Communities Supporting the Google for Education Programme in Romania", *ELearning and Software for Education*, Issue 2 (2016): 28-35.

<sup>27</sup> I. Istrate, and S. Găbureanu, "A Fresh Restart? Google for Education in Romania: Effectiveness of Training Teachers in Using Google Tools for Teaching and Learning", The 10<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Virtual Learning ICVL (University of Bucharest and West University of Timisoara, 2015), 219-226, https://www.academia.edu/2089 2379/A\_Fresh\_Restart\_Google\_for\_Education\_in\_Romania\_Effectiveness\_of\_Training\_

Teachers\_in\_Using\_Google\_Tools\_for\_T eaching\_and\_Learning; J. Cahill, *The Collaborative Benefits of Google Apps for Education* (dissertation. Northcentral University, US, 2012); E. Railean, "Google Apps for Education – a Powerful Solution for Global Scientific Classrooms", *International Journal of Computer Science Research and Application* 2(2) (2012): 19-25; B. Bruggerman, "How Google Apps for Education can Enhance Learning in a Networked Environment", *Academia.edu*, 2014, https://www.academia.edu/7260001/How\_Google\_Apps\_for\_Education\_Can\_Enhance\_Learning\_in\_a\_Networked\_Environment.

<sup>28</sup> Hillis et al., Google and the Culture of Search, 6.

<sup>29</sup> Hillis et al., *Google and the Culture of Search*; M. Sandoval, *From Corporate to Social Media: Critical Perspectives on Corporate Social Responsibility in Media and Communication Industries* (Oxfordshire, New York: Routledge, 2014).

<sup>30</sup> C. Fuchs, *Social Media: A Critical Introduction* (London, Thousand Oaks, New Delhi: Sage, 2014), 147.

<sup>31</sup> Hillis et al., Google and the Culture of Search.

<sup>32</sup> Fuchs, *Social Media: A Critical Introduction*, 132, 133; *Digital Labor: The Internet as Playground and Factory*, ed. T. Scholz (New York: Routledge, 2013).

<sup>33</sup> [This term googleyness (n) and definition appeared in the original publication of this article as an image of a slide from from an AppsEvent workshop on G Suite for Education in 2017 attended by the author].

<sup>34</sup> C. Player-Koro, A. Bergviken Rensfeldt, and N. Selwyn, "Selling Tech to Teachers: Education Trade Shows As Policy Events", *Journal of Education Policy* 33 (5) (2017): 682-703.

<sup>35</sup> Sandoval, From Corporate to Social Media.

<sup>36</sup> Google Privacy & Security Centre.

<sup>37</sup> W. Davis, "Google Can't Shake Privacy Suit by Mississippi Attorney General", *Media Post* online, August 15, 2018, https://www.mediapost.com/publications/article/3236 65/google-cant-shake-privacy-suit-by-mississippi-att.html.

<sup>38</sup> G. Gebhart, "Spying on Students: School-Issued Devices and Student Privacy", Electronic Frontier Foundation, April 13, 2017, https://www.eff.org/wp/school-issueddevices-and-student-privacy#conclusion; "Google Deceptively Tracks Students' Internet Browsing, EFF Says in FTC Complaint", Electronic Frontier Foundation, December 1, 2015, https://www.eff.org/press/releases/google-deceptively-tracks-stude nts-internetbrowsing-eff-says-complaint-federal-trade. <sup>39</sup> Van Couvering, "The Political Economy of New Media Revisited", 1813; Mansell, "Platforms of Power"; Luchetta, "Is the Google Platform a Two-Sided Market?".

<sup>40</sup> Moore, "Tech Giants and Civic Power".

<sup>41</sup> Ibid.

<sup>42</sup> M. Lindh and J. Nolin, "Information We Collect: Surveillance and Privacy in the Implementation of Google Apps for Education", *European Educational Research Journal* 15(6) (2016): 644–663, doi: 10.1177/1474904116654917, 7.

<sup>43</sup> N. Couldry, and U. Mejias, "Data Colonialism: Rethinking Big Data's Relation to the Contemporary Subject", *Television & New Media* 20(4) (2018): 336–349, https://doi.org/10.1177/1527476418796632.

<sup>44</sup> S. Zuboff, *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power* (New York: PublicAffairs, 2019).

<sup>45</sup> Van Dijck et al., *The Platform Society*; Helmond, "The Platformatization of the Web"; see also: programmable pedagogies – Williamson, *Big Data in Education*, and platform capitalism – Srnicek, *Platform Capitalism*.

<sup>46</sup> Helmond, "The Platformatization of the Web".

<sup>47</sup> Van Dijck et al., *The Platform Society*, 2.

<sup>48</sup> Van Dijck et al., *The Platform Society*, 118-119.

<sup>49</sup> Couldry and Mejias, "Data Colonialism".

<sup>50</sup> Zuboff, The Age of Surveillance Capitalism.

<sup>51</sup> See: J. Schoonenboom, R. B. Johnson, "How to Construct a Mixed Methods Research Design". *Koln Z Sociol* 69 (2017): 107-131, doi: 10.1007/s11577-017-0454-1.

<sup>52</sup> Schoonenboom and Johnson, "How to Construct a Mixed Methods Research Design".
 <sup>53</sup> Reason and Bradbury 2008 as cited in Bergold and Thomas 2012.

<sup>54</sup> C. Hewson, C. Vogel, and D. Laurent, *Internet Research Methods, Second Edition* (London: Sage, 2016); U. Flick, *An Introduction to Qualitative Research, 6<sup>th</sup> Edition* (London: Sage, 2018); H. Snee et al., "Digital Methods as Mainstream Methodology: An Introduction", *Digital Methods for Social Science: An Interdisciplinary Guide to Research Innovation*, ed. H. Snee, C. Hine, and Y. Morey (New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2015), 1-16; K. M. DeWalt, and B. R. DeWalt, *Participant Observation: A Guide for Fieldworkers, Second Edition*, (New York: Rowman and Littlefield, 2011).

<sup>55</sup> Pioneer program message, 2017.

<sup>56</sup> Bonasio, 2016; N. Martz, "VR at Google – Jump, Expeditions and Daydream", *The Google Blog* (blog), May 19, 2016, https://www.blog.google/products/google-vr/vr-google-jump-expeditions-and-daydream/.

<sup>57</sup> S. Chahara, "Over Two Million School Kids Have Gone on Google Expeditions: Half of Those Are in the UK", *Wearable: Tech for your Connected Self*, May 26, 2017, https:// www.wareable.com/google/expeditions-two-million-cardboard-6665; G. Grace, "Bringing Expeditions to 1 Million Students Across the UK", *Google: Official Google Blog* (blog), November 15, 2016, https://blog.google/topics/education/bringing-expeditions-1-millio n-students-across-uk/.

<sup>58</sup> Hillis et al., Google and the Culture of Search.

<sup>59</sup> N. Selwyn, "Digital Downsides: Exploring University Students' Negative Engagements with Digital Technology", *Teaching in Higher Education* 21(8) (2015): 1006-1021; Selwyn, *Education and Technology*.

<sup>60</sup> "Loon: Expanding Internet Connectivity With Stratospheric Balloons", *Loon* online, https://x.company/projects/loon/.

<sup>61</sup> Watanabe and Crockett, 2016.

<sup>62</sup> "Who are AppsEvents and what makes us unique", *AppsEvents Community Blog* (blog), 2017, http://blog.appsevents.com/2017/02/who-are-appsevents-and-what-mak es-us.html.

63 AppsEvent talk, 2016.

64 Lindh and Nolin, "Information We Collect".

65 Lindh and Nolin, "Information We Collect", 7.

<sup>66</sup> Selwyn, "Digital Downsides", *Education and Technology*, Buckingham, *Beyond Technology*.
 <sup>67</sup> Srnicek, *Platform Capitalism*; Zuboff, *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism*; Couldry and Mejias, "Data Colonialism"; Sandoval, *From Corporate to Social Media*; Fuchs, *Social Media*: A Critical Introduction; Hillis et al., Google and the Culture of Search.

<sup>68</sup> J. Holland, "Explore and Network with Google for Education", *AppsEvents, RSA House,* November 17, 2016.

### "Good Social Media"?: Underrepresented Youth Perspectives on the Ethical and Equitable Design of Social Media Platforms

Melissa Brough, Ioana Literat, Amanda Ikin

<sup>1</sup> "Social media fact sheet", *Pew Research Center* online, June 12, 2019, https://www. pewresearch.org/internet/fact-sheet/social-media.

<sup>2</sup> E. Rice and A. Barman-Adhikari, "Internet and Social Media Use as a Resource Among Homeless Youth", *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* 19(2) (2014): 232-247; Way and Malvini-Redden, 2017.

<sup>3</sup> R. Stevens et al., "Social Media in the Sexual Lives of African American and Latino Youth: Challenges and Opportunities in the Digital Neighborhood", *Media and Communication* 4(3) (2016): 60-70.

<sup>4</sup> R. Abbas and G. Mesch, "Do Rich Teens get Richer? Facebook Use and the Link between Offline and Online Social Capital among Palestinian Youth in Israel", *Information, Communication & Society* 21(1) (2018: 63-79; d. boyd, "White Flight in Networked Publics? How Race and Class Shaped American Teen Engagement with MySpace and Facebook", in *Race after the Internet*, ed. L. Nakamura and P. A. Chow-White (New York: Routledge, 2011), 203-222; E. Hargittai and A. Hinnant, "Digital Inequality: Differences in Young Adults' Use of the Internet", *Communication Research* 35(5) (2008): 602-621; D. Nemer, "Online Favela: The Use of Social Media by the Marginalized in Brazil", *Information Technology for Development* 22(3) (2016): 364-379.

<sup>5</sup> United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2018.

<sup>6</sup> A. Cho, "Default Publicness: Queer Youth of Color, Social Media, And Being Outed by The Machine", *New Media & Society* 20(9) (2018): 3183-3200; M. Hersh, "Science, Technology and Values: Promoting Ethics and Social Responsibility", *AI & Society* 29(2) (2013): 167–183; J. Reich, and M. Ito, *From Good Intentions to Real Outcomes: Equity by Design in Learning Technologies*, Digital Media and Learning Research Hub, 2017, https://clalliance.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/GIROreport\_1031.pdf.

<sup>7</sup> I. Literat & M. Brough, "From Ethical to Equitable Social Media Technologies: Amplifying Underrepresented Youth Voices in Digital Technology Design", *Journal of Media Ethics* 34(3) (2019): 132–145.

<sup>8</sup> M. Ito et al., *Affinity Online: How Connection and Shared Interest Fuel Learning* (New York: New York University Press, 2019); Rice and Barman-Adhikari, "Internet and Social Media Use"; Way & Malvini Redden, 2017.

<sup>9</sup> Way and Malvini-Redden, 2017.

<sup>10</sup> boyd, "White Flight in Networked Publics"; R. M. Magee, D. E. Agosto, and A. Forte, *Four Factors that Regulate Teen Technology Use in Everyday Life* [Conference session]. ACM 2017: Proceedings of the Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work and Social Computing (Portland, OR., February 2017).

<sup>11</sup> Stevens et al., "Social Media in the Sexual Lives of African American and Latino Youth".

<sup>12</sup> M. Micheli, "Social Networking Sites and Low-Income Teenagers: Between Opportunity and Inequality", *Information, Communication & Society*, 195(5) (2016): 565–-81.

<sup>13</sup> J. P. Gee, *Situated Language and Learning: A Critique of Traditional Schooling* (New York: Routledge, 2004).

<sup>14</sup> Ito et al., Affinity Online; Way & Malvini Redden, 2017.

<sup>15</sup> Abbas and Mesch, "Do Rich Teens get Richer?"; boyd, "White Flight in Networked Publics"; Hargittai and Hinnant, "Digital Inequality"; Nemer, "Online Favela".

<sup>16</sup> Hargittai and Hinnant, "Digital Inequality", 615.

<sup>17</sup> boyd, "White Flight in Networked Publics".

<sup>18</sup> A. Matamoros-Fernandez, "Platformed Racism: The Mediation and Circulation of An Australian Race-Based Controversy on Twitter, Facebook And Youtube", *Information, Communication & Society* 20(6) (2017): 930-946; Way and Malvini-Redden.

19 Matamoros-Fernandez, "Platformed Racism".

<sup>20</sup> E. Hargittai, "Open Doors, Closed Spaces? Differentiated Adoption of Social Network Sites by User Background", in *Race After the Internet*, ed. L. Nakamura and P. A. Chow-White (New York: Routledge, 2013), 213.

<sup>21</sup> Cho, "Default Publicness"; Micheli, "Social Networking Sites and Low-Income Teenagers"; Way & Malvini Redden, 2017.

<sup>22</sup> e.g., Abbas and Mesch, "Do Rich Teens get Richer?"; Hargittai and Hinnant, "Digital Inequality"; B. Kim and Y. Kim, "College Students' Social Media Use and Communication Network Heterogeneity: Implications for Social Capital and Subjective Well-Being", *Computers in Human Behavior* 73 (2017): 620–628; Rice and Barman-Adhikari, "Internet and Social Media Use".

<sup>23</sup> S. Florini, "Tweets, Tweeps, and Signifyin': Communication and Cultural Performance on "Black Twitter"", *Television & New Media* 15(3) (2014): 223-237.

 $^{24}$  L. A. Lee, "Black Twitter: A Response to Bias in Mainstream Media", *Social Sciences* 6(1) (2017): Article 26, 1.

<sup>25</sup> Livingstone, S. "Reframing Media Effects in Terms of Children's Rights in The Digital Age", *Journal of Children and Media* 10(1)(2016): 4-12; Magee et al., *Four Factors that Regulate Teen Technology*, see also: Way and Malvini-Redden, 2017.

<sup>26</sup> Way and Malvini-Redden, 2017.

<sup>27</sup> R. Bivens and O. L. Haimson, "Baking Gender into Social Media Design: How Platforms Shape Categories for Users and Advertisers", *Social Media* + *Society* 2(4) (2016), Article 672486; Cho, "Default publicness"; D. S. Lane, "Social Media Design for Youth Political Expression: Testing The Roles Of Identifiability And Geo-Boundedness", *New Media & Society* 22(8) (2019): doi: 10.1177/1461444819879103.

<sup>28</sup> P. Nagy and G. Neff, "Imagined Affordance: Reconstructing a Keyword for Communication Theory", *Social Media* +*Society* 1(2) (2015): 1-9.

<sup>29</sup> Here, we understand social media design as incorporating all components including frontend (the visual features users interact with directly, for example, the interface and graphic design) and backend (e.g., software code and functionalities that are not immediately apparent to users). As such, in this article, we use the term "designer" broadly to include graphic designers as well as software developers, or others who con-tribute to the design and functionalities of social media.

<sup>30</sup> Bivens and Haimson, "Baking Gender into Social Media Design"; Cho, "Default Publicness"; M. Dragiewicz et al., "Technology Facilitated Coercive Control: Domestic Violence and The Competing Roles of Digital Media Platforms", *Feminist Media Studies* 18(4) (2018): 609-625; V. Eubanks, *Automating Inequality: How High-Tech Tools Profile, Police, and Punish the Poor* (New York: St. Martin's Press, 2018); Q. Li and I. Literat, "Misuse Or Misdesign? Yik Yak on College Campuses And The Moral Dimensions Of Technology Design", *First Monday* 227(7) (2017), http://firstmonday.org/ojs/index.php/ fm/article/view/6947; Literat and Brough, "From Ethical to Equitable Social Media Technologies"; A. Massanari, "#Gamergate and the Fappening: How Reddit's Algorithm, Governance, and Culture Support Toxic Technocultures", *New Media & Society* 19(3) (2017): 329-346; S. Noble, *Algorithms of Oppression: How Search Engines Reinforce Racism* (New York: New York University Press, 2018).

<sup>31</sup> Bivens and Haimson, "Baking Gender into Social Media Design".

<sup>32</sup> For further examples and analysis, see also J. Burgess et al., "Making Digital Cultures of Gender and Sexuality with Social Media", *Social Media* + *Society* 2(4) (2016): 1-4; Eubanks, *Automating Inequality*, Noble, *Algorithms of Oppression*.

<sup>33</sup> Bivens and Haimson, "Baking Gender into Social Media Design".

<sup>34</sup> B. Bosker, "The Binge Breaker", *Atlantic* online, November 2016, https://www.theatlantic. com/magazine/archive/2016/11/the-binge-breaker/501122/; T. Harris, "How Technology Hijacks People's Minds: From a Magician and Google's Design Ethicist", *The Observer* online, June 1, 2016, https://observer.com/2016/06/how-technology-hijacks-peoples-minds%E2 %80%8A-%E2%80%8Afrom-a-magician-and-googles-design-ethicis t/; Reich & Ito, *From Good Intentions to Real Outcomes*.

<sup>35</sup> Cho, "Default Publicness"; Hargittai, "Open Doors, Closed Spaces?"; Matamoros-Fernandez, "Platformed Racism".

<sup>36</sup> Bivens and Haimson, "Baking Gender into Social Media Design"; Burgess et al., "Making Digital Cultures"; Dragiewicz et al., "Technology Facilitated Coercive Control".

<sup>37</sup> Cho, "Default Publicness"; L. DeNardis and A. M. Hackl, "Internet Control Points as LGBT Rights Mediation", *Information, Communication & Society* 19(6) (2016): 753–770; A. Shaw and K. Sender, "Queer Technologies: Affordances, Affect, Ambivalence", *Critical Studies in Media Communication* 33(1) (2016): 1–5.

<sup>38</sup> Micheli, "Social Networking Sites and Low-Income Teenagers"; Nemer, "Online Favela".

<sup>39</sup> A. Dix, "Human-Computer Interaction", in *Encyclopedia of Database Systems*, ed. L. Liu and M. T. Ozsu (Boston: Springer, 2009), 1327-1331.

<sup>40</sup> Literat and Brough, "From Ethical to Equitable Social Media Technologies".

<sup>41</sup> R. Capurro, "Intercultural Information Ethics", in *Handbook of Information and Computer Ethics*, ed. K. E. Himma and H. T. Tavani (Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, 2008), 639-665; S. Hongladarom and J. Britz, "Intercultural Information Ethics", *International Review of Information Ethics*, 13 (2010: 2–-.

<sup>42</sup> Hersh, "Science, Technology and Values", 167.

43 Literat and Brough, "From Ethical to Equitable Social Media Technologies".

<sup>44</sup> S. Livingstone and J. Sefton-Green, *The Class: Living and Learning in the Digital Age* (New York: New York University Press, 2016).

<sup>45</sup> E. Magnusson and J. Marecek, *Doing Interview-based Qualitative Research* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2015).

<sup>46</sup> T. Highfield, *Social Media and Everyday Politics* (Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, 2017); Massanari, "#Gamergate and the Fappening".

<sup>47</sup> e.g., A. Taub and M. Fisher, "Where Countries are Tinderboxes and Facebook Is a Match", *The New York Times* online, April 21, 2018, https://www.nytimes.com/2018/04/21/world/asia/facebook-sri-lanka-riots.html.

<sup>48</sup> Literat and Brough, "From Ethical to Equitable Social Media Technologies".

<sup>49</sup> On Snapchat, a streak refers to direct back-and-forth messages ("snaps") with the same user over consecutive days. In the absence of follower counts, streaks are seen as a popularity marker on Snapchat, and long streaks are rewarded with special emojis (T. Lorenz, "Teens Explain The World Of Snapchat's Addictive Streaks, Where Friendships Live Or Die", *Business Insider* online, April 14, 2017, https://www.business insider.com/teens-explain-snapchat-streaks-why-theyre-so-addictive-and-important-to-friendships-2017-4).

<sup>50</sup> As illustrated by Michael's quote, some of our participants often referred to platform owners and designers interchangeably, conflating the two roles. This could be due to an inaccurate understanding of the organizational structures of technology development companies. From users' perspectives, however, this distinction may not be significantly relevant; both can be understood as actors who influence the design of social media platforms.

<sup>51</sup> See: Literat and Brough, "From Ethical to Equitable Social Media Technologies".

<sup>52</sup> Nagy and Neff, "Imagined Affordance".

<sup>53</sup> United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2018.

54 Florini, "Tweets, Tweeps, and Signifyin'".

<sup>55</sup> Nagy and Neff, "Imagined Affordance".

<sup>56</sup> See Way and Malvini-Redden, 2017 for a review of relevant research.

<sup>57</sup> Stevens et al., "Social Media in the Sexual Lives of African American and Latino Youth".

<sup>58</sup> Literat & Brough, "From Ethical to Equitable Social Media Technologies".

<sup>59</sup> D. Brake, "Shaping The "Me" In Myspace: The Framing of Profiles on A Social Network Site", in *Digital Storytelling, Mediatized Stories: Self-Representation in New Media*, ed. K. Lundby (New York: Peter Lang, 2008) 285-300; D. Perkel, "Copy and Paste Literacy? Literacy Practices in The Production of a MySpace Profile", *Informal Learning and Digital Media*, (2006): 21-23.

60 Zimmerman, 2016.

<sup>61</sup> Abbas and Mesch, "Do Rich Teens get Richer?"; Ito et al., *Affinity Online*; Rice and Barman-Adhikari, "Internet and Social Media Use".

<sup>62</sup> H. Andersson, "Social Media Apps Are "Deliberately" Addictive to Users", *BBC News* online, July 4, 2018, https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-44640959; Bosker, "The Binge Breaker"; N. Eyal, *Hooked: How to Build Habit-Forming Products* (New York: Penguin Books, 2014); Harris, "How Technology Hijacks People's Minds"; M. Salehan and A. Negahban, "Social Networking on Smartphones: When Mobile Phones Become Addictive", *Computers in Human Behavior* 29(6) (2013): 2632–2639.

<sup>63</sup> O. Malik, "Technology and the moral dimension", OM (blog), November 26, 2014, https://om.co/2014/11/26/technology-and-the-moral-dimension/.

Turki Alelyani, Stevens Institute of Technology, Arup Kumar Ghosh, Jacksonville State University

# Index

# #

#BlackLivesMatter, 44, 70, 79, 80, 81, 87, 102, 327, 328
#BlackOutTuesday, 101
#FridaysforFuture, 47
#IdleNoMore, 45, 56, 57, 324, 325
#SchoolStrike4Climate, 42, 46, 47, 48, 51, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58

#### @

@GretaThunberg, 53, 54, 56, 57, See Greta Thunberg@realDonaldTrump, 55, 77

# 2

2014 People's Climate March, 43, 57

# A

Access, 6, 21, 318, 319, 374 activism, 41, 43, 46, 49, 70, 71, 91, 92, 96, 97, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 111, 333, 334 addiction, 16, 38, 147, 154, 233, 234, 240, 268, 346 Additional Protocols, 25 adolescence, 35, 92, 93, 94, 128, 131, 142, 201, 202, 203, 205, 207, 208, 227, 231, 238 adolescent, 10, 11, 14, 16, 93, 94, 95, 97, 98, 99, 100, 104, 105, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 135, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 157, 201, 202,

203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 231, 232, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 243, 340, 341, 355, 360 adult, 4, 30, 94, 189, 193, 195, 197, 199, 207 adulthood, 80, 92, 93, 94, 95 advergames, 184, 187, 356 advertising, 101, 111, 150, 169, 171, 176, 182, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 195, 196, 199, 262, 269, 271, 274, 283, 350, 354, 355 advocacy, 1, 2, 228 age, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12, 17, 19, 20, 21, 24, 28, 31, 32, 43, 44, 57, 92, 93, 96, 111, 124, 132, 133, 136, 155, 156, 157, 183, 184, 198, 201, 203, 206, 207, 210, 214, 215, 221, 222, 223, 232, 251, 257, 263, 268, 302, 304, 311, 331, 333, 335 agency, 42, 59, 93, 96, 99, 100, 101, 105, 168, 173, 264, 273, 274 algorithms, 161, 175, 178, 243, 247, 307, 309 Android, 265, 266, 351 animal, 103, 161, 162, 163, 164, 166, 167, 168, 170, 171, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 343 anthropomorphism, 168 app, 38, 175, 242, 243, 245, 246, 247, 249, 251, 252, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 265, 266, 267, 269, 270, 271, 272, 280, 310, 311, 382 app design, 259 Apple, 158, 347 Arab Uprisings, 62, 63, 65, 68, 69, 77,326 articles, 20, 25, 149, 154, 155, 156, 157, 262, 326, 382, 383

Turki Alelyani, Stevens Institute of Technology, Arup Kumar Ghosh, Jacksonville State University 392 Index

artificial intelligence, 172, 175, 176, 233, 352 attachments, 162, 181, 183, 190, 191 audience, xi, 42, 73, 74, 98, 151, 162, 181, 183, 185, 186, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 295, 304, 345 authenticity, 95, 172, 195, 196, 199, 304 authority, 72, 73, 75, 149, 154, 201, 206, 207, 208, 244 autonomy, 15, 27, 32, 201, 202, 205, 207, 208, 212, 214, 238, 239, 244, 256, 257, 331

#### B

Bernie Youth, 62, 75

- Big Data, 275, 379, 380, 381, 384, 385
- big social data, 261, 262
- big tech, 282, 284
- Black Lives Matter (BLM), 44, 45, 61, 62, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 77, 80, 81, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 91, 92, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 106, 309, 326, 327, 334
- block, 66, 167, 242, 257, 269
- blog, 9, 64, 196, 326, 328, 329, 330, 334, 335, 337, 350, 355, 356, 360, 361, 362, 382, 385, 386, 389
- body image, 112, 128, 129, 131, 141, 142, 209, 210, 214, 218, 219, 222, 229, 233, 238, 340
- body shame, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 134, 135, 136, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143
- boys, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 127, 129, 131, 132, 136, 139, 140, 141, 142, 236, 268, 341

brand promotion, 183, 199

brands, 102, 181, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 225, 307, 335, 356, 357, 360 Bronfenbrenner, 202, 205, 363, 365 BTS, 101, 103, 334 bullying, 15, 27, 38, 157, 211, 223

# С

capabilities, 30, 171, 174, 270, 290, 313 celebrity, 183, 226, 293, 359 cell phones, 63, 201, 202, 205 child development, 24, 167 child viewer, 191, 192, 197 childhood, 35, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 87, 88, 92, 162, 164, 166, 175, 182, 186, 208, 328, 329, 330 child-parent, 202 children, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 53, 70, 82, 83, 84, 88, 128, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 155, 156, 157, 159, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 178, 179, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 196, 198, 199, 202, 203, 205, 232, 238, 241, 242, 243, 244, 251, 252, 255, 259, 279, 317, 319, 321, 322, 329, 346, 349, 350, 352, 354, 355, 360, 368 children's development, 24, 26, 35 children's own voices, 1, 2, 4 children's well-being, 2, 23, 24 citizens, 39, 42, 43, 46, 58, 59, 64, 263, 275, 276

civic, 11, 12, 21, 28, 96, 97, 240, 282, 299, 332, 383 civil society, 20, 27, 33, 42 clicks, 102, 111 climate change, 38, 41, 42, 44, 45, 46, 49, 52, 53, 54, 57, 58, 91, 104, 106 climate crisis, 41, 42, 43, 57 climate strike, 41, 42, 43, 54, 58 co-construct, 265 cognitive skills, 184 cognitive theory, 129 collecting, 65, 163, 171, 350 colonization, 174, 182, 280 colonize, 279, 295 comments, 32, 88, 98, 105, 111, 124, 164, 189, 197, 234, 282, 288, 292, 306, 319, 381 Committee, 25, 26, 31, 32, 33, 36, 317, 319, 320, 321 Committee on the Rights of the Child, 25, 319, 320, 321 commodities, 163, 169, 174 competencies, 263 computers, 5, 29, 164, 172 connecting, 7, 17, 42, 50, 58, 72, 86, 202, 212, 228, 229, 272, 308, 309 connections, xiii, 7, 69, 74, 79, 80, 81, 84, 87, 88, 110, 112, 129, 152, 187, 189, 190, 212, 274, 299, 307, 308.312 connectivity, 6, 16, 18, 27, 29, 319 consumer, 100, 128, 169, 174, 181, 183, 185, 186, 187, 188, 193, 194, 195, 198, 199, 245, 262, 263, 280, 305, 353, 355, 360 Content creators, 182 Convention, 4, 11, 15, 20, 23, 25, 26, 27, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34, 318, 319, 321

Convention on the Rights of the Child, 4, 11, 15, 20, 23, 25, 26, 318, 319, 321 Coronavirus, 97, 145, 334 COVID-19, 38, 91, 92, 102, 104, 126, 159, 332, 334, 343 CRC, 31, 32, 33, 36, 319, 320, 321, 380 crisis of identity, 94 critical inquiry, 229 critical pedagogy, 61, 62, 77, 228 critical thinking, 107, 228 cultural icon, 188 culture, xi, xlvii, 19, 29, 34, 73, 98, 111, 140, 141, 149, 153, 156, 157, 161, 162, 193, 204, 208, 211, 212, 229, 282, 304, 307, 309, 345 cuteness, 162, 168, 171, 196, 197, 348, 351 cyberbullying, 14, 24, 154, 231, 233, 236, 241, 268

#### D

data, 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 15, 24, 27, 28, 32, 36, 37, 41, 47, 55, 97, 132, 136, 137, 142, 150, 178, 182, 204, 213, 214, 215, 216, 218, 219, 226, 227, 228, 229, 232, 233, 235, 238, 245, 246, 248, 249, 251, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 279, 282, 283, 284, 286, 295, 301, 302, 305, 306, 307, 310, 312, 313, 317.328 data colonialism, 284 data literacies, 261 data privacy, 274, 275 decision-making, 31, 214, 262 democracy, 66, 77, 99, 213, 343 depression, 82, 109, 112, 113, 129, 232, 236, 329

Turki Alelyani, Stevens Institute of Technology, Arup Kumar Ghosh, Jacksonville State University 394 Index

developers, 151, 242, 245, 247, 255, 256, 258, 259, 261, 281, 388 development, 1, 2, 23, 24, 25, 27, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 37, 38, 39, 80, 93, 95, 98, 100, 101, 126, 128, 129, 161, 167, 178, 182, 186, 187, 199, 201, 202, 205, 208, 240, 242, 245, 256, 266, 275, 293, 297, 299, 331, 350, 351, 354, 355, 360, 361, 363, 389 device, 5, 6, 38, 178, 182, 205, 234, 266, 270, 281, 365, 376 digital, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 32, 33, 36, 37, 38, 39, 41, 43, 44, 45, 62, 97, 98, 99, 101, 106, 111, 122, 126, 146, 147, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 168, 169, 170, 171, 176, 177, 178, 181, 182, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 193, 194, 198, 199, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 228, 234, 239, 240, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 270, 271, 273, 274, 275, 277, 280, 282, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 311, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 332, 333, 335, 336, 343, 346, 348, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 358, 362, 363, 366, 367, 368, 370, 371, 373, 376, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389 digital divide, 4 digital environments, 211 digital identities, 15 digital labourers, 199 digital marketers, 194 digital pets, 161, 162 digital technology, xi, xlvii, 23, 24, 27, 29, 32, 181, 263, 301 digital world, 6, 10, 16, 39, 97 digitally, 98, 176, 275 digitization, 163, 174, 176

dis-empowering, 298 Disney, 156, 162, 186, 188, 355, 356 Disruptive play, 295 distracting, 202, 291 diversity, xi, xlviii, 26, 28, 47, 65, 93, 113, 132, 162, 227, 304, 311, 313, 345

Donald Trump, 55, 58, 61, 62, 75, 76, 77, 82, 83, 104, 146, 306, 307, 326, 334, 343

#### Е

education, 18, 20, 24, 26, 27, 29, 30, 34, 38, 85, 126, 133, 145, 170, 212, 215, 228, 231, 240, 276, 277, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 286, 287, 288, 292, 293, 294, 296, 329, 346, 382, 383, 385 educational, 7, 24, 29, 33, 36, 74, 156, 165, 168, 170, 174, 210, 213, 227, 228, 237, 266, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 284, 286, 287, 289, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 351 electronic screens, 235, 239 email, 203, 302 emoji, 56, 305 empathy, 164, 166 empowering, 297, 298, 303, 306, 307, 308, 309 Empowerment, 96, 331, 332, 334, 380 encryption software, 263 engagement, 11, 21, 23, 26, 27, 28, 31, 32, 35, 42, 43, 74, 86, 96, 97, 98, 111, 128, 132, 134, 158, 187, 209, 210, 227, 238, 240, 263, 285, 291, 292, 294, 295, 299, 322, 323, 332, 333, 336, 347, 356, 367, 386 entertainment, 4, 7, 19, 21, 63, 181, 182, 186, 188, 194, 334, 340

envy, 109, 110, 112, 117, 121, 124, 125, 233 equitable social media, 297, 299, 312, 313 Erikson, 93, 105, 106, 330, 331, 334, 335 ethical, 37, 173, 178, 214, 230, 275, 297, 298, 300, 301, 303, 307, 309, 311, 312, 313

ethics, 30, 174, 214, 301, 311, 313, 352

#### F

Facebook, 15, 24, 38, 66, 76, 97, 98, 110, 127, 128, 129, 130, 133, 136, 139, 140, 187, 202, 203, 233, 236, 237, 239, 263, 267, 268, 269, 270, 272, 280, 284, 303, 304, 310, 312, 323, 333, 335, 336, 337, 338, 340, 341, 342, 349, 365, 372, 374, 375, 379, 380, 381, 386, 387, 389 face-to-face, 15, 16, 183, 203, 205, 235, 237 fairy tales, 187, 188 family, 3, 6, 7, 19, 26, 34, 38, 75, 80, 96, 100, 101, 118, 157, 162, 163, 165, 166, 167, 169, 174, 175, 185, 193, 202, 204, 208, 231, 233, 238, 240, 242, 244, 258, 309, 322, 344, 346, 366 family interactions, 202 female, 6, 70, 74, 109, 110, 111, 113, 148, 152, 156, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 225, 226, 233, 268, 272, 302, 303, 340, 353 filter, 71, 242 followers, 55, 66, 76, 102, 103, 111, 183, 189, 193, 195, 196, 198, 216, 219, 220, 225, 226, 227, 262, 274, 307, 311 FOMO, 233

Fortnite, 156, 157, 158, 346, 347

freedom, 4, 7, 8, 15, 20, 26, 28, 33, 34, 74, 95, 96, 105, 240, 251, 282, 306, 310, 320

- freedom of expression, 4, 7, 15, 20, 26, 28, 33, 34
- friend, 3, 7, 14, 15, 16, 70, 101, 107, 110, 115, 118, 119, 122, 130, 135, 158, 173, 184, 185, 186, 191, 192, 193, 202, 203, 204, 206, 217, 227, 239, 257, 262, 263, 268, 269, 270, 274, 306, 308, 312, 362
- Furby, 164, 175
- future, xi, xlviii, 18, 54, 55, 57, 61, 62, 64, 68, 70, 94, 98, 101, 109, 125, 142, 143, 166, 174, 176, 178, 191, 208, 229, 243, 250, 258, 262, 268, 274, 279, 280, 308, 313, 326, 352

#### G

G. Stanley Hall, 201 gadget, 174 games, 6, 7, 11, 19, 21, 29, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 156, 157, 158, 161, 162, 163, 165, 166, 167, 170, 171, 175, 176, 178, 187, 188, 255, 343, 344, 345, 352, 356 GE, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295 gender, 4, 6, 7, 63, 70, 99, 127, 131, 132, 133, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 152, 156, 215, 229, 268, 299, 300, 301, 311, 344 generational differences, 204, 208 GFE, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 283, 284, 286, 292, 293, 295, 382 girls, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 38, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 136, 138, 139, 140,

Turki Alelyani, Stevens Institute of Technology, Arup Kumar Ghosh, Jacksonville State University 396 Index

141, 142, 153, 156, 196, 198, 231, 232, 235, 236, 238, 267, 340, 341, 342,348 global citizens, 46 Google, 47, 69, 102, 129, 241, 242, 243, 245, 246, 266, 269, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 286, 287, 288, 289, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 327, 376, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 388 Google Apps for Education, 281, 282, 384, 385 Google Cardboard, 278, 280, 281, 293, 382 Google Expeditions, 278 Greta Thunberg, 38, 41, 42, 50, 52, 53, 57, 92, 104, 334 growing up, 2, 4, 170, 185, 191 Growing Up in a Connected World, 1, 2, 316

#### Η

happiness, 109, 110, 118, 122, 191, 235 harms, 3, 4, 35, 36, 231, 240 hashtag, 42, 44, 45, 47, 49, 51, 52, 53, 55, 56, 57, 62, 70, 80, 81, 85, 87, 88, 102, 103, 104, 308, 324 HCI, 244, 248 health, 9, 26, 27, 29, 33, 34, 35, 38, 39, 79, 82, 83, 86, 87, 88, 93, 95, 106, 125, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 225, 226, 227, 229, 230, 232, 233, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 284, 319, 322, 346 healthy development, 92, 93, 94, 106 human, xi, 31, 33, 47, 71, 72, 74, 77, 94, 97, 103, 106, 113, 128, 152, 163, 164, 165, 167, 168, 169, 171, 173, 176, 177, 178, 181, 202, 205, 284, 294, 297, 301, 311, 344, 363 Human-Computer Interaction, 244, 388 Hyperlinks, 45

# I

identity, 28, 43, 58, 70, 71, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 105, 106, 112, 122, 129, 153, 183, 196, 268, 273, 299, 300, 302, 306, 308, 311, 312, 331 identity formation, 91, 92, 93, 94, 105, 112 Idle No More, 44 inappropriate, 15, 221, 222, 242, 255 independence, 92, 170, 202, 205, 206, 242 industrial revolution, 166 influencers, 111, 183, 189, 192, 193, 195, 196, 197, 198, 335, 353, 354, 357, 358, 361, 362, 363 Instagram, 24, 92, 97, 98, 103, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 127, 129, 133, 136, 139, 140, 142, 181, 183, 185, 186, 187, 197, 198, 202, 212, 219, 221, 238, 267, 269, 270, 304, 305, 307, 308, 309, 310, 333, 334, 335, 337, 338, 353, 359, 360, 361, 362, 370 interaction, xi, xlvii, 94, 106, 119, 158, 163, 164, 165, 169, 170, 172, 173, 183, 201, 203, 205, 208, 211, 213, 237, 239, 256, 293, 297, 301, 311, 354, 359 interactive, 97, 162, 164, 165, 174, 187, 201, 206, 207, 208, 212, 213, Turki Alelyani, Stevens Institute of Technology, Arup Kumar Ghosh, Jacksonville State University Index 397

216, 218, 220, 222, 223, 227, 229, 230, 350 interactive technology, 201, 202, 207, 208 interactivity, 163, 164, 170, 227 interface, 163, 165, 176, 253, 351, 388 internet, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 26, 63, 69, 86, 97, 99, 103, 134, 154, 170, 182, 186, 198, 199, 202, 233, 234, 236, 238, 240, 241, 242, 255, 263, 264, 275, 282, 283, 292, 317, 318, 319, 324, 325, 327, 328, 330, 335, 337, 339, 340, 342, 345, 346, 347, 349, 353, 355, 362, 363, 364, 365, 367, 368, 373, 374, 377, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389 interpersonal relationships, 15, 93, 191, 202, 231, 243 Intersectionality, 74 intimacy, 192, 202, 217, 270, 331, 354, 359

#### K

Keystone XL pipeline, 44, 45, 327 Kidfluencer, 181, 184, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199 Kids, 1, 2, 3, 4, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 153, 157, 159, 175, 317, 318, 322, 343, 344, 345, 346, 350, 351, 361, 377, 379, 385 knowledgeable, 18, 211 K-pop, 101, 103, 334

#### L

learning, 2, 21, 29, 80, 96, 99, 100, 174, 175, 182, 183, 185, 186, 188, 193, 199, 211, 212, 213, 228, 238, 243, 246, 247, 258, 278, 281, 282, 286, 290, 291, 294, 355 likes, 53, 111, 120, 123, 124, 182, 189, 190, 216, 219, 220, 223, 224, 225, 227, 237, 306, 307, 310 limited access, 10 lurkers, 113

#### Μ

machines, 163, 165, 166 magazines, 150, 185 mainstream media, 71 male, 5, 6, 70, 71, 74, 152, 156, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 225, 226, 303, 311, 340, 344 marginalization, 299 Marvel, 158, 187, 328, 347, 356 mass media, 26, 34, 98, 128, 186 McDonalds, 186, 266 Media, 23, 25, 26, 36, 41, 42, 63, 70, 79, 91, 97, 99, 101, 111, 127, 128, 129, 154, 181, 185, 187, 209, 210, 231, 233, 234, 235, 239, 242, 261, 262, 297, 298, 299, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 345, 346, 350, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389 media coverage, 44, 71 media literacy, 238, 240 media spectacle, 63, 65, 68, 70 media studies, xi, xiii, 111, 122, 191 mediums, 207 mental health, 86, 126, 211, 232, 236, 238 Microsoft, 155, 156, 157

Turki Alelyani, Stevens Institute of Technology, Arup Kumar Ghosh, Jacksonville State University 398 Index

*Minecraft*, 154, 155, 156, 157, 345, 346 mobile activities, 241, 244 mobile phones, 5, 18, 266, 271 mobile usage, 257 moral panic, 148, 156 mothers, 74, 183, 190, 204 *My Little Pony*, 186, 188, 355, 357 Myspace, 130, 389 myths, 159, 188

#### Ν

narratives, 188, 212 NES, 147, 148, 149, 151, 158, 344 networked publics, 98, 99 new media, 63, 65, 66, 112, 186, 198, 208, 239 New technologies, 182 news, 9, 14, 45, 49, 51, 52, 53, 55, 63, 64, 66, 70, 71, 81, 103, 309, 328, 329, 333, 334, 343, 346, 347, 362, 372, 375, 389 newspapers, 185 N-grams, 247, 248, 250, 251 Nickelodeon, 182, 186, 352 Nintendo, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 151, 152, 343, 344 non-digital, 39, 184 nonscreen time, 239

#### 0

objects, 30, 70, 165, 168, 176, 193 Occupy, 44, 61, 62, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 73, 75, 77, 324, 326 Occupy Wall Street, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69 offline, 8, 14, 15, 43, 49, 56, 97, 98, 100, 109, 110, 111, 112, 114, 115, 116, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123,

124, 125, 298, 299, 300, 305, 311, 324 older adolescents, 203 Older youth, 95 on-demand media, 187 Online, 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 14, 69, 106, 244, 299, 315, 317, 318, 324, 334, 335, 336, 337, 342, 345, 346, 347, 348, 356, 360, 361, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 374, 376, 377, 378, 386, 387, 388, 389 online activities, 2, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 21, 43, 100, 242, 244 online gaming, 145, 146, 154, 155, 156, 157, 232 online world, 173, 181, 182 opinion leaders, 183

#### P

pandemic, 91, 97, 102, 104, 145, 146, 155, 158, 159, 332, 334, 343 parasocial interactions, 183, 192 parent-adolescent, 202, 203, 205, 208 parental control apps, 241, 242, 243, 244, 249, 250, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259 parental stalking, 244 parent-child, 202, 203, 204, 205, 242 parents, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 24, 28, 29, 32, 33, 38, 61, 62, 94, 95, 100, 133, 147, 148, 154, 155, 156, 157, 159, 162, 165, 168, 171, 174, 175, 181, 182, 184, 189, 190, 191, 196, 198, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 210, 229, 238, 239, 241, 242, 243, 244, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252,

255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 272, 308, 346, 347, 364, 365, 376 parent-teen, 243, 244, 258, 259 participate, 2, 4, 16, 20, 28, 29, 34, 35, 39, 44, 46, 56, 66, 69, 75, 100, 101, 116, 220, 274, 287, 288, 291, 302 participation, 11, 12, 15, 20, 26, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 43, 45, 46, 49, 58, 71, 75, 91, 92, 96, 97, 106, 128, 133, 165, 174, 262, 263, 292, 299, 300, 302, 317, 334 participatory, 35, 36, 66, 100, 211, 214, 215, 229, 265, 266, 284 passwords, 176, 352 pedagogical processes, 212, 227, 229 pedagogy, 61, 62, 77, 171, 212, 213, 216, 218, 227, 228, 229 peer pressure, 131, 222, 223 peer relationships, 190, 191 peer-approved, 186 peers, 38, 99, 100, 123, 128, 190, 191, 193, 223, 233, 268 personal data, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 268, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275 personal information, 244, 262, 263, 272 personality, 94, 106, 172, 173, 183, 196, 353 pet, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 348, 350, 351, 352 Pew, 92, 97, 242, 328, 330, 334, 335, 339, 342, 355, 363, 364, 365, 376, 386 Pew Research Center, 92, 328, 330, 334, 335, 339, 355, 363, 364, 365, 376, 386

phone, 5, 9, 18, 38, 205, 208, 235, 236, 238, 239, 240, 251, 255, 256, 257, 265, 267, 269, 307, 372 phubbing, 235 physical, xi, xxx, 28, 48, 79, 82, 86, 88, 102, 118, 128, 129, 140, 143, 146, 162, 164, 170, 173, 196, 201, 209, 210, 211, 214, 215, 218, 219, 221, 222, 227, 228, 229, 269, 329 platform, xi, xlvii, 57, 58, 81, 92, 97, 99, 100, 106, 111, 113, 129, 134, 136, 178, 225, 228, 233, 262, 263, 267, 268, 277, 279, 280, 282, 283, 284, 287, 295, 296, 300, 302, 304, 305, 310, 312, 313, 383, 385, 389 platform colonialism, 279, 284, 295 platformization, 279, 284 play, 4, 6, 7, 19, 26, 29, 31, 34, 61, 143, 150, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 158, 162, 163, 164, 165, 169, 170, 172, 173, 174, 175, 178, 181, 182, 185, 187, 189, 194, 213, 228, 249, 256, 277, 279, 282, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 299, 307, 308, 346, 350 Play store, 241, 243 player, 155, 156, 157, 173, 345 playing, 6, 19, 21, 39, 149, 155, 163, 171, 178, 188, 189, 194, 350, 351, 358 Pokémon, 162, 163, 280, 348, 350, 351 policy, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 18, 24, 25, 27, 32, 34, 38, 43, 58, 75, 209, 210, 231, 240, 283, 383 political, 11, 12, 21, 28, 41, 42, 43, 44, 46, 55, 58, 62, 63, 64, 65, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 77, 86, 87, 91, 94, 96, 100, 105, 106, 107, 212, 227, 273, 274 politically, 11, 212 pornographic, 14

Turki Alelyani, Stevens Institute of Technology, Arup Kumar Ghosh, Jacksonville State University 400 Index

pornography, 4, 25 positive, 6, 18, 19, 24, 26, 29, 31, 45, 49, 51, 93, 95, 96, 98, 106, 107, 109, 110, 112, 115, 118, 120, 122, 123, 124, 125, 137, 140, 156, 169, 189, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 205, 210, 211, 225, 228, 230, 234, 236, 238, 239, 251, 252, 255, 256, 271, 288, 291, 293, 306, 307, 308, 310, 313 post, 11, 43, 55, 99, 103, 104, 111, 113, 116, 130, 134, 146, 198, 223, 224, 225, 238, 247, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 277, 285, 305, 306 poverty, 28, 75, 80, 82, 329 power, 67, 68, 73, 74, 76, 100, 105, 117, 132, 168, 175, 177, 194, 196, 212, 213, 225, 227, 228, 229, 245, 263, 264, 279, 282, 284, 295, 348, 351, 383 print media, 166, 185, 235 privacy, 4, 9, 15, 20, 24, 28, 32, 43, 81, 107, 204, 206, 214, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 251, 256, 257, 258, 263, 266, 268, 269, 273, 274, 275, 276, 283, 317, 381, 384 privilege, 71, 72, 307 product, 174, 175, 183, 186, 187, 194, 195, 196, 245, 255, 277, 278, 280, 281, 284, 287, 292, 293, 295, 313, 316, 351, 353, 355, 360 product placement, 183, 194 profiles, 57, 87, 146, 270, 302, 305, 312, 353 protection, 3, 4, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 33, 34, 36, 38, 77, 154, 170, 173, 211, 240, 257, 266, 317 provision, 4, 25, 26, 27, 29, 34, 35, 36, 39, 279, 283 psychological, 28, 86, 92, 93, 96, 106, 128, 129, 143, 148, 170, 173, 191, 211, 237, 239, 313, 329, 349

psychologists, 95 psycho-social, 95 puberty, 124, 129, 141, 201 public pedagogies, 212, 213, 227, 229

# Q

quality time, 203, 204 quantity time, 201, 203

# R

race, 63, 71, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 86, 87, 88, 99, 299, 301, 302, 303, 305, 306, 308, 311, 328, 329 racism, 70, 73, 74, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 85, 86, 87, 88, 99, 102, 103, 148, 158, 306, 312, 329, 330 radio, 112, 372 reality, 25, 30, 194, 277, 278, 280, 294, 382 Reddit, 306, 388 refugee, 29, 38, 288, 322 relationship, 25, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 116, 120, 147, 165, 168, 173, 175, 183, 191, 201, 203, 205, 207, 208, 212, 229, 231, 235, 236, 237, 240, 251, 253, 256, 257, 258, 259, 262, 283, 342 representation, 75, 152, 153, 273, 304, 307, 308 research, 2, 3, 6, 9, 11, 25, 27, 29, 32, 35, 36, 37, 38, 42, 44, 45, 46, 47, 51, 57, 58, 82, 92, 96, 97, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 116, 118, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 130, 131, 140, 142, 143, 148, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 161, 164, 166, 177, 184, 186, 187, 190, 191, 192, 194, 196, 197, 198, 208, 209, 210, 211, 213, 214, 229, 230, 243, 244, 247,

248, 250, 261, 263, 264, 266, 267, 270, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 284, 285, 286, 287, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 307, 311, 321, 328, 336, 347, 355, 356, 377, 381, 389 responsibility, 35, 36, 48, 170, 206, 275, 283, 309 retweet, 45, 47, 53, 57, 58, 111 retweeting, 49 right, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 15, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 61, 62, 63, 66, 69, 71, 72, 74, 77, 99, 116, 164, 168, 241, 243, 249, 271, 304, 317, 320, 321, 346, 383 Rights Based Approach, 30, 37 risks, 1, 2, 3, 4, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 28, 36, 37, 39, 206, 210, 211, 226, 238, 241, 244, 300, 345, 346, 368 robots, 161, 164, 172, 173, 174, 176, 352 role confusion, 93, 331

# S

school, 17, 18, 27, 29, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 57, 62, 76, 77, 83, 92, 100, 132, 136, 145, 146, 153, 166, 172, 185, 206, 210, 215, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 231, 232, 233, 234, 236, 238, 239, 257, 278, 281, 287, 294, 304, 308, 329, 370, 384 screen-based, 236, 239 screen-time, 7, 16, 18, 21, 365, 376 self, xiii, 12, 15, 25, 36, 86, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 98, 99, 101, 105, 106, 111, 112, 119, 120, 122, 124, 125, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140,

141, 143, 154, 163, 173, 175, 183, 191, 193, 204, 211, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 240, 244, 273, 274, 275, 282, 299, 302, 305, 306, 307, 312, 329, 332, 351, 353, 355, 360 self-disclose, 112 self-esteem, 94, 95, 106, 112, 124, 125, 235, 236, 237 self-expression, 12, 91, 92, 95, 96, 98, 101, 105, 106, 111, 240, 332 self-harm, 12, 231, 232, 234, 236, 237, 240 selfies, 98, 130, 137, 140, 204, 222, 223 self-knowledge, 93 self-monitoring, 127, 128, 131, 132, 135, 136, 138, 139, 140, 141, 143 sensationalist, 156, 307 Sesame Street, 23, 24, 30, 38, 182, 186, 317, 352, 355 sexism, 74, 153, 158 sexual abuse, 24, 79 sexual content, 14, 30 sexual images, 14 sexuality, 99, 129, 299, 301, 311 share, 5, 7, 15, 16, 41, 42, 45, 53, 58, 96, 97, 100, 105, 110, 111, 129, 182, 183, 187, 189, 207, 209, 210, 256, 257, 258, 305 sharenting, 24, 317 sharing, 5, 9, 45, 49, 52, 54, 102, 106, 110, 122, 140, 187, 190, 193, 234, 258, 262, 263, 305, 308, 310 sibling, 15, 100, 133, 167, 202 skilled, 211 skills, 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 36, 37, 94, 96, 106, 125, 126, 172, 174, 181, 184, 191, 194, 205, 211, 228, 263, 275 smart devices, 242

401

Turki Alelyani, Stevens Institute of Technology, Arup Kumar Ghosh, Jacksonville State University 402 Index

- smartphone, 23, 92, 142, 202, 205, 206, 208, 231, 232, 233, 238, 239, 244, 265, 278, 281
- Snapchat, 92, 97, 129, 202, 204, 267, 269, 280, 307, 335, 365, 367, 389
- SNSs, 98
- social activities, 7, 8
- social capital, 189, 297, 298, 299, 302, 308, 312
- social change, 86, 97, 99, 128
- social comparison, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 231, 236, 237, 238
- social construction, xi, xxx, 94, 106
- social contexts, 185, 313
- social development, 24
- social influencers, 183, 189, 190, 192
- social media, xi, xxiii, xxx, xlvii, 8, 15, 19, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 58, 61, 64, 71, 76, 79, 80, 81, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 93, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 115, 118, 120, 122, 123, 124, 125, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 161, 163, 166, 167, 175, 176, 182, 185, 187, 193, 194, 196, 197, 198, 199, 201, 202, 203, 205, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 244, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 283, 284, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308,

309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 353, 362, 386, 388, 389 social media apps, 297, 298 social media data, 261, 262, 268 social media design, 297, 298, 300, 301, 303, 305, 307, 312, 313 social media designers, 298, 313 social media platforms, 33, 41, 42, 45, 58, 92, 97, 101, 105, 122, 126, 129, 139, 142, 187, 213, 234, 261, 262, 263, 267, 270, 298, 299, 300, 303, 308, 309, 312, 313 social network, 7, 29, 81, 84, 100, 101, 109, 111, 227, 233, 274 social networking, 11, 18, 23, 63, 65, 66, 128, 129, 235, 340, 353, 360 social norms, 8, 312, 313 social status, 188, 196 socialization, 98, 154, 193, 211, 213 socialize, 8, 9, 257 socializing, 8, 147, 154, 193, 235, 237 socio-economic, 210 spaces, 5, 15, 32, 50, 66, 72, 91, 98, 111, 126, 145, 163, 211, 212, 299, 301, 319 sport, 152, 162 STEM, 156, 303 stereotypes, 208, 297, 298 stories, 14, 63, 64, 73, 74, 100, 102, 166, 178, 182, 186, 187, 188, 189, 279, 308, 334 stranger danger, 16 subjects, 30, 37, 109, 114, 117, 121, 122, 123, 124, 148, 176, 295 suicide, 12, 13, 80, 237 surveillance, 111, 127, 128, 130, 131, 132, 134, 135, 136, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 163, 211, 243, 279, 282, 283, 284, 295

Turki Alelyani, Stevens Institute of Technology, Arup Kumar Ghosh, Jacksonville State University Index 403

#### Т

Tamagotchi, 161, 162, 163, 165, 348 Tea Party, 58, 66, 69, 326 teacher, 2, 3, 4, 15, 17, 18, 24, 29, 32, 33, 36, 38, 54, 77, 100, 135, 145, 146, 153, 156, 210, 211, 213, 229, 239, 278, 279, 281, 286, 291, 293, 294, 295, 343 technoference, 204, 208 technological, 20, 29, 164, 174, 178, 204, 206, 208, 255, 273, 278, 280, 289, 293, 294, 300 technology, 17, 24, 26, 28, 29, 32, 36, 142, 171, 172, 175, 185, 186, 187, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 228, 239, 242, 243, 244, 251, 263, 267, 268, 270, 279, 280, 281, 284, 288, 290, 291, 292, 296, 297, 298, 300, 301, 305, 311, 329, 330, 339, 346, 363, 372, 383, 388, 389 tech-savvy, 4, 16 teenager, 16, 41, 42, 54, 115, 175, 206, 232, 242, 263 television, 24, 66, 112, 129, 167, 182, 185, 186, 187, 192, 198, 278, 353, 355, 360 text, xi, xxiii, xlviii, 110, 148, 150, 203, 206, 212, 244, 247, 248, 249, 250, 257, 258, 266, 271, 345 texting, 5, 202, 203, 204, 257 The Guardian, 55, 56, 64, 343, 346, 376 The New York Times, 53, 280, 309, 382, 389 The State of the World's Children, 2, 317, 320 the Three Ps, 34, 35 TikTok, 38, 104, 182, 186, 187, 198, 334, 353

toddler, 167 Topic Modeling, 247, 250, 251, 259, 379 toy, 147, 162, 163, 164, 169, 170, 173, 174, 176, 177, 178, 188, 189, 348, 351, 352 trauma, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 328, 329, 330 trust, 189, 190, 191, 192, 197, 199, 201, 207, 208, 238, 240, 242, 255, 274, 331 Tumblr, 98, 129, 133 TV, 38, 54, 81, 162, 325, 352 tweets, 41, 42, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 84, 86, 189, 327 Twinfluencers, 197, 198, 362, 363 Twitter, 24, 41, 44, 45, 47, 48, 57, 58, 66, 70, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 97, 102, 103, 110, 118, 127, 128, 129, 133, 136, 139, 140, 267, 300, 304, 312, 322, 324, 326, 329, 330, 335, 342, 357, 382, 387

# U

unboxing, 184, 189 underrepresented youth, 298, 299, 300, 301 UNICEF, 1, 2, 3, 315, 316, 317, 318, 320, 330, 334, 367 United Nations, 1, 4, 15, 20, 23, 25, 92, 317, 318, 321, 330, 332, 376 uploading, 9, 197 user name, 246

# V

video, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 19, 21, 38, 53, 66, 85, 102, 146, 147, 149, 151, 152, 153, 154, 156, 157, 158, 167, 171, 178, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, Turki Alelyani, Stevens Institute of Technology, Arup Kumar Ghosh, Jacksonville State University 404 Index

187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 201, 202, 203, 221, 223, 234, 262, 308, 322, 343, 344, 345, 353, 360, 362, 369,370 video clips, 6, 10, 11 video game, 147, 148, 149, 151, 152, 153, 154, 156, 158, 343, 344, 345 viewers, 102, 113, 183, 184, 185, 192, 194, 195, 196, 197, 199, 234, 278, 280, 290, 382 violence, 26, 28, 33, 34, 65, 67, 73, 74, 75, 79, 80, 82, 84, 85, 87, 88, 147, 151, 152, 154, 155, 156, 319, 320, 345 virtual, 28, 63, 66, 91, 107, 145, 146, 158, 162, 163, 165, 166, 167, 170, 171, 172, 173, 176, 277, 278, 280, 343, 349, 382 virtual pets, 163, 165, 166, 167, 171, 172, 176 Virtual Reality, 277, 280, 381, 382 vlogger, 189, 193 vlogs, 183, 189, 193 voice, 42, 46, 58, 74, 92, 96, 106, 107, 164, 171, 172, 175, 192, 203, 256, 308, 323, 345 VR, 277, 278, 280, 281, 286, 289, 290, 291, 381, 382, 383, 385 vulnerability, 23, 25, 30, 37, 38, 128, 141, 168, 173, 177, 227

#### W

Washington Post, 83 web browsing, 244 web pages, 9 Webkinz, 162, 163, 170, 176, 352 website, 1, 4, 8, 13, 14, 18, 66, 69, 87, 101, 102, 133, 151, 158, 166, 186, 233, 326, 327 welfare approach, 30, 31, 32, 37 welfare-based approach, 24, 37 Wi-Fi, 16, 18, 307

women, 62, 64, 70, 71, 74, 76, 109, 110, 111, 112, 118, 122, 125, 126, 130, 131, 153, 189, 220, 225, 226, 236, 245, 298, 308, 342, 345, 347

# Y

young children, 36, 183, 184, 185, 187, 189, 199 young people, 9, 36, 39, 43, 44, 45, 55, 56, 61, 64, 92, 96, 99, 100, 111, 112, 113, 125, 126, 146, 157, 166, 176, 177, 179, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 232, 237, 239, 261, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 304, 312, 313 youth, xi, xiii, xxx, xlvii, 41, 42, 43, 44, 47, 49, 51, 54, 55, 57, 58, 61, 62, 63, 64, 73, 75, 77, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 104, 105, 106, 127, 128, 132, 134, 145, 146, 155, 156, 157, 158, 161, 163, 165, 204, 208, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 242, 243, 278, 287, 294, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 312, 313, 322, 330, 333, 334 Youth Activism, 43, 99, 101, 333, 334 youth culture, 208 youth protesters, 42, 49, 51, 54 youthful, 157, 275, 304 YouTube, 92, 97, 101, 156, 181, 182, 183, 184, 186, 187, 188, 189, 198, 202, 221, 288, 310, 344, 350, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358,

359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 373, 374 YouTuber, 189, 195, 221